

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HUAWEI DEVICE CO., LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

FUNDAMENTAL INNOVATION SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00487
Patent 7,239,111 B2

Before LYNN E. PETTIGREW, JON B. TORNQUIST, and
CHRISTOPHER L. OGDEN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

OGDEN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Granting Patent Owner's Motion for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of Jason G. Sheasby
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

Fundamental Innovation Systems International LLC (“Patent Owner”) filed a Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Jason G. Sheasby.¹ Paper 7 (“Mot.”). Patent Owner provided a Declaration of Mr. Sheasby in support of the Motion.² Ex. 2015. Patent Owner states that Huawei Device Co., Ltd. (“Petitioner”) does not oppose Patent Owner’s Motion. Mot. 5.

Having reviewed the Motion and the Declaration of Mr. Sheasby, we conclude that Mr. Sheasby has sufficient qualifications to represent Patent Owner in this proceeding and that Patent Owner has shown good cause for Mr. Sheasby’s *pro hac vice* admission. See *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Order Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission, Paper 7 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (setting forth requirements for *pro hac vice* admission). Mr. Sheasby is permitted to appear *pro hac vice* in this proceeding as back-up counsel only. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

ORDER

It is:

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Jason G. Sheasby is *granted*, and Mr. Sheasby is authorized to represent Patent Owner only as back-up counsel in this proceeding;

¹ The caption of Patent Owner’s Motion and the supporting declaration identify “Jason S. Sheasby.” Paper 7 at 1; Ex. 2015 at 1. The declaration, however, is signed by Jason G. Sheasby. Ex. 2015 at 4. We understand that the captions contain a typographical error and that Patent Owner is requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Jason G. Sheasby.

² In Paragraph 8 of the Declaration, Mr. Sheasby refers to “part 42 of the C.F.R.” Ex. 2015. We assume that he intended to refer to Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations.

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Sheasby is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Sheasby is subject to the USPTO's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth at 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

Case IPR2018-00487
Patent 7,239,111 B2

PETITIONER:

David A. Garr
Anupam Sharma
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
dgarr@cov.com
asharma@cov.com

PATENT OWNER:

Hong Annita Zhong
Michael Fleming
IRELL & MANELLA LL
hzhong@irell.com
mfleming@irell.com
FundamentalIPRs@irell.com