

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SMR AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS USA, INC. *et al.*,
Petitioner,

v.

MAGNA MIRRORS OF AMERICA, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2018-00506
Patent No. 8,591,047

**PATENT OWNER MAGNA'S MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION
OF SCOTT M. BORDER**

Patent Owner Magna Mirrors of America, Inc. (“Magna”) respectfully requests that the Board recognize Scott M. Border, Esq. as *pro hac vice* and backup counsel for Magna for this proceeding.

I. BACKGROUND

Magna’s Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission is being filed pursuant to and in compliance with the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition and Time Period for Filing Patent Owner Preliminary Response, which was filed January 26, 2018 (Paper 3) (the “Notice”). The Notice authorizes parties to file motions for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Further to the Notice, such “motions shall be filed in accordance with the ‘Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission’ in Case IPR2013-00639” (the “Order”).

II. TIME OF FILING

This Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* admission is being filed in accordance with the Notice Authorizing the Filing of a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* admission, and is filed greater than 21 days after that Notice.

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

As required by the Order, the following statement of facts, supported by the attached Declaration of Scott M. Border in Support of Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission (Ex. 2015), shows that there is good cause for the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“Board”) to recognize Mr. Border *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

As required by 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), Magna lead counsel, Joseph A. Micallef, is a registered practitioner experienced in proceedings before the USPTO.

Mr. Border is an experienced litigation attorney. Mr. Border has been a litigating attorney for more than nine years, and has been involved in numerous patent litigation cases in federal courts and matters before the Board. Mr. Border's experience includes representing a wide range of clients in complex intellectual property litigation. Mr. Border has also appeared *pro hac vice* in other matters before the Board.¹ Mr. Border is a member in good standing of the Virginia State Bar and the District of Columbia Bar, with no suspensions or disbarments from practice, nor any application for admission to practice denied, nor any sanctions or contempt citations, and is admitted to practice in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, as well as the United States District Courts for the Northern District of Florida, Eastern District of Texas, Western District of Virginia

¹ Mr. Border was admitted *pro hac vice* in IPR2013-00292, IPR2014-00039, IPR2014-00040, IPR2014-00481, IPR2014-00482, IPR2015-00074, IPR2015-00810, IPR2015-00811, IPR2015-00812, IPR2015-00866, IPR2015-00868, IPR2015-00870, IPR2015-00871, IPR2015-01009, IPR2015-01010, IPR2015-01046, IPR2015-01047, IPR2016-00331, IPR2016-00332, IPR2016-01585, and IPR2016-00337.

and Eastern District of Virginia. His mailing address is at Sidley Austin LLP, 1501 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005, his email address is sborder@sidley.com, and his direct dial is (202) 736-8818.

Mr. Border has worked with lead counsel in most aspects of his participation in this proceeding. As such, he has reviewed and is familiar with (i) U.S. Patent Nos. 7,934,843; 8,147,077; 8,591,047; 8,128,244; 8,267,534; 8,783,882; 8,550,642; 8,899,762; and 9,694,750, the patents at issue in this and related proceedings, (ii) the prior art relied upon in SMR Automotive Systems USA, Inc.'s ("SMR") Petition, (iii) the legal and factual arguments that have been addressed by SMR, and (iv) the developments in this proceeding since the filing of SMR's Petition, as well as the developments in related matters before the Board. Mr. Border has also been involved in a number of other proceedings before the Board, has been admitted *pro hac vice* as backup counsel in other matters before the Board, and is familiar with its established practices. Accordingly, he has established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in these proceedings and the conduct of these proceedings to date.

Mr. Border has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules for Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R., and he agrees to be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct

set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§11.01 *et seq.*, and to disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. §11.19(a).

IV. ANALYSIS

The facts contained in the Statement of Facts above, and contained in the Border Declaration, establish that there is good cause to admit Mr. Border *pro hac vice* in this proceeding under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Magna's lead counsel is a registered practitioner, Mr. Border is an experienced litigating attorney, and Mr. Border has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in these proceedings.

V. CONCLUSION

Therefore, Magna respectfully submits that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Border as *Pro Hac Vice* counsel and backup counsel for Magna during these proceedings. Petitioner's Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission is accompanied by a Declaration of Scott M. Border as required by the Order.

Dated: May 1, 2018

Respectfully Submitted,

/Joseph A. Micallef/
Joseph A. Micallef
Reg. No. 39,772
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
jmicallef@sidley.com
(202) 736-8492
Attorney for Patent Owner

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.