

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

GARMAN INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND GARMIN USA, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

LOGANTREE, LP,  
Patent Owner.

---

Cases<sup>1</sup>

IPR2018-00564 (Patent No. 6,059,576 C1)

IPR2018-00565 (Patent No. 6,059,576 C1)

---

Before PATRICK R. SCANLON, MITCHELL G. WEATHERLY, and  
JAMES A. WORTH, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

WORTH, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Granting Patent Owner's Motions for Admission  
*Pro Hac Vice* of Arnold Shokouhi and James E. Sherry  
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)

---

<sup>1</sup> This order addresses issues that are the same in all identified proceedings. We exercise our discretion to issue one order to be filed in each proceeding. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading in subsequent papers.

IPR2018-00564 (Patent No. 6,059,576 C1)

IPR2018-00565 (Patent No. 6,059,576 C1)

Patent Owner submitted motions in each of the above-identified proceedings for admission *pro hac vice* of Arnold Shokouhi (Paper 6) and James E. Sherry (Paper 7) (collectively, “Motions”).<sup>2</sup> The Motions are supported by Declarations<sup>3</sup> that were filed within each Paper<sup>4</sup>. Powers of Attorney were submitted for Mr. Sherry and Mr. Shokouhi. Paper 5. In addition, Mandatory Notice information was submitted for Mr. Sherry and Mr. Shokouhi. Paper 4, 3. Petitioner has not opposed the Motions.

The Board has reviewed the submissions and determined that the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.10 have been met and there is good cause to admit Mr. Shokouhi and Mr. Sherry *pro hac vice*.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motions for admission *pro hac vice* of Arnold Shokouhi and James E. Sherry are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Shokouhi and Mr. Sherry are authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel only in the above-identified proceedings;

---

<sup>2</sup> Paper numbers refer to Case IPR2018-00564. Corresponding papers were filed in IPR2018-00565.

<sup>3</sup> The Declarations state that “I have read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of the C.F.R.” Paper 6, 6; *see also* Paper 7, 6. The Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials are set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations.

<sup>4</sup> Patent Owner filed the Declarations within the Motions. We deem this to be harmless error, however, Patent Owner is reminded that affidavits and declarations must be filed as exhibits. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.63(a) (“Evidence consists of affidavits, transcripts of depositions, documents, and things. All evidence must be filed in the form of an exhibit.”).

IPR2018-00564 (Patent No. 6,059,576 C1)

IPR2018-00565 (Patent No. 6,059,576 C1)

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Shokouhi and Mr. Sherry shall comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, as updated by the August 2018 Update, 83 Federal Register 39,989 (Aug. 13, 2018), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Shokouhi and Mr. Sherry shall be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a) and the Office's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901.

PETITIONER:

Adam P. Seitz  
Clifford T. Brazil  
ERISE IP, P.A.  
adam.seitz@eriseip.com  
cliff.brazil@eriseip.com

PATENT OWNER:

Christopher M. Barkley  
BARKLEY IP  
chris@barkleyip.com