

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SIRIUS XM RADIO INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

FRAUNHOFER-GESELLSCHAFT ZUR FÖRDERUNG DER
ANGEWANDTEN FORSCHUNG E.V.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00682
Patent No. 6,931,084

**PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Sirius XM Properly Identified The RPIs	1
II. Patent Owner’s Erroneous View Of The Law.....	4

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>Atlanta Gas Light Co. v. Bennett Regulator Guards, Inc.</i> IPR2013-00453, Paper 88 (PTAB Jan. 6, 2015)	3
<i>Daifuku Co., Ltd. et al. v. Murata Machinery, Ltd.</i> , IPR2015-01538, Paper 11 (PTAB Jan. 19, 2016)	1, 2, 3
<i>Eli Lilly & Co. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.</i> , 619 F.3d 1329 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	5
<i>Galderma S.A. et al. v. Allergan Industrie, SAS, et al.</i> , IPR2014-01422, Paper 14 (PTAB Mar. 5, 2015)	2
<i>Lemelson v. General Mills, Inc.</i> , 968 F.2d 1202 (Fed. Cir. 1992)	4
<i>Lumentum Holdings, Inc. et al. v. Capella Photonics, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00739, Paper 38 (PTAB Mar. 4, 2016)	3
<i>Proppant Express Investments, LLC et al. v. Oren Techs., LLC</i> , IPR2017-02103, Paper 8 (PTAB Jan. 10, 2018)	4
<i>RPX Corp. & Vimeo, Inc., v. Link Engine Techs. LLC</i> , IPR2017-00886, Paper 11 (PTAB Aug. 18, 2017)	4
<i>Rubicon Comms., LP, v. Lego A/S</i> , IPR2016-01187, Paper 40 (PTAB Dec. 16, 2016)	4
<i>Woods v. DeAngelo Marine Exhaust, Inc.</i> , 692 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	5
<i>Zoll Lifecor Corp. v. Philips Electronics North America Corp. et al.</i> , IPR2013-00609, Paper 15 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2014)	2, 3

EXHIBIT LIST

EXHIBIT No.	DESCRIPTION
Exhibit 1018	Declaration of Patrick L. Donnelly

I. SIRIUS XM PROPERLY IDENTIFIED THE RPIS

Sirius XM Radio Inc. (“Radio”) named all real parties in interest (“RPIS”) in its Petition and Patent Owner’s (“PO”) contrary arguments (Paper 8 (“Resp.”) at 4-5, 18-20) should be rejected. As explained in the accompanying declaration, Sirius XM Holdings Inc. (“Holdings”) and Liberty Media Corporation (“Liberty”) are not RPIS because they (1) do not direct or control business activities and operations of Radio; and (2) have not directed, controlled, funded (e.g., PTO or legal fees) or otherwise been involved in these proceedings. Ex. 1018, ¶¶ 3-15. Moreover, Holdings is a non-operational holding company and owns all the issued and outstanding capital stock of Radio, while Liberty’s connection is even more attenuated as it merely owns 70% of the outstanding common stock of Holdings. *Id.*, ¶¶ 3-4, 7-9.

These facts align squarely with *Daifuku Co., Ltd., et al. v. Murata Machinery, Ltd.*, IPR2015-01538, in which “a ‘holding company that merely holds ownership of its subsidiaries and conducts no independent operations’” was found *not* to be an RPI. *Daifuku*, Paper 11 at 8–9 (“The exercise or availability of general ‘control’ that stock ownership vests in stockholders...will not make one company a real party in interest of the other.”). Like in *Daifuku*, PO’s evidence here, at best, only “establishes a [stock ownership] relationship between parties and does not establish a relationship between Holdings and this proceeding.” *Id.* at 11.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.