

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re *Inter Partes* Review of:                    )  
U.S. Patent No. 8,783,882                        )  
Issued: July 22, 2014                            )  
Application No.: 14/054,004                    )

**For: Extended Field of View Exterior Mirror Element For Vehicle**

**FILED VIA E2E**

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,783,882**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. Introduction.....1

II. Mandatory Notices under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....2

    A. Real Parties-In-Interest.....2

    B. Related Matters.....3

    C. Grounds For Standing .....3

    D. Lead And Backup Counsel And Service Information .....3

    E. Fee For *Inter Partes* Review .....4

III. Identification Of Challenges (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)).....4

IV. Background.....5

    A. The '882 Patent (Ex. 1001) .....5

    B. The Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art (“POSA”).....6

    C. Claim Construction .....6

        1. “side-by-side”.....7

V. Magna’s ’026 Publication Is Prior Art Under §§ 102(a) And (e) .....8

VI. The ’882 Patent Is Entitled To An Effective Filing Date No Earlier Than August 5, 2010, So The ’026 Publication Is Also § 102(b) Prior Art.....8

    A. Background Law .....9

    B. Background Facts.....10

        1. Magna previously prosecuted, and abandoned, a two-mirror design in the ’712 patent family .....10

        2. Magna impermissibly used the ’843 patent’s application to revive prosecution of the abandoned ’712 patent family .....11

3.	Magna’s defective attempt to antedate the prior art and claim priority to the ’712 patent family .....	14
4.	The examiner legally erred by finding the declaration sufficient to antedate the prior-art ’026 publication .....	18
C.	The ’882 Patent Is Not Entitled To The Filing Date Of Earlier-Filed Applications Because The Relevant Portions Of The ’451 And ’712 Patents Were Not Incorporated By Reference.....	20
D.	The ‘882 patent’s Claims Are Not Supported By The ’666 Application Regardless Of The Extent Of Incorporation By Reference.....	25
1.	The written description requirement in 35 U.S.C. § 120.....	25
2.	The ’666 application lacks written description support for the ‘882 patent’s claims even if the ’712 and ’451 patents were incorporated in their entireties .....	26
E.	Magna’s Priority Claim is Defective.....	34
VII.	Ground 1: Claims 1-20 Are Anticipated By The ’026 Publication (Ex. 1011).....	36
A.	Independent claim 1 .....	37
1.	Preamble, [a], [b], [l] housing and polymeric back plate .....	38
2.	[c] A electrically-operated actuator .....	40
3.	[k] Backing plate mounted to actuator.....	40
4.	[d], [f] Support portions .....	41
5.	[e], [h] mirror elements .....	41
6.	[g] Mounted adjacently .....	42
7.	[i], [j] Different and overlapping rearward fields of view .....	44
8.	[n], [o] “overall rearward field of view” .....	45
9.	[m] Reflective Element Substrates .....	45

B.	Claims 2, 3, 7, 11, 14 – Metallic coating on auxiliary with spherically convex bent glass substrate and main planar mirror .....	45
C.	Claim 4 – Rearward field of view .....	46
D.	Claim 5 – Tilted downward.....	47
E.	Claim 6 – Blind spot.....	48
F.	Claim 8 – Overlapping fields of view .....	48
G.	Claim 9 – Fixed reflectance .....	49
H.	Claim 10 – Adhesive or mechanical attachment.....	49
I.	Claim 12 – Heater element.....	49
J.	Claim 13 – metallic reflector coating types .....	49
K.	Independent Claim 15 .....	50
	1. All but elements 15[g] and [i] addressed in Claim 1 .....	50
	2. [g] Metallic Reflector.....	50
	3. [i] Matching curvature .....	51
L.	Claims 16-17 .....	51
M.	Independent Claim 18 .....	51
	1. All but elements 18[g] addressed in Claim 1.....	51
	2. [g] Fixed reflectance .....	52
N.	Claims 19-20: Same as claims 4-5 .....	52
VIII.	Ground 2: Claims 1, 2, 3, 18, and 20 Would Have Been Obvious Over Henion (Ex. 1012), Platzer (Ex. 1013), Catlin (Ex. 1034), Silvestre (Ex. 1037), and Yamabe (Ex. 1038).....	52
A.	Overview .....	53
B.	The Asserted Prior Art .....	53

1.	International Pub. No. WO 2001/44013 (Ex. 1012, “Henion”).....	53
2.	International Pub. No. WO 2001/81956 (Ex. 1013, “Platzer”).....	54
3.	U.S. Pat. No. 5,721,646 (Ex. 1034, “Catlin”).....	54
4.	FR 2650982 (Ex. 1037, “Silvestre”).....	55
5.	U.S. Pat. No. 6,984,048 (Ex. 1038, “Yamabe”) .....	55
C.	Motivation To Combine .....	55
D.	Independent Claim 1 .....	56
1.	Preamble, [a] Mirror Housing.....	56
2.	[b] Backing Plate.....	57
3.	[c] Actuator .....	58
4.	[d] Plano Mirror .....	58
5.	[f] Auxiliary Mirror.....	60
6.	[e], [h] Rearward Field of View.....	60
7.	[g] Mounted Adjacently, Side-By-Side, And Not Superimposed.....	61
8.	[i] Overlapping FOVs .....	63
9.	[j] Angled .....	63
10.	[k] Common Actuator .....	64
11.	[l] Polymeric Molding.....	65
12.	[m] Reflective Element Substrate .....	68
13.	[n] At Least About 25 Degrees .....	69
14.	[o] Combined FOV of less than about 50 degrees.....	71

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.