UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SIRIUS XM RADIO INC., Petitioner,

v.

FRAUNHOFER-GESELLSCHAFT ZUR FÖRDERUNG DER ANGEWANDTEN FORSCHUNG E.V., Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2018-00681 Patent No. 7,061,997

PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY TO PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DOCKET

UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST

Fraunhofer Ex. 2001	Sirius XM Holdings Inc., SEC Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, Filed January 31, 2018
Fraunhofer Ex. 2002	Sirius XM Holdings Inc., SEC Form 8-K, November 14, 2013
Fraunhofer Ex. 2003	Pandora Media, Inc., SEC Schedule 13D, September 22, 2017
Fraunhofer Ex. 2004	Pandora Media, Inc., SEC Joint Filing Agreement (Exhibit A) to Schedule 13D, September 22, 2017
Fraunhofer Ex. 2005	Sirius XM Holdings Inc., SEC Form 8-K, January 10, 2018
Fraunhofer Ex. 2006	Sirius XM Holdings Inc., Exhibit 10.1 to SEC Form 8-K, January 10, 2018 (Meyer Employment Agreement)
Fraunhofer Ex. 2007	Sirius XM Holdings Inc., SEC Form 8-K, January 14, 2014
Fraunhofer Ex. 2008	Sirius XM Holdings Inc., Exhibit 10.1 to SEC Form 8-K, January 14, 2014 (Donnelly Employment Agreement)
Fraunhofer Ex. 2009	Executed Summons to Sirius XM Radio Inc., attaching Complaint for Patent Infringement, Dated February 22, 2017
Fraunhofer Ex. 2010	Fruanhofer Complaint for Patent Infringement against Defendant Sirius XM Radio Inc., Filed February 22, 2017
Fraunhofer Ex. 2011	Sirius XM Holdings Inc., SEC Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, Filed February 2, 2017
Fraunhofer Ex. 2012	Defendant Sirius XM Radio Inc.'s Corporate Disclosure Statement Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, April 25, 2017
Fraunhofer Ex. 2013	Erik Knutson v. Sirius XM Radio Inc., No. 12-cv-0418- AJB-NLS (S.D. Cal.), First Amended Class Action Complaint for Damages
Fraunhofer Ex. 2014	Francis W. Hooker v. Sirius XM Radio Inc., No. 4:13-cv-3 (E.D. Va.), Class Complaint
Fraunhofer Ex. 2015	Y <u>efim Elikman v. Sirius XM Radio Inc. and Career</u> <u>Horizons, Inc.</u> , No. 1:15-cv-02093 (N.D. Ill.), Second Amended Class Action Complaint

DOCKET

Fraunhofer Ex. 2016	Anthony Parker v. Sirius XM Radio Inc., No. 8:15-cv- 01710-JSM-EAJ (M.D. Fla), Class Action Complaint
Fraunhofer Ex. 2017	Francis W. Hooker et al. v. Sirius XM Radio Inc., No. 4:13- cv-3 (E.D. Va.), Final Order Approving Settlement and Certifying the Settlement Class, December 22, 2016
Fraunhofer Ex. 2018	File History of U.S. 7,061,997 (excerpted)
Fraunhofer Ex. 2019	Corporate Overview for Sirius XM Satellite Radio, retrieved from <u>https://www.siriusxm.com/corporate?</u> <u>intcmp=GN_FOOTER_NEW_AboutSiriusXM_Corp</u> on June 29, 2018
Fraunhofer Ex. 2020	SIRI – Sirius XM Holdings Inc. Company Profile – CNNMoney.com, retrieved from <u>https://money.cnn.com/</u> <u>quote/profile/profile.html?symb=SIRI</u> on July 2, 2018
Fraunhofer Ex. 2021	Sirius XM Holdings Inc. (SIRI) Company Profile, Reuters, retrieved from <u>https://www.reuters.com/finance/</u> <u>stocks/company-profile/SIRI.OQ</u> on July 5, 2018
Fraunhofer Ex. 2022	LinkedIn Profile for Sirius XM Holdings Inc., retrieved from <u>https://www.linkedin.com/company/sirius-xm-radio-</u> <u>inc./</u> on July 5, 2018
Fraunhofer Ex. 2023	Written Statement of David J. Frear, Chief Financial Officer, Sirius XM Holdings Inc. Before the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on the Judiciary Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet, Hearing on Music Licensing Under Title 17, June 25, 2014

I. SIRIUS XM FAILED TO PROPERLY IDENTIFY ALL RPIS

A petitioner must satisfy its burden "to establish that it has … identif[ied] all the [RPIs]." *Galderma S.A. v. Allergan Industrie, SAS*, IPR2014-01422, Paper No. 14, at 5 (Mar. 5, 2015). "[U]ncorroborated testimonial evidence" is insufficient to satisfy this burden, in part because a petitioner "is far more likely to be in possession of … relevant evidence than is a patent owner." *Radware, Inc. v. F5 Networks, Inc.*, IPR2017-01185, Paper No. 9, at 17-19 (Oct. 11, 2017).

The Petitioner has failed to satisfy this burden of persuasion. Despite its reply, Petitioner fails to present any meaningful evidence establishing that it is sufficiently distinct from SXM Holdings and Liberty that it can truly be deemed the only party capable of controlling these proceedings. Instead, Petitioner relies entirely on the uncorroborated testimony of its (and SXM Holdings') general counsel, which is plainly inadequate. *See id.* at 18-19 (RPI burden not met by party testimony without documentary support); *Atlanta Gas Light Co. v. Bennett Regulator Guards, Inc.*, IPR2013-00453, Paper No. 88, at 11 (Jan. 6, 2015) (same); *Aceto Corp. v. Gowan Co.*, IPR2015-01016, Paper No. 15, at 9-11 (Oct. 2, 2015).

In this case, Petitioner asserts but fails to prove that SXM Holdings is merely a "non-operational holding company." Reply at 1. To the contrary, there is ample evidence that SXM Holdings is so "intertwined" with Petitioner that the two "effectively operate as a single entity," which the Board has consistently found reflects "an actual measure of control or opportunity to control the filing of and participation in an IPR." *Zerto*, IPR2014-01254, Paper No. 35, at 14; *Radware*, IPR2017-01185, Paper No. 9, at 7; *Galderma*, IPR2014-01422, Paper No. 14, at 5; *Zoll Lifecor*, IPR2013-00606, Paper No. 13, at 10, 15 (parent and subsidiary both RPIs where they "repeatedly held themselves out ... as a single entity"); *Reflectix, Inc. v. Promethean Tech*, IPR2015-00039, Paper No. 18, at 11-12 (Apr. 24, 2015).

For example, SXM Holdings submits SEC filings describing its satellite radio business, conflating parent and subsidiary as "we," and indicating that SXM Holdings conducts real-world operations like "transmit[ting] music," "acquir[ing] subscribers through marketing," and entering "agreements" with automakers. Ex. 2001-4. SXM Holdings and Petitioner are jointly involved in legal matters, including lawsuits that name only Petitioner but for which SXM Holdings participates in settlement negotiations and makes payments. Ex. 2011-19. SXM Holdings and Petitioner also share the exact same nine-person top-level executive team, including the same CEO and President. See Ex. 2004-2 to -3. The Board has emphasized that the "presence at the helm" of a single individual as "CEO of both parent and subsidiary," "strongly implies an involved and controlling parent corporation representing the unified interests of itself and Petitioner." Galderma, IPR2014-01422, Paper No. 14, at 12. Importantly, several of the high-level positions at SXM Holdings involve specific operational responsibilities, such as

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.