

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Huawei Device Co., LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

Fundamental Innovation Systems International LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00485
Patent No. 7,834,586

**DECLARATION OF DR. KENNETH FERNALD IN SUPPORT OF
PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. USB 2.0	5
A. USB Enumeration	6
III. THE ROLE OF THE SE1 SIGNAL	14
A. SE1 Disables USB Communications.....	15
1. Samsung Expert's Testimony.....	15
2. The Panel Decision In IPR2018-00111	18
3. Effect of SE1 On Power	19
B. Use Of SE1 In Cited References.....	22
IV. ANALOGOUS ART	27
A. Shiga Is Not Analogous Art.....	27
V. THEOBALD AND SHIGA DO NOT RENDER THE CLAIMS OBVIOUS	28
A. Petitioner's Combination Does Not Render Obvious Having An "Identification Signal Being Different Than USB Enumeration" (Claims 1-2, 8-9).....	28
B. Petitioner's Combination Does Not Render Obvious "A Microprocessor And Memory To Process The Signals Received On The USB Interface Lines (Claims 11-12)	32
C. It Would Not Be Obvious To Combine Theobald USB 2.0 And Shiga.....	34
1. There Would Have Been No Reasonable Expectation That Using A SE1 Signal On USB Data Lines Would Succeed.....	35

	<u>Page</u>
2. Petitioner Ignores Theobald's Instruction To Use "A Suitable High Speed Data Communication Protocol."	39
3. Enumeration Can Be Used For Identification Of Non-Standard Power Sources	40
4. Petitioner Ignores Alternative Means Of Identification	42
a) "Resistor Embodiment" Uses Only A Single Audio Line And Electrical Values Not Conflicting With Existing Protocols	43
b) Petitioner Ignores Identification Pin	44
c) Petitioner Ignores Signaling Over Power Lines	45
5. Theobald Would Not Consider A USB Connector To Be A "Suitable" Replacement	45
a) USB Does Not Replicate The Functions Of A J3 Connector	46
b) USB Does Not Allow For Backwards Compatibility	47
VI. DOUGHERTY AND SHIGA DO NOT RENDER THE CLAIMS OBVIOUS	49
A. Petitioner's Combination Does Not Render Obvious "Having An Identification Signal Being Different Than USB Enumeration" (Claims 1-2, 8-9).....	49
B. Petitioner's Combination Does Not Render Obvious "A Microprocessor And Memory To Process The Signals Received On The USB Interface Lines (Claims 11-12)	51
C. It Would Not Be Obvious To Combine Shiga With Dougherty And The Other References.	53

	<u>Page</u>
1. There Would Have Been No Reasonable Expectation That Using A SE1 Signal On USB Data Lines Would Succeed.....	53
2. Enumeration Can Be Used For Identification Of Non-Standard Power Sources	57
3. Petitioner Ignores Alternative Means Of Identification	59
4. Petitioner's Proposal Does Not Account For Unintentionally Generated SE1 Signals.	59
5. Petitioner's Modification Would Not Function In Dougherty's "Dead Battery" Scenario	61

I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Kenneth Fernald, Ph.D. My qualifications are summarized below and are addressed more fully in my CV attached as EXHIBIT A.

2. For 30-years I have been involved in the design of integrated circuits. A large portion of my work has involved the design of integrated circuits that involve power management, battery charging and USB control. I have designed USB controllers that have sold in the hundreds of millions of units, and I was intimately involved in this field during the time of the patents at issue in this case.

3. I earned my Bachelor of Science and Master of Science degrees in Electrical Engineering from North Carolina State University (NCSU) in 1985 and 1987. During this period I worked for the Space Electronics Group developing software for predicting the effects of radiation environments on integrated circuits. I also consulted for the Naval Research Laboratory (NRL). My services to NRL included the design of dosimetry instrumentation and the execution of radiation studies on electronic devices at various facilities around the United States. I joined NASA Langley Research Center in 1987 where I designed motor control instruments and firmware for ground and space station experiments.

4. I returned to NCSU in 1988 to earn my Ph.D. in Electrical

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.