

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
13/590,854	08/21/2012	Niall R. Lynam	DON09 P-1852	6002
15671 Gardner Linn	7590 04/23/2013 Burkhart & Flory, LLP		EXAM	INER
Gardner, Linn, Burkhart & Flory, LLP 2851 Charlevoix Dr. SE, Suite 207	AMARI, ALESSANDRO V			
SE, Suite 207 Grand Rapids, MI 49546			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Grand Kapius,	WII 49340		2872	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2013	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sytsma@glbf.com mason@glbf.com patents@glbf.com



	Application No. 13/590,854	Applicant(s) LYNAM, NIALL R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner ALESSANDRO AMARI	Art Unit 2872	AIA (First Inventor to File) Status No			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date o ED (35 U.S.C. § 13	f this communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under <b>37 CFR 1.130(b)</b> was/were filed on						
	s action is non-final.					
3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on						
; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.  4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	#2.00 350 350 350 350 350 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	ere (De Silieria)				
5) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.						
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
* If any claims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may be eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Prosecution Highway</b> program at a						
participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp">http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</a> or send an inquiry to <a href="mailto:PPHfeedback@uspto.gov">PPHfeedback@uspto.gov</a> .						
A d S Charles	an inquiry to <u>FFFHeedback@uspto.</u>	<u>gov</u> .				
Application Papers	25					
10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 August 2012</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	**************************************			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a	)-(d) or (f)				
Certified copies:						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Interim copies:  a) All b) Some c) None of the: Interim copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	3) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/19/2012.	4) Other:					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 03-13) Office Action	Summary	Part of Paper No	o./Mail Date 20130417			



Application/Control Number: 13/590,854 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

### DETAILED ACTION

### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.



Art Unit: 2872

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 4 and 7 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 10 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 8,128,243 in view of Davis US 3,826,563.

Claims 1, 4, 7 of the instant application are broader in scope than those of claims 1, 2, 10 and 11 of US 8,128,243 and therefore teach the invention except for the bracket. Davis teaches a bracket (14, Fig. 1) fixedly secured to a motor vehicle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the bracket as taught by Davis in combination with US 8,128,243 in order to securely fasten the mirror to the vehicle.

Claims 1, 4 and 7 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. US 7,934,843 in view of Davis US 3,826,563.

Claims 1, 4, 7 of the instant application are broader in scope than those of claims 1 and 4 of US 7,934,843 and therefore teach the invention except for the bracket. Davis teaches a bracket (14, Fig. 1) fixedly secured to a motor vehicle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the bracket as taught by Davis in combination with US 7,934,843 in order to securely fasten the mirror to the vehicle.



Application/Control Number: 13/590,854 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lynam et al US 2002/0072026.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

In regard to claims 1, 4 and 7, Lynam discloses (see Figs. 2, 5, 6, 8) an exterior rearview mirror assembly for a motor vehicle, said exterior rearview mirror assembly comprising: a bracket (38) fixedly secured to the motor vehicle; a mirror casing (40) secured to said bracket, said mirror casing defining a primary opening; a primary mirror (50) disposed within said primary opening for providing a view rearward of the motor vehicle through a primary field of view, said primary mirror defining a primary plane; a



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

