

IPR2018-00608
Patent Owner Response

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC AND
AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS OF NEW YORK, LLC,
Petitioners,

v.

ALMIRALL, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00608
Patent 9,161,926

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Introduction.....	1
II. The '926 Patent.....	4
III. Background of the Invention and State of the Relevant Art	7
A. Dapsone	7
B. Acne.....	9
1. Acne Has Multiple Causes.....	9
2. Prior Art Acne Treatments.....	13
C. Rosacea.....	20
IV. Scope and Content of the Prior Art Concerning Topical Dapsone Compositions and treatments.....	21
V. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	28
VI. Claim Construction: “DAPSONE”.....	30
VII. The Prior Art of Petitioner’s Grounds doES not render obvious the CHALLENGED claims of the '926 patent	32
A. The State of the Art in 2012 Provided No Motivation to Develop a New Dapsone Formulation.....	35
B. Only Hindsight Can Explain Why a Skilled Artisan Would Look to Garrett in Developing a Novel Dapsone Formulation	38
C. Garrett Neither Discloses All Elements of the Claimed Dapsone Formulation Nor Provides Motivation to Derive Them.....	40

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
1. Garrett Teaches Away from Using the Claimed Dapsone Compound.....	40
2. Garrett Provides No Motivation or Teaching to Use an Increased Dapsone Concentration of 7.5%.....	41
3. Garrett Provides No Motivation or Teaching to Use an Increased DGME Concentration of at Least 30%	43
4. Garrett Provides No Motivation or Teaching to Use A/SA Copolymer as the Polymeric Viscosity Builder.....	46
5. Garrett Provides No Motivation or Teaching to Exclude Adapalene.....	48
D. A Skilled Artisan Would Not Have Been Motivated to Substitute Garrett’s Polymeric Viscosity Builder with One of Nadau-Fourcade or Bonacucina, Nor Would Either Combination Disclose All Claim Limitations.....	50
1. No Credible Motivation Existed to Combine Garrett with Nadau-Fourcade and Less than All Claim Limitations are Disclosed Even Upon Combination.....	51
2. No Credible Motivation Existed to Combine Garrett with Bonacucina and Less than All Claim Limitations are Disclosed Even Upon Combination.....	56
VIII. CONCLUSION.....	60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Conopco, Inc. v. Procter & Gamble Co.</i> , IPR 2013-00505	54
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	32
<i>In re Geisler</i> , 116 F.3d 1465 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	45
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int’l, Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	45
<i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	33, 51
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Leo Pharm. Prods., Ltd. v. Rea</i> , 726 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	33, 35, 48
<i>Multilayer Stretch Cling Film Holdings, Inc. v. Berry Plastics Corp.</i> , 831 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	54, 58
<i>Nestle USA, Inc. v. Steuben Foods, Inc.</i> , 686 F. App’x 917 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	30
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	30
<i>Polaris Indus., Inc. v. Arctic Cat, Inc.</i> , 882 F.3d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	39, 40
<i>PPC Broadband, Inc. v. Corning Optical Commc’ns. RF, LLC</i> , 815 F.3d 747 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	30

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>Randall Mfg. v. Rea</i> , 733 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	41, 43, 46
<i>Süd-Chemie, Inc. v. Multisorb Techs., Inc.</i> 554 F.3d 1001 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	49
<i>Unigene Labs., Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 655 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	54
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 103	50
35 U.S.C. § 316(e)	5, 32
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
37 C.F.R. § 42.1(d)	32
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	30
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....	50
83 Fed. Reg. 51,340 (Oct. 11, 2018).....	30

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.