

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
3 MARSHALL DIVISION

4 -----x

5 FUNDAMENTAL INNOVATION SYSTEMS
6 INTERNATIONAL LLC,

7 Plaintiff,

8 Civil Action No.

9 vs. 2:17-cv-00145-JRG

10

11 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,

12 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS

13 AMERICA, INC.,

14 Defendants.

15 -----x

16 November 20, 2017

17 9:31 a.m.

18

19 Videotaped Deposition of JOHN IRVING
20 GARNEY, taken at the offices of KIRKLAND &
21 ELLIS LLP, 601 Lexington Avenue, New York,
22 New York, before Frank J. Bas, a Registered
23 Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime
24 Reporter and Notary Public within and for the
25 State of New York.

Page 2		Page 4	
1	A P P E A R A N C E S :	1	---- EXHIBITS CONTINUED ----
2		2	Garney Exhibit 5, Universal 85
3	IRELL & MANELLA	3	Serial Bus Cable & Connector
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff	4	Class Specification, Version 1.0
5	1800 Avenue of the Stars	5	(No Bates)
6	Los Angeles, California 90067	6	
7	BY: JASON SHEASBY, ESQ.	7	Garney Exhibit 6, Summary of 104
8	jsheasby@irell.com	8	Opinions by Mr. John Garney (No
9		9	Bates)
10	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	10	
11	Attorneys for Defendants and the Witness	11	Garney Exhibit 7, USB 2.0 126
12	601 Lexington Avenue	12	Specification (No Bates)
13	New York, New York 10022	13	
14	BY: JAMES McCONNELL, ESQ.	14	Garney Exhibit 8, USB Frequently 127
15	james.mcconnell