

Declaration of Jose Sasian In Support Of  
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of USP 8,147,077

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re *Inter Partes* Review of:                    )  
U.S. Patent No. 8,147,077                        )  
Issued: April 3, 2012                            )  
Application No.: 13/071,174                    )

For: **Exterior Sideview Mirror System**

**FILED VIA E2E**

**DECLARATION OF DR. JOSE SASIAN IN SUPPORT OF  
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,147,077**

Declaration of Jose Sasian In Support Of  
Petition for *Inter Partes Review* of USP 8,147,077

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS .....	1
II.	SUMMARY OF MATERIALS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED.....	4
III.	LEVEL OF SKILL IN THE ART AND PERSPECTIVE APPLIED IN THIS DECLARATION .....	7
IV.	BACKGROUND LAW .....	8
	A. Written Description .....	8
	B. Incorporation By Reference.....	8
	C. Understanding Of Legal Principles Relevant To Anticipation And Obviousness .....	9
V.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS .....	11
VI.	THE '077 PATENT .....	12
	A. The '077 Patent's Disclosure.....	13
	B. Application For The '843 Patent .....	13
VII.	THE '666 APPLICATION LACKS WRITTEN DESCRIPTION SUPPORT FOR CLAIMS OF THE '077 PATENT .....	14
	A. A POSA Would Not Understand The '666 Patent Application To Incorporate With Particularity The Two-Mirror Assembly Of The '712 And '451 Patent Family .....	21
	B. A POSA Would Not Understand The '666 Application To Support The '077 Patent's Claims Even If The '451 And '712 Patents Were Fully Incorporated By Reference .....	27
	C. The Claims Of The '077 Patent Are Directed To A Two-Mirror System.....	38
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	41
	A. "side-by-side" .....	41
IX.	THE PRIOR ART AND BACKGROUND EVIDENCE.....	43
	A. Prior Art Relied Upon For Anticipation .....	43

Declaration of Jose Sasian In Support Of  
Petition for *Inter Partes Review* of USP 8,147,077

1.	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0072026 (“the ‘026 publication”)	43
B.	Prior Art Relied Upon For Obviousness Combinations	43
1.	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0264011 (“the ‘011 publication”)	43
2.	International Pub. No. WO 2001/44013 (“Henion”)	45
3.	International Pub. No. WO 2001/81956 (“Platzer”)	46
4.	U.S. Pat. No. 5,721,646 (“Catlin”)	47
C.	Background Evidence	47
X.	CLAIMS 1-17 AND 20-27 ARE ANTICIPATED BY THE ‘026 PUBLICATION	48
A.	Claim 1	49
1.	Preamble, [a], [b] Exterior sideview mirror assembly	49
2.	[d] Plano-auxiliary assembly	50
3.	[n] Reflective Element Substrates	52
4.	[e], [i], [v] Mounted adjacently and outboard, and further distant	52
5.	[c] Electrically-operated actuator	55
6.	[f] Backing plate mounted to actuator	57
7.	[h], [k], [l], [m] Support portions and capable of supporting	58
8.	[j] Polymeric substrate	60
9.	[g] Planar and auxiliary mirror fields of view, blind spot	61
10.	[p] generally coplanar	62
11.	[o], [q], [r], [s], [t], [u] Demarcation	63
B.	Claim 2: Three-degree Angle	68
C.	Claim 3: Outwardly and/or downwardly	69
D.	Claim 4: Adhesive attachment	69
E.	Claims 5, 6, 11: Multiradius, spheric, and aspheric shapes	70
F.	Claim 7: Curved portion	72
G.	Claim 8: Wall	74
H.	Claim 9: Heater Element	75
I.	Claim 10: Subtended angle	76
J.	Claim 12: Relative sizes	77
K.	Claim 13: 1 to 24 feet behind	77
L.	Claim 14: substrate with metallic coating	79
M.	Claims 15, 16: Electro-Optic Reflective Element	79
N.	Independent Claim 17	81
1.	Preamble, [a]-[m]	81

Declaration of Jose Sasian In Support Of  
Petition for *Inter Partes Review* of USP 8,147,077

2.	[n] Different, angled rearward field of view .....	83
3.	[o] Angling .....	84
4.	[p] Planar and auxiliary field of view, blind spot.....	86
5.	[q] Reflective Element Substrates .....	87
6.	[r] Rearward Field of View .....	88
7.	[s] Total field of view .....	89
8.	[t] Fixed Reflectance Mirror Reflector.....	89
O.	Claim 20: Reflective Element Substrates .....	90
P.	Claim 21: flat and curved substrates with metallic coating.....	91
Q.	Claims 22, 23: Spherical Curvature.....	92
R.	Independent Claim 24.....	93
1.	Preamble, [a]-[m] .....	93
2.	[n]-[q] overlap with claim 17 .....	96
3.	[r] Fixed Reflectance Mirror Reflector .....	97
S.	Claim 25: Substrates .....	98
T.	Claim 26: backing plate partition .....	99
U.	Claim 27.....	101
XI.	CLAIMS 1, 17, 18-19, AND 24 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER THE COMBINATION OF THE '026 AND '011 PUBLICATIONS.....	102
A.	Claims 1, 17 and 24 .....	102
B.	Claim 18.....	103
C.	Claim 19.....	103
D.	Motivation to Combine.....	106
XII.	CLAIMS 17, 22, AND 25-27 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER THE COMBINATION OF HENION, pLATZER, AND CATLIN.....	109
A.	Claim 17.....	110
1.	Preamble, [a], [b] Exterior Sideview Mirror Assembly.....	110
2.	[c] Electrically-Operated Actuator .....	111
3.	[d] Plano-auxiliary Assembly.....	113
4.	[e] Mounted Adjacently.....	115
5.	[f] Backing Plate Mounted to Actuator .....	118
6.	[g], [p] Planar and Auxiliary Field of View, Blind Spot.....	121
7.	[h], [k], [l], [m] Support Portions and Capable of Supporting .....	124
8.	[i] Auxiliary Mirror Outboard .....	126
9.	[j] Polymeric Substrate .....	132

Declaration of Jose Sasian In Support Of  
Petition for *Inter Partes Review* of USP 8,147,077

10.	[n] Different, Angled Rearward Field of View .....	136
11.	[o] Angled Second Support Portion .....	138
12.	[q] Reflective Element Substrates .....	139
13.	[r] Outwardly and Downwardly .....	142
14.	[s] Driver's-Side Assembly, At Least 25 Degrees to Side of Automobile .....	145
15.	[t] Fixed Reflectance .....	152
B.	Claim 22 .....	154
1.	Spherical Curvature .....	154
C.	Claim 24 .....	156
1.	Elements [a]-[q] .....	156
2.	[r] Fixed Reflectance, Spherically Bent, Metallic Reflector Coating .....	156
D.	Claim 25 .....	158
1.	[a] Glass Substrate, Metallic Reflector Coating .....	158
2.	[b] Adapted Backing Plate .....	158
E.	Claim 26 .....	159
1.	[a] Demarcation Element .....	159
2.	[b] Adapted Backing Plate .....	161
F.	Claim 27 .....	161
1.	[a] Driver's-Side Assembly .....	161
2.	[b] At Least 25 Degrees to Side of Automobile .....	161
XIII.	CONCLUSION .....	162

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.