

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.  
Petitioner

v.

UNILOC USA, INC., UNILOC LUXEMBOURG, S.A.  
Patent Owners

---

Case IPR2018-00579  
Patent 8,724,622

---

**MOTION FOR JOINDER UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 315(c)  
AND 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 AND 42.122(b)**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|      |  |   |
|------|--|---|
| I.   | STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED .....  | 1 |
| II.  | STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS .....  | 2 |
| III. | STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED .....  | 2 |
|      | A. Joinder is Appropriate .....  | 4 |
|      | B. No new grounds of unpatentability are asserted in this Petition.....                                      | 4 |
|      | C. Joinder will have, at most, a minimal impact on the trial<br>schedule and costs for the existing IPR..... | 5 |
|      | D. Procedures to simplify briefing and discovery.....  | 5 |
| IV.  | CONCLUSION.....  | 7 |

*Motion for Joinder  
Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,724,622*

**I. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED**

Petitioner Apple Inc. (“Apple”) respectfully requests joinder pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b) of the concurrently filed Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,724,622 (“the ’622 patent”) (“Apple Petition”) with pending *Inter Partes* review, IPR2017-01667 (“Facebook IPR”).

Joinder is appropriate because it will promote efficient resolution of the validity of the ’622 patent, as the timely Apple Petition involves the same ’622 patent, covers the claims instituted in the Facebook IPR, while relying on the same arguments and evidentiary record.<sup>1</sup> No new grounds of unpatentability are asserted in the Apple Petition and there will be, at most, a minimal impact on the trial schedule for the existing review. Apple further identifies procedures the Board may adopt to simplify briefing and discovery. Therefore, joinder would neither complicate the issues nor unduly delay IPR2017-01667.

Apple has notified counsel for Petitioners in the Facebook IPR regarding the subject of this motion and counsel has indicated they do not oppose this motion.

---

<sup>1</sup> Apple’s Exhibits are identical to the corresponding Facebook Exhibits and have been re-labeled as “Apple” Exhibits.

## **II. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS**

On July 20, 2017, Apple filed a petition for *Inter Partes* Review (“IPR”) *Apple Inc. v. Uniloc USA, Inc.*, IPR2017-01804, Paper 2 (PTAB July 20, 2017). The ’1804 petition was substantively identical to the Facebook Petition--aside from Sections VII-VIII of the Apple-filed ’1804 petition.

On January 19, 2018, the Board denied institution of the ’1804 petition “without prejudice to Petitioner’s ability to file a new petition accompanied by a request for joinder pursuant to and within the time period permitted by 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b).” *Apple Inc. v. Uniloc USA, Inc.*, IPR2017-01804, Paper 8, pp. 6-7 (PTAB January 19, 2018).

## **III. STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED**

The Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (“AIA”) permits joinder of IPR proceedings. The statutory provision governing joinder of post-grant review proceedings is 35 U.S.C. § 315(c):

If the Director institutes an inter partes review, the Director, in his or her discretion, may join as a party to that inter partes review any person who properly files a petition under section 311 that the Director, after receiving a preliminary response under section 313 or the expiration of the time for filing such a response, determines warrants the institution of an inter partes review under section 314.

*Motion for Joinder  
Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,724,622*

37 C.F.R. § 42.122(a) provides that, “[w]here another matter involving the patent is before the Office, the Board may during the pendency of the *inter partes* review enter any appropriate order regarding the additional matter including providing for the stay, transfer, consolidation, or termination of any such matter.”

“The Board will determine whether to grant joinder on a case-by-case basis, taking into account the particular facts of each case, substantive and procedural issues, and other considerations.” IPR2013-00385, Paper 17 at 3 (citing 157 CONG. REC. S1376 (daily ed. Mar. 8, 2011) (statement of Sen. Kyl)). “The Board’s rules for AIA proceedings ‘shall be construed to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive resolution of every proceeding.’” CBM2014-00115, Paper 8 at 19 (citing 37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b); 77 Red. Reg. at 48,758). Indeed, there is a “policy preference for joining a party that does not present new issues that might complicate or delay an existing proceeding.” *See Dell Inc. v. Network-1 Sec. Solutions, Inc.*, IPR2013-00385, Paper 17 at 10 (PTAB July 29, 2013) (citing 157 CONG. REC. S1376 (daily ed. Mar. 8, 2011) (statement of Sen. Kyl) (“The Office anticipates that joinder will be allowed as of right – if an *inter partes* review is instituted on the basis of a petition, for example, a party that files an *identical petition* will be joined to that proceeding, and thus allowed to file its own briefs and make its own arguments.”) (emphasis added)). That is precisely the situation here.

In accordance with the Board’s governing law and rules, each of the factors

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.