

Declaration of Jose Sasian In Support Of
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of USP 8,591,047

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re *Inter Partes* Review of:)
U.S. Patent No. 8,591,047)
Issued: November 26, 2013)
Application No.: 13/776,091)

For: **Exterior Sideview Mirror System**

FILED VIA E2E

**DECLARATION OF DR. JOSE SASIAN IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,591,047**

Declaration of Jose Sasian In Support Of
Petition for *Inter Partes Review* of USP 8,591,047

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS	1
II.	SUMMARY OF MATERIALS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED.....	4
III.	LEVEL OF SKILL IN THE ART AND PERSPECTIVE APPLIED IN THIS DECLARATION	7
IV.	BACKGROUND LAW	8
	A. Written Description	8
	B. Incorporation By Reference.....	8
	C. Understanding Of Legal Principles Relevant To Anticipation And Obviousness	9
V.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS	11
VI.	THE '047 PATENT	12
	A. The '047 Patent's Disclosure.....	12
	B. Application For The '843 Patent	13
VII.	THE '666 APPLICATION LACKS WRITTEN DESCRIPTION SUPPORT FOR THE CLAIMS OF THE '047 PATENT.....	14
	A. A POSA Would Not Understand The 666 Patent Application To Incorporate With Particularity The Two-Mirror Assembly Of The '712 And '451 Patent Family.....	21
	B. A POSA Would Not Understand The '666 Application To Support The '047 Patent's Claims Even If The '451 And '712 Patents Were Fully Incorporated By Reference	27
	C. The Claims Of The '047 Patent Are Directed To A Two- Mirror System.....	38
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	41
	A. "side-by-side"	41
IX.	THE PRIOR ART AND BACKGROUND EVIDENCE.....	43
	A. Prior Art Relied Upon For Anticipation.....	43
	B. Prior Art Relied Upon For Obviousness Combinations.....	43

Declaration of Jose Sasian In Support Of
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of USP 8,591,047

1.	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0264011 (“the ‘011 publication”).....	43
2.	International Pub. No. WO 2001/44013 (“Henion”)	45
3.	International Pub. No. WO 2001/81956 (“Platzer”)	46
4.	U.S. Pat. No. 5,721,646 (“Catlin”).....	47
5.	U.S. Pat. No. 6,984,048 (“Yamabe”)	47
6.	FR 2650982 (“Silvestre”).....	48
C.	Background Evidence	49
X.	CLAIMS 1-6 AND 11-40 OF THE ’047 ARE ANTICIPATED BY THE ’026 PUBLICATION	50
A.	Claim 1.....	51
1.	Preamble, [a], [b], [h] housing and back plate	51
2.	[c] A electrically-operated actuator.....	54
3.	[l] Backing plate mounted to actuator	55
4.	[e], [i] mirror elements	57
5.	[m] Reflective Element Substrates	57
6.	[d], [f] Support portions.....	58
7.	[g] Mounted adjacently	60
8.	[j], [k], [n] Different and overlapping rearward fields of view	63
B.	Claim 2: Generally flat glass substrate	64
C.	Claims 3-6.....	65
D.	Claims 11-14, 32: Mirror and second portion shapes.....	69
E.	Claims 15-16.....	73
F.	Claim 17: Tilting.....	76
G.	Claims 18-28.....	77
1.	Claim 18: Blind spot.....	77
2.	Claims 19-24: Angled	78
3.	Claims 25-28: Overall field of view and overlap.....	81
H.	Claims 29 and 38: Fixed reflectance	84
I.	Claim 30: Attachments	85
J.	Claims 31, 37: Glass substrate.....	86
K.	Claim 33: Heater element	88
L.	Claims 34-35 – Driver-Side Assembly.....	89
M.	Claim 36: Relative sizes	90
N.	Claims 39-40.....	90
XI.	THE COMBINATION OF THE ’026 AND ’011 PUBLICATIONS WOULD HAVE RENDERED OBVIOUS CLAIMS 7-10.....	91

Declaration of Jose Sasian In Support Of
Petition for *Inter Partes Review* of USP 8,591,047

A.	Claim 1.....	91
B.	Claims 7-8.....	92
C.	Claim 9.....	99
D.	Claim 10.....	101
E.	Motivation To Combine	102
XII.	Claims 1, 15, 16, 18-20, 22-27, 33-34, 35, and 39 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER THE COMBINATION OF HENION, CATLIN, PLATZER, SILVESTRE, AND YAMABE	107
A.	Claim 1.....	107
1.	Preamble, [a] Mirror Housing.....	107
2.	[b] Backing Plate	108
3.	[c] Actuator.....	110
4.	[d] Plano Mirror.....	111
5.	[f] Auxiliary Mirror	114
6.	[e], [i] Rearward Field of View.....	116
7.	[g] Mounted Adjacently, Side-By-Side, and Not Superimposed	118
1.	[h] Polymeric Molding	121
8.	[j] Overlapping Fields of View	125
9.	[k] Angled.....	128
10.	[l] Common Actuator	129
11.	[m] Reflective Element Substrate	132
12.	[n] About 2 to 20 Degree Overlap.....	135
B.	Claim 15 - Less than About 20 Degrees.....	145
C.	Claim 16 - About 15 to 50 Degrees	150
D.	Claim 18 – Blind Spot	154
E.	Claim 19 - About 0.75 to 5 Degrees.....	156
F.	Claim 20 - Convex-Curved Substrate, Reflector Coating.....	162
G.	Claim 22 - Convex-Curved Backing Plate	164
H.	Claim 23 - Spherical Curvature	165
I.	Claim 24 - Spherical Backing Plate.....	166
J.	Claim 25 - At Least About 25 Degrees	167
K.	Claim 26 - Less Than About 50 Degrees	169
L.	Claim 27 - About 5 to 15 Degree Overlap.....	170
M.	Claim 33 - Auxiliary Heater Element.....	173
N.	Claim 34 - Driver-Side Assembly	173
O.	Claim 35 - At Least About 25 Degree Field of View.....	174
P.	Claim 39 - About 5 to 15 Degree Overlap.....	174

Declaration of Jose Sasian In Support Of
Petition for *Inter Partes Review* of USP 8,591,047

XIII. CONCLUSION 175

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.