Case 5:15-cv-02008-EJD Document 74 Filed 02/12/16 Page 1 of 8

1	Robert F. McCauley (SBN 162056)			
2	robert.mccauley@finnegan.com Jacob A. Schroeder (SBN 264717)			
3	jacob.schroeder@finnegan.com FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,			
	GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP			
4	3300 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94304-1203			
5	Telephone: (650) 849-6600			
6	Facsimile: (650) 849-6666			
	Gerald F. Ivey (pro hac vice)			
7	Smith R. Brittingham IV (pro hac vice) Elizabeth A. Niemeyer (pro hac vice)			
8	John M. Williamson (pro hac vice)			
9	Rajeev Gupta (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Aidan C. Skoyles (<i>pro hac vice</i>)			
	Cecilia Sanabria (pro hac vice)			
10	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP			
11	901 New York Avenue, NW			
12	Washington, DC 20001-4413 Telephone: (202) 408-4000			
	Facsimile: (202) 408-4400			
13	Stephen E. Kabakoff (pro hac vice)			
14	FIÑNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,			
15	GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 3500 SunTrust Plaza			
	303 Peachtree Street, N.E.			
16	Atlanta, GA 30308-3263 Telephone: (404) 653- 6400			
17	Facsimile: (404) 653-6444			
18	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
19	OpenTV, Inc., Nagravision S.A., and Nagra France	e S.A.S.		
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION			
21	SANJOSE	DIVISION		
22				
	OPENTV, INC., NAGRAVISION S.A., and	CASE NO. 5:15-cv-02008-EJD (NMC)		
23	NAGRA FRANCE S.A.S.	JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND		
24	Plaintiffs,	PREHEARING STATEMENT		
25	v.	PURSUANT TO PATENT L.R. 4-3		
26	APPLE INC.,			
27	Defendant.			
<u>,</u>				



I. Introduction

Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3, Plaintiffs OpenTV, Inc., Nagravision S.A., and Nagra France S.A.S. ("Plaintiffs") and Apple Inc. ("Defendant") submit this Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement with respect to Plaintiffs' U.S. Patent Nos. 6,233,736 (Exhibit B), 7,055,169 (Exhibit C), and 7,725,740 (Exhibit D) (collectively, the "Patents-in-Suit").

II. Patent L.R. 4-3(a): Agreed Claim Constructions

'736 Patent

Term	Agreed Construction
Preambles of claims 1, 8, and 9	The parties agree that the preambles of claims 1,
	8, and 9 are limiting.
"means for displaying an image signal detected	Governed by Section 112(6)
from said received information signal"	Function:
	"displaying an image signal detected from said
	received information signal"
	Structure:
	"a television, computer monitor, or other display
	device, and equivalents thereof"

'169 Patent

Term	Agreed Construction
"interactive television system"	"system for providing interactive content as well
	as audio, video, and/or graphic presentations to a
	user"

'740 Patent

Term Agreed Construction



"management center"	"a computer system for providing encrypted data
	and keys to a remote device"
"central unit"	"central processing unit"
"eliminating the secret information from the	"rendering inaccessible (e.g., by deleting,
first portion of the second memory zone"	erasing, and/or overwriting) the secret
	information in the first portion of the second
	memory zone"

III. Patent L.R. 4-3(b): Each Party's Proposed Construction of Each Disputed Term

Attached as Exhibit A is a Joint Claim Construction Statement Chart that presents each party's proposed construction for each disputed term, together with an identification of all supporting intrinsic and extrinsic evidence. Each party reserves the right to use evidence identified or relied upon by any other party and to use any portion of documents identified in the attached charts, not just those portions expressly cited.

IV. Patent L.R. 4-3(c): Identification of Top Ten Terms for Construction

Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3(c), the parties identify the following ten terms "whose construction will be most significant to the resolution of the case":

'736 Patent

- 1. "means for extracting an address associated with an online information source from an information signal embedded in said electronic signal, and for automatically establishing, in response to a user initiated command, a direct link with the online information source"
 - 2. "indicating"
 - 3. "automatic and direct access" / "automatically and directly electronically accessing"

Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3(c), Apple submits that the terms "means for extracting an address associated with an online information source from an information signal embedded in said electronic signal, and for automatically establishing, in response to a user initiated command, a direct



electronically accessing" for the '736 Patent will be claim dispositive for each asserted claim reciting any of these terms and any claim that depends therefrom. OpenTV does not agree that the construction of these terms will be necessarily dispositive.

'169 Patent

- 4. "directive" / "directives, wherein said directives are indicative of an audio, video and/or graphic presentation which requires a set of resources" / "directives which are indicative of an audio, video and/or graphic presentation requiring a set of resources" / "directives which are indicative of an audio, video and/or graphic presentation which requires a set of resources"
 - 5. "prerequisite directive" ¹
 - 6. "subset of said set of resources"
- 7. "wherein said prohibiting is in further response to detecting a corresponding time for expiration has not yet expired, and wherein said method further comprises allowing the presenting of said presentation in response to detecting said time for expiration has expired"
- 8. "a processing unit coupled to said receiver, wherein said processing unit is configured to:
 determine whether said one or more directives includes a prerequisite directive which
 indicates that acquisition of a subset of said set of resources is a prerequisite for initiating the
 presentation;

initiate said presentation, in response to determining the one or more directives do not include said prerequisite directive; and

prohibit initiation of said presentation until said subset of resources are acquired, in response to determining the one or more directives include said prerequisite directive."

9. Whether the preamble of claim 22 is limiting.

Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3(c), Apple submits that the terms "prerequisite directive...," "wherein said prohibiting is in further response to...," "a processing unit...," and whether the preamble

¹ Apple proposes that the appropriate term to construe is the broader term, "prerequisite directive which indicates that [acciuisition/acquisition] of a subset of said set of resources is a prerequisite for [initiating] the presentation"



2
 3

4 ||,

5

6 7

8

9

1011

1213

14

15

16

1718

19

21

20

22

23

24

2526

27

20

of claim 22 is limiting will be claim dispositive for each asserted claim of the '169 Patent reciting the terms and any claim that depends therefrom. OpenTV does not agree that the construction of these terms will be necessarily dispositive.

'740 Patent

10. "imprint of data"

The parties dispute additional claim terms, included in the Joint Claim Construction Statement Chart. *See* Exhibit A, attached. The parties are continuing to discuss the disputed terms.

V. Proposed Constructions of Disputed Terms

The Joint Claim Construction Statement chart attached as Exhibit A presents the parties' proposed constructions for the ten terms identified by the parties under Patent Local Rule 4-3(c) with intrinsic and extrinsic evidence supporting such constructions. Each party reserves the right to use evidence identified or relied upon by any other party and to use any portion of documents identified in the attached charts, not just those portions expressly cited.

VI. Patent L.R. 4-3(d): Length of Claim Construction Hearing

The Court has set the Claim Construction Hearing and Tutorial for May 12, 2016, starting at 1:30 p.m. The parties anticipate the hearing will take the full three hours the Court has allotted for the tutorial and claim construction hearing. The parties will divide this time evenly, with 30 minutes for each side for the tutorial and one hour for each side for the claim construction hearing.

VII. Patent L.R. 4-3(e): Anticipated Witnesses at the Claim Construction Hearing

To the extent the parties intend to call experts to testify in support of the proposed constructions at the claim construction hearing, the parties will seek leave in accordance with this Court's Standing Order for Patent Cases, § IV.D. Consistent with Patent L.R. 4-3(e), the parties identify the proposed testimony of possible witnesses below.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

