UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SMR AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS USA, INC., Petitioner,

v.

MAGNA MIRRORS OF AMERICA, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00491 Patent No. 7,934,843

Mailed: January 18, 2018

Before Debra A. Vitus, Trial Paralegal

NOTICE OF FILING DATE ACCORDED TO PETITION AND TIME FOR FILING PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

The petition for "inter partes review," filed in the above proceeding has been accorded the filing date of 01/14/2018.

Patent Owner may file a preliminary response to the petition no later than three months from the date of this notice. The preliminary response is limited to setting forth the reasons why the requested review should not be



instituted. Patent Owner may also file an election to waive the preliminary response to expedite the proceeding. For more information, please consult the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756 (Aug. 14, 2012), which is available on the Board Web site at http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB.

Patent Owner is advised of the requirement to submit mandatory notice information under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(2) within 21 days of service of the petition.

The parties are encouraged to use the heading on the first page of this Notice for all future filings in the proceeding.

The parties are advised that under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), recognition of counsel *pro hac vice* requires a showing of good cause. The parties are authorized to file motions for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Such motions shall be filed in accordance with the "Order -- Authorizing Motion *for Pro Hac Vice* Admission" in Case IPR2013-00639, Paper 7, a copy of which is available on the Board Web site under "Representative Orders, Decisions, and Notices."

The parties are reminded that unless otherwise permitted by 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b)(2), all filings in this proceeding must be made electronically in Patent Trial and Appeal Board End to End (PTAB E2E), accessible from the Board Web site at http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB. To file documents, users must register with PTAB E2E. Information regarding how to register with and use PTAB E2E is available at the Board Web site.

If there are any questions pertaining to this notice, please contact Debra Vitus at 571-272-2039 or the Patent Trial and Appeal Board at 571-272-7822.



Case IPR2018-00491 Patent No. 7,934,843

PETITIONER:

Charles H. Sanders
Anant K. Saraswat
Jonathan M. Strang
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
charles.sanders@lw.com
anant.saraswat@lw.com
jonathan.strang@lw.com

PATENT OWNER:

Frederick Burkhart VAN DYKE, GARDNER, LINN & BURKHART, LLP 2851 Charleviox Drive, SE, Suite 207 Grand Rapids, MI 49546

Thomas D. Rein SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP One S. Dearborn Street Chicago, IL 60603



NOTICE CONCERNING ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)

The Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) strongly encourages parties who are considering settlement to consider alternative dispute resolution as a means of settling the issues that may be raised in an AIA trial proceeding. Many AIA trials are settled prior to a Final Written Decision. Those considering settlement may wish to consider alternative dispute resolution techniques early in a proceeding to produce a quicker, mutually agreeable resolution of a dispute or to at least narrow the scope of matters in dispute. Alternative dispute resolution has the potential to save parties time and money.

Many non-profit organizations, both inside and outside the intellectual property field, offer alternative dispute resolution services. Listed below are the names and addresses of several such organizations. The listings are provided for the convenience of parties involved in cases before the PTAB; the PTAB does not sponsor or endorse any particular organization's alternative dispute resolution services. In addition, consideration may be given to utilizing independent alternative dispute resolution firms. Such firms may be located through a standard keyword Internet search.

| CPR | AMERICAN | AMERICAN | WORLD | AMERICAN |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|
| INSTITUTE | INTELLECTU | ARBITRATI | INTELLECTU | BAR |
| FOR DISPUTE | AL PROPERTY | ON | AL | ASSOCIATION |
| RESOLUTION | LAW | ASSOCIATI | PROPERTY | (ABA) |
| | ASSOCIATION | ON (AAA) | ORGANIZATI | |
| | (AIPLA) | | ON (WIPO) | |
| Telephone: | Telephone: | Telephone: | | |
| (212) 949-6490 | (703) 415-0780 | (212) 484- | Telephone: | Telephone: |
| | | 3266 | 41 22 338 9111 | (202) 662-1000 |
| Fax: (212) 949- | Fax: (703) 415- | Fax: (212) | Fax: 41 22 733 | |
| 8859 | 0786 | 307-4387 | 5428 | N/A |
| 575 Lexington | 241 18th Street, | 140 West 51st | 34, chemin des | 1050 Connecticut |
| Ave | South, Suite 700 | Street | Colombettes | Ave, NW |
| New York, NY | Arlington, VA | | CH-1211 | Washington D.C. |
| 10022 | 22202 | New York, | Geneva 20, | 20036 |
| | | NY 10020 | Switzerland | |
| | | | | www.americanba |
| www.cpradr.org | www.aipla.org | www.adr.org | www.wipo.int | r.org |

If parties to an AIA trial proceeding consider using alternative dispute resolution, the PTAB would like to know whether the parties ultimately decided to engage in alternative dispute resolution and the reasons why or why not. If the parties actually engage in alternative dispute resolution, the PTAB would be interested to learn what mechanism (e.g., arbitration, mediation, etc.) was used and the general result. Such a statement from the parties is not required but would be helpful to the PTAB in assessing the value of alternative dispute resolution to parties involved in AIA trial proceedings. To report an experience with ADR, please forward a summary of the particulars to the following email address: PTAB ADR Comments@uspto.gov

