Principles and Practice of Genitourinary Oncology

Derek Raghavan, MBBS, PhD, FRACP, FACP

Chief, Departments of Solid Tumor Oncology and Investigational Therapeutics Roswell Park Cancer Institute and Professor of Medicine and Urology State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, New York

Steven A. Leibel, MD

Vice Chairman and Clinical Director Attending Radiation Oncologist Department of Radiation Oncology Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center New York, New York

ţ

Howard I. Scher, MD

Chief, Genitourinary Oncology Service Associate Attending Physician Division of Solid Tumor Oncology Department of Medicine Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center New York, New York

Paul Lange, MD, FACS

Professor and Chair Department of Urology University of Washington Seattle, Washington

With 226 Additional Contributors



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Developmental Editor: Eileen Wolfberg Jackson Project Editor: Ellen M. Campbell Production Manager: Caren Erlichman Production Coordinator: MaryClare Malady Design Coordinator: Doug Smock Indexer: Steve Sorenson Compositor: Maryland Composition Company, Inc. Printer: Courier Book Company/Westford

Copyright © 1997 by Lippincott-Raven Publishers. All rights reserved. This book is protected by copyright. No part of it may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means—electronic, mechanical, photocopy, recording, or otherwise—without the prior written permission of the publisher, except for brief quotations embodied in critical articles and reviews. Printed in the United States of America. For information write Lippincott-Raven Publishers, 227 East Washington Square, Philadelphia, PA 19106-3780.

Materials appearing in this book prepareed by individuals as part of their official duties as U.S. Government employees are not covered by the above-mentioned copyright.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Principles and practice of genitourinary oncology / Derek Raghavan . . .

[et al.]; with 226 additional contributors.
p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 0-397-51458-1 (alk. paper)
1. Genitourinary organs—Cancer.
1. Raghavan, Derek.
[DNLM: 1. Urogenital Neoplasms. WJ 160 P9573 1996]
RC280.U74P746 1996
616.99'26—dc20
DNLM/DLC
for Library of Congress
96-8893

CIP

î

1

ļ

Care has been taken to confirm the accuracy of the information presented and to describe generally accepted practices. However, the authors, editors, and publisher are not responsible for errors or omissions or for any consequences from application of the information in this book and make no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the contents of the publication.

The authors, editors, and publisher have exerted every effort to ensure that drug selection and dosage set forth in this text are in accordance with current recommendations and practice at the time of publication. However, in view of ongoing research, changes in government regulations, and the constant flow of information relating to drug therapy and drug reactions, the reader is urged to check the package insert for each drug for any change in indications and dosage and for added warnings and precautions. This is particularly important when the recommended agent is a new or infrequently employed drug.

Some drugs and medical devices presented in this publication have Food and Drug Administration (FDA) clearance for limited use in restricted research settings. It is the responsibility of the health care provider to ascertain the FDA status of each drug or device planned for use in their clinical practice.

987654321

DOCKE

CHAPTER 85

Chemotherapy for Renal Cell Carcinoma

Robert J. Motzer and Nicholas J. Vogelzang

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is a frequent cause of cancer mortality, responsible for more than 10,000 deaths per year in the United States.¹ This is the result of a lack of effective systemic treatment for patients with metastatic disease. Advanced RCC is characterized by a high level of resistance to all treatment modalities that have been studied, including cytotoxic agents, hormonal therapy, and biologic response modifiers. Although no single agent consistently shows a response proportion of 20% or higher, interleukin 2 (IL2) and interferon- α (IFNA) have demonstrated a low but reproducible response proportion in the 10% to 20% range, with durable responses of 5% or less.² The experience with chemotherapy and hormonal treatment are reviewed in this chapter, along with the general principles of management for patients with advanced RCC.

CHEMOTHERAPY

Investigative efforts with chemotherapeutic agents have been extensive. Prior to 1975, nitrogen mustard,³ hydroxyurea,⁴ lomustine,⁵ dacarbazine,⁶ and hexamethylmelamine⁶ were studied and did not show antitumor activity for RCC. A comprehensive review of the published literature shows that from 1975 through 1994, 80 single agents were studied in 155 trials (Table 85-1). Overall, 143 (4%) responses were achieved in 3951 evaluable patients. No agent has been shown to achieve major responses (complete or partial) in more than 20% of evaluable patients (with a sample size of 14 or more patients). Because of the lack of antitumor activity with conventional agents, the study of new agents remains justifiable in chemotherapy-naive patients.

