

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APOTEX INC. and APOTEX CORP.,  
Petitioners,

v.

CELGENE CORPORATION,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2018-00685  
Patent 8,741,929 B2

---

Before TONI R. SCHEINER, GRACE KARAFFA OBERMANN, and  
TINA E. HULSE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

SCHEINER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION  
Denying Institution of *Inter Partes* Review  
*37 U.S.C. § 314(a)*

## I. INTRODUCTION

Apotex Inc. and Apotex Corp. (collectively, “Petitioner”)<sup>1</sup> filed a Petition (Paper 2, “Pet.”) requesting an *inter partes* review of claims 1–4, 8, 9, 15, and 20 of U.S. Patent No. 8,741,929 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’929 patent”). Celgene Corporation (“Patent Owner”) filed a Preliminary Response to the Petition (Paper 6, “Prelim. Resp.”). We have statutory authority under 35 U.S.C. § 314, which provides that an *inter partes* review may not be instituted “unless . . . there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition.”

For the reasons set forth below, we conclude that Petitioner has not established a reasonable likelihood that it would prevail in showing the unpatentability of any challenged claim of the ’929 patent. Accordingly, we do not institute an *inter partes* review of claims 1–4, 8, 9, 15, and 20 of the ’929 patent.

### A. Related Proceedings

The ’929 patent has been asserted in *Celgene Corp. v. Apotex Inc.*, C.A. No. 18-cv-00461 (D.N.J. filed Jan. 11, 2018). Pet. 5; Paper 3, 1.

### B. The Asserted Grounds of Unpatentability

Petitioner asserts that the challenged claims are unpatentable on the following grounds:

---

<sup>1</sup> According to Petitioner, “[a]dditional real parties-in-interest are Apotex Pharmaceutical Holdings Inc., and Apotex Holdings Inc.” Pet. 5.

References	Basis	Claims Challenged
Drach <sup>2</sup> and Zeldis <sup>3</sup>	§ 103(a)	1–4, 8, 9, 15, and 20
Drach, Zeldis, and Querfeld <sup>4</sup>	§ 103(a)	4 and 20
Celgene Press Release <sup>5</sup>	§ 102(a)	1–4, 8, 9, 15, and 20

Petitioner supports its challenges with the Declaration of Michael J. Thirman, M.D, dated February 23, 2018 (Ex. 1002, “Thirman Declaration”).

*C. The '929 Patent (Ex. 1001)*

The '929 patent, titled “Methods Using 3-(4-amino-1-oxo-1,3-dihydro-isoindol-2-yl)-piperidine-2,6-dione for Treatment of Mantle Cell Lymphomas,” issued June 3, 2014, to inventor Jerome B. Zeldis. Ex. 1001 (54), (75). The title compound is “an immunomodulatory compound . . . also known as lenalidomide, Revlimid® or Revimid®.” *Id.* at 1:19–23.

---

<sup>2</sup> Johannes Drach et al., *Treatment of Mantle Cell Lymphoma: Targeting the Microenvironment*, 5 EXPERT REV. ANTICANCER THER. 477–485 (2005) (Ex. 1003, “Drach”). We refer to the page numbers of the exhibit, rather than the page numbers of the journal article.

<sup>3</sup> Jerome B. Zeldis, U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2004/0029832 A1, published February 12, 2004 (Ex. 1004, “Zeldis”).

<sup>4</sup> Christiane Querfeld et al., *Preliminary Results of a Phase II Study of CC-5013 (Lenalidomide, Revlimid®) in Patients with Cutaneous T-Cell Lymphoma*, 106 BLOOD 3351 (2005) (Ex. 1005, “Querfeld”).

<sup>5</sup> Celgene Press Release, titled “Revlimid® (Lenalidomide) Clinical Results in Non-Hodgkins Lymphoma Presented at the 11<sup>th</sup> Congress of the European Hematology Association” (2006) (Ex. 1006, “Celgene Press Release”).

The specification teaches that lymphomas comprise a heterogeneous group of neoplasms arising in the reticuloendothelial and lymphatic systems. Within that group, the term non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) refers to a subset of neoplasms involving malignant monoclonal proliferation of lymphoid cells in the immune system, including the lymph nodes, bone marrow, spleen, liver, and gastrointestinal tract. *Id.* at 1:64–2:2. The specification further teaches that mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) is a lymphoproliferative disorder characterized by a specific chromosomal translocation which results in overexpression of the protein cyclin D1, which plays a key role in cell cycle regulation and progression of cells from G1 phase to S phase by activation of cyclin-dependent kinases. *Id.* at 2:16–29.

According to the specification, MCL “is a distinct entity among the non-Hodgkin's lymphomas . . . account[ing] for 8% of all non-Hodgkin's lymphomas” (*id.* at 2:4–5), and “is an incurable lymphoma with limited therapeutic options for patients with relapsed or refractory disease” (*id.* at 2:36–38).

The specification describes the results of a Phase II clinical trial designed to evaluate the therapeutic potential and safety of oral lenalidomide monotherapy in patients with relapsed and refractory aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Id.* at 23:12–20.

Twenty-five patients age 45 to 80 years . . . with relapsed and refractory aggressive NHL and who had received a median of 2.5 prior treatments . . . were administered with lenalidomide in an amount of 25 mg orally once daily for 21 days in the treatment cycle. Sixteen patients with aggressive NHL were

evaluable for tumor assessment. Of the 16 patients, eight had diffuse large cell lymphoma, three had mantle cell lymphoma, two patients had follicular lymphoma, one had transformed lymphoma, and two had aggressive lymphomas of unknown histology.

There were five (31 percent) patients who experienced objective responses to lenalidomide monotherapy . . . One patient with diffuse large cell lymphoma achieved complete response with progression free survival of more than 180 days. One patient with diffuse large cell lymphoma achieved partial response with progression free survival for 135 days. One patient with diffuse large cell lymphoma achieved partial response with progression free survival for 242 days. One patient with follicular lymphoma achieved partial response with progression free survival for more than 55 days. One patient with mantle cell lymphoma achieved partial response with progression free survival for more than 57 days.

*Id.* at 23:24–48.

Finally, the specification discloses “methods of treating, preventing or managing non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas, including . . . mantle cell lymphoma” (*id.* at 1:23–26), particularly disease that is “relapsed, refractory, or resistant to conventional chemotherapy” (*id.* at 2:47–48).

#### *D. Illustrative Claim*

Petitioner challenges claims 1–4, 8, 9, and 20 of the ’929 patent, of which claim 1 is independent. Claim 1, reproduced below, is illustrative. Ex. 1001, 29:1–11.

1. A method of treating mantle cell lymphoma in a human, which comprises (a) administering to a human having mantle cell lymphoma from about 5 mg to about 25 mg per

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.