

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SIRIUS XM RADIO INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

FRAUNHOFER-GESELLSCHAFT ZUR FÖRDERUNG DER
ANGEWANDTEN FORSCHUNG E.V.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00681
Patent No. 7,061,997

FRAUNHOFER'S PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Mail Stop “PATENT BOARD”
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. THE PETITION IS DEFECTIVE BECAUSE IT FAILS TO NAME ALL REAL PARTIES-IN-INTEREST	4
A. Factual Background.....	5
1. Sirius XM Holdings Inc.	5
2. Liberty Media Corporation	11
B. SXM Holdings and Liberty Media are Real Parties-In-Interest	11
1. SXM Holdings Fully Controls Sirius XM Based on its Identical Executive Leadership and Intertwined Operation.....	11
2. Liberty Media Substantially Controls Sirius XM Through its Corporate Parent SXM Holdings	17
C. The Petition Must be Dismissed as Sirius XM Failed to Disclose All Real Parties-In-Interest Within the One-Year Bar Date.....	18
III. THE '997 PATENT	21
A. Summary of the '997 Patent.....	21
B. Prosecution History of the '997 Patent	25
IV. THE PRIOR ART DIFFERS FROM THE '997 PATENT	26
A. Tsujishita Overview	26
B. Classen Overview.....	31
V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL	32

	<u>Page</u>
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	32
A. Claim Construction Standard	32
B. Preamble Is Limiting	33
VII. THE PETITION'S GROUNDS 1 AND 2 COMBINATIONS SHOULD BE DENIED	38
A. Neither Tsujishita (Ground 1) Nor Tsujishita in View of the Knowledge of a POSA (Ground 2) Discloses or Teaches “each symbol being differentially coded in the direction of the frequency axis” as Recited in Claim 1	38
B. Neither Tsujishita (Ground 1) Nor Tsujishita in View of the Knowledge of a POSA (Ground 2) Discloses or Teaches “a M-PSK decision device” as Recited in Claim 1.....	40
VIII. THE PETITION'S GROUND 3 COMBINATION SHOULD BE DENIED.....	43
IX. CONCLUSION.....	44

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Anthony Parker v. Sirius XM Radio Inc.</i> , No. 8:15-cv-01710-JSM-EAJ (M.D. Fla).....	17
<i>Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 832 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	42
<i>Atlanta Gas Light Co. v. Bennett Regulator Guards, Inc.</i> , Case IPR2013-00453 (PTAB Jan. 6, 2015).....	13, 14
<i>Catalina Mktg. Int'l, Inc. v. Coolsavings.com, Inc.</i> , 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	37
<i>Eaton Corp. v. Rockwell Int'l Corp.</i> , 323 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	34
<i>Eli Lilly & Co. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.</i> , 619 F.3d 1329 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	43
<i>Erik Knutson v. Sirius XM Radio Inc.</i> , No. 12-cv-0418-AJB (S.D. Cal.)	16
<i>Francis W. Hooker v. Sirius XM Radio Inc.</i> , No. 4:13-cv-3 (E.D. Va.)	17
<i>Galderma S.A. v. Allergan Industrie, SAS</i> , Case IPR2014-01422 (PTAB Mar. 5, 2015)	4, 5, 13
<i>Galderma</i> , Case IPR2014-01422, Paper No. 14	13, 14, 15
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	42
<i>Kraft Foods Group Brands LLC v. TC Heartland, LLC</i> , 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 28318 (D. Del. 2016).....	37

<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 127 S.Ct. 1727 (2007).....	42
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	34, 38
<i>Poly-America, LP v. GSE Lining Technology, Inc.</i> , 383 F. 3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	35
<i>SoundExchange, Inc. v. Sirius XM Radio, Inc.</i> , No.13-cv-1290-RJL (D.D.C.)	6, 10, 11, 16
<i>SoundExchange, Inc. v. Sirius XM Radio, Inc.</i> , No.17-cv-02666-RJL (D.D.C.)	11, 16
<i>Storage Technology Corp. v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , 329 F. 3d 823 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	35
<i>Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic Inc.</i> , 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	38
<i>Woods v. DeAngelo Marine Exhaust, Inc.</i> , 692 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	42
<i>Yefim Elikman v. Sirius XM Radio Inc. and Career Horizons, Inc.</i> , No. 1:15-cv-02093 (N.D. Ill.)	17
<i>Zoll Lifecor Corp. v. Philips Elec. N. Am. Corp.</i> , Case IPR2013-00606 (PTAB Mar. 10, 2014)	4, 14, 15, 19

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102(e)	1
35 U.S.C. § 103	1
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)	20
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(2).....	1, 4, 45
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	45
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)	3, 19, 20

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.