The two agents that have been reported to have some, albeit minimal, antitumor activity are vinblastine and floxuridine (FUDR). Early studies suggested vinblastine had activity as a single agent, with a 26% response proportion reported in 135 patients.⁷ This study served as the basis for the inclusion of vinblastine in trials as a part of combined therapy with IFN or with agents that modulate multidrug resistance (MDR). However, the results of more recent trials with vinblastine showed only nine responses in 135 (6%) evaluable patients (see Table 85-1).^{74,90,195–199}

A 20% response proportion was reported with continuous intravenous infusion of FUDR administered according to a circadian schedule.⁸ Response proportions ranged from 0% to 14% in seven subsequent trials of FUDR given in a similar fashion; one of these trials included folinic acid.⁹⁻¹⁵ Enthusiasm prompted by the first trial resulted in the conduction of a randomized multicenter phase III trial of FUDR administered by flat continuous infusion versus a circadian modified 14-day infusion schedule. The preliminary report of this trial indicated that the response proportion for 82 evaluable patients treated in both arms was 9% (95% confidence interval, 4% to 17%).¹⁶

In addition to the trials of single agents, many combinations of chemotherapy agents have been studied.¹⁷⁻²⁵ These have not shown superior antitumor activity over the single agents, and toxicity was generally increased. The lack of antitumor activity for any of the many chemotherapy agents that have been studied emphasizes the need for novel treatment strategies in patients with advanced RCC.

HORMONAL THERAPY

The rationale for the study of hormonal agents in RCC was provided by results obtained in animal models in the 1940s and the low concentrations of progesterone receptors found in human RCC.²⁶ The animal models showed hormone dependence and responsiveness in renal cancers induced in the Syrian hamster model.²⁶

Bloom^{26,27} initially reported a 16% to 21% response proportion for medroxyprogesterone (MP) in RCC. In the four trials published since 1980, the response proportion declined to 5% (Table 85-2).²⁸⁻³¹ Other hormonal agents also have been extensively studied. Testosterone and various other androgens achieved an overall 7% response proportion (see Table 85-2). The direct androgen antagonist flutamide was shown to be inactive.³² The antiestrogens—tamoxifen, nafoxidine, and tormifene—were also studied in multiple trials and found to be relatively inactive, with a 6% response proportion achieved in 318 patients treated in 11 trials.

The addition of hormonal therapy to chemotherapy does not add efficacy; this was evident from the results of single-arm

005

886 / Chapter 85

DOCKET A L A R M TABLE 85-1. Results of chemotherapy for renal cell carcinoma

Ì

:

Agent	Year and reference	No. of patients	Complete response/ partial response (%)
Acivicin	198874	27	0/1 (4)
Aclacinomycin	1984 ⁷⁵	15	0/0 (0)
-Alanosine	1988 ⁷⁴	36	1/0 (3)
S-Aminonicatinamide	1989 ⁷⁶	19	1/0 (5)
Ametantrone	1985 ⁷⁷	25	0/2 (8)
Aminothiazide	1983 ⁷⁴	46	0/2 (0)
Amonafide	1988 1991 ⁷⁸	24	0/0 (0)
	1991		
Amsacrine	1980 ⁷⁹	16	0/0 (0)
	1980 ⁸⁰	21	0/0 (0)
	1983 ⁸¹	61	0/1 (2)
	1983 ⁸²	42	0/1 (2)
5'-Aza-2-deoxycytidine	1987 ⁸³	12	0/0 (0)
Bisantrene	1982 ⁸⁴	26	0/0 (0)
	1982 ⁸⁵	37	0/2 (3)
	1985 ⁸⁶	20	0/0 (0)
	1985 ⁸⁷	14	0/0 (0)
	1987 ¹⁹	29	1/2 (10)
Bloomyoin	1975 ⁸⁸	15	
Bleomycin	1975		0/0 (0)
	1976 ⁸⁹	8	0/3 (37)
	1977 ⁹⁰	7	0/0 (0)
Carboplatin	1988 ⁹¹	19	0/0 (0)
	1990 ⁹²	18	0/0 (0)
Chlorozotocin	1979 ⁹³	21	0/0 (0)
Displatin	1978 ⁹⁴	23	0/0 (0)
	1979 ⁹⁵	. 10	0/0 (0)
Cyclophosphamide	1975 ⁹⁶	10	0/0 (0)
y oophoophamao	1979 ⁹⁷	44	0/2 (4)
	1980 ⁹⁸	12	
Dive miseride-ele			0/0 (0)
Plus misonidazole	1986 ⁹⁹	30	0/1 (3)
Dactinomycin	1981 ²³	61	0/1 (2)
0-Deazaaminopterin	1984100	12	0/0 (0)
2-Deoxycoformycin (Pentostatin)	1991 ¹⁰¹	18	0/0 (0)
	1992 ¹⁰²	25	0/0 (0)
4'-Deoxydoxorubicin (Esorubicin)	1986 ¹⁰³	12	0/0 (0)
	1986 ¹⁰⁴	27	0/0 (0)
	1987 ¹⁰⁵	24	0/0 (0)
	1989 ¹⁰⁶	19	1/1 (10)
	1990 ¹⁰⁷	15	
-Demethoxydaunorubicin	1985 ¹⁰⁸		0/1 (7)
Dianhydrogalactitol	1903	19	0/0 (0)
hamiyorogalacillor	1981 ⁹⁷	53	0/0 (0)
N	1982 ¹⁰⁹	41	0/1 (2)
Diaziquone	1982110	20	0/0 (0)
	1984111	29	0/0 (0)
	1986112	55	0/1 (2)
	1986 ¹¹³	15	0/0 (0)
Dibromodulcitol (Mitolactol)	1981114	13	0/1 (8)
(1986 ¹¹⁵	31	1/2 (10)
Didemnin B	1990116	21	0/1 (5)
	1992 ¹¹⁷	22	
Docetaxel	1994 ¹¹⁸		0/0 (0)
Doxorubicin		18	0/0 (0)
	1977 ¹¹⁹	38	0/2 (5)
	1993 ¹²⁰	47	0/1 (2)
Elliptinium	1985 ¹²¹	8	0/0 (0)
	1985 ¹²²	38	2/3 (13)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1988 ¹²³	14	0/0 (0)
L'-Epi-adriamycin (Epirubicin)	1982 ¹²⁴	20	0/0 (0)
	1983 ¹²⁵	19	
stramustine	1983 ¹²⁵ 1981 ¹²⁶	19 16	0/0 (0) 0/0 (0)

LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

TABLE 85-1. Continued.

Agent	Year and reference	No. of patients	Complete response partial response (%
Floxurine (circadian)	1990 ⁸	56	4/7 (20)
loxume (circadian)	1990 ⁹	42	3/3 (14)
	1991 ¹⁰	14	0/0 (0)
	199111	40	0/4 (10)
	1992 ¹²	26	0/2 (8)
	1993 ¹³	28	0/4 (14)
	1993 ¹⁴	15	0/1 (7)
Plus folinic acid	1991 ¹⁵	15	0/0 (0)
By flat infusion	1991 ¹²⁷	29	0/0 (0)
by hat infusion	1993 ¹²⁸	29	1/5 (21)
	1987 ¹²⁹	30	
Fludarabine	1000130		0/0 (0)
	1989 ¹³⁰	15	0/0 (0)
i-Fluorouracil	1991 ⁴²	27	0/2 (7)
	1993 ⁴³	· 35	0/4 (11)
	199444	61	1/2 (5)
Plus folinic acid	1989 ⁴⁵	14	0/0 (0)
Fosquidone	1992 ¹³¹	21	0/0 (0)
Fotemustine	1991 ¹³²	62	1/3 (7)
	1993 ¹³³	16	0/0 (0)
ftorafur	1993 ¹³⁴	14	0/0 (0)
Gallium nitrate	1984 ¹³⁵	10	0/0 (0)
	1987 ¹³⁶	25	0/1 (4)
Gemcitibine	1992 ¹³⁷	30	1/2 (10)
	1993 ¹³⁸	18	0/1 (6)
łydroxyrea	1981 ¹³⁹	19	0/1 (5)
CRF-187	1986 ¹⁴⁰	40	0/0 (0)
	1980 ¹⁴¹	11	0/1 (9)
osfamide	1981 ¹⁴²	10	0/2 (20)
	1981		0/2 (20)
	1987 ¹⁴³	16	0/0 (0)
	1988 ¹⁴⁴	9 ·	0/0 (0)
iposomal encapsulated doxorubicin	1995 ¹⁴⁵	14	0/0 (0)
Lomustine	1977 ⁹⁰	9	0/0 (0)
	1986 ¹⁴⁶	5	0/0 (0)
onidamine	1986 ¹⁴⁷	25	0/2 (8)
	[:] 1991 ¹⁴⁸	19	1/1 (10)
Y186641	1993 ¹⁴⁹	16	1/0 (6)
<i>A</i> afosfamide	1992150	16	1/0 (6)
<i>Nelphalan</i>	1993 ¹⁵¹	8	0/0 (0)
lenogaril	1990 ¹⁵²	56	0/3 (5)
, ,	1991 ¹⁵³	15	0/0 (0)
lethodichlorophen	1979 ¹⁵⁴	10	0/3 (30)
	1980 ²⁰		0/2 (25)
Aethotrexate	1980 ¹⁵⁵	.8 25	1/3 (16)
Mitoguazone (methyl-GAG)	1981 ¹⁵⁶	31	0/0 (0)
	1981 ¹⁰⁰ 1982 ¹⁵⁷	30	0/3 (10)
	1981 ¹⁵⁸	14	0/0 (0)
•	1983 ¹⁵⁹	87	1/3 (4)
Aitomycin	1987 ¹⁶⁰	12	0/3 (25)
litotane	1981161	12	0/0 (0)
Mitoxantrone	1984 ¹⁶²	20	0/0 (0)
	1984 ¹⁶³	49	0/0 (0)
	1984 ¹⁶⁴	29	0/0 (0)
	1986 ¹⁶⁵	48	0/0 (0)
<i>litozolomide</i>	1989 ¹⁶⁶	17	0/0 (0)
N-methylformamide	1986 ¹⁶⁷	16	0/0 (0)
	1989 ¹⁶⁸	14 ·	0/0 (0)
Navelbine	1991 ¹⁶⁹	14	0/0 (0)
Navelunite	1993 ¹⁷⁰	24	1/0 (4)

(continued)

K

Į

DOCKET A L A R M

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.