

*Petition for Inter Partes Review
of U.S. Pat. No. 8,724,622*

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

UNILOC USA, INC. and UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-00580
Patent 8,724,622

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1).....	1
A.	Real Party-In-Interest under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	1
B.	Related Matters under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	1
C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	5
D.	Service Information	5
E.	Power of Attorney	6
II.	Fee Payment - 37 C.F.R. § 42.103.....	6
III.	Requirements for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104 and 42.108.....	6
A.	Grounds for Standing under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	6
B.	Identification of Challenge under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Statement of Precise Relief Requested.....	6
IV.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	8
V.	Claim Construction Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3)	8
A.	“connection object messages”	8
B.	“communication platform system”.....	10
VI.	The Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable	11
A.	Brief Summary and Date Qualification of the Prior Art	11
1.	Overview of Zydney (Ex. 1103).....	11
2.	Overview of Shinder (Ex. 1114)	14
3.	Overview of Hethmon (Ex. 1109)	15
4.	Overview of Microsoft (1991) (Ex. 1118) and Moghe (Ex. 1119).....	18
B.	Ground 1: Claims 4, 5, and 24-26 Are Obvious Over Zydney + Shinder + Hethmon.....	21
1.	Claim 3 (From Which Challenged Claims 4 and 5 Depend), Obviousness Over Zydney in view of Shinder	22
(a)	“A system comprising:” (Preamble, Claim 3).....	22

(b)	“a network interface connected to a packet-switched network;” (Claim 3[a])	22
(i)	“a network interface”	22
(ii)	“...connected to a packet-switched network;”	27
(c)	“a messaging system communicating with a plurality of instant voice message client systems via the network interface; and” (Claim 3[b])	28
(d)	“a communication platform system maintaining connection information for each of the plurality of instant voice message client systems indicating whether there is a current connection to each of the plurality of instant voice message client systems,” (Claim 3[c])	32
(e)	“wherein the messaging system receives an instant voice message from one of the plurality of instant voice message client systems, and” (Claim 3[d]).....	34
(f)	“wherein the instant voice message includes an object field including a digitized audio file.” (Claim 3[e])	35
(i)	“object field”	35
(ii)	“digitized audio file”	37
2.	Claim 4 (Dependent): “The system according to claim 3, wherein the instant voice message includes an action field identifying one of a predetermined set of permitted actions requested by the user.”	38
3.	Claim 5 (Dependent): “The system according to claim 4, wherein the predetermined set of permitted actions includes at least one of a connection request, a disconnection request, a subscription request, an unsubscription request, a message transmission request, and a set status request.”	46
4.	Claim 24 (Independent)	47
(a)	“A system comprising:” (Claim 24, Preamble)	47

“a network interface connected to a packet-switched network;” (Claim 24[a]).....	47
“a messaging system communicating with a plurality of instant voice message client systems via the network interface; and” (Claim 24[b])	47
“a communication platform system maintaining connection information for each of the plurality of instant voice message client systems indicating whether there is a current connection to each of the plurality of instant voice message client systems,” (Claim 24[c]).....	47
(b) “wherein the messaging system receives connection object messages from the plurality of instant voice message client systems,” (Claim 24[d]).....	47
(c) “wherein each of the connection object messages includes data representing a state of a logical connection with a given one of the plurality of instant voice message client systems.” (Claim 24[d1]).....	54
5. Claim 25 (Dependent): “The system according to claim 24, wherein the connection object messages identifies at least one of a socket, a size of data to be transferred and a priority of the data.”	55
6. Claim 26 (Dependent): “The system according to claim 24, wherein the communication platform system populates a connection list for the plurality of instant voice message client systems with the data in the connection object messages received from each of the plurality of instant voice message client systems.”.....	55
C. Ground 2: Claim 12 Is Obvious Over Zydney + Shinder + Microsoft (1991) + Moghe	58

*Petition for Inter Partes Review
of U.S. Pat. No. 8,724,622*

1. Claim 12 (Dependent): “The system according to claim 3, wherein the communication platform system updates the connection information for each of the instant voice message client systems by periodically transmitting a connection status request to the given one of the plurality of instant voice message client systems.” 58

VII. This Petition is substantively identical to '1668 and '1805 66

VIII. The Board should institute in view of *Blue Coat Systems* 66

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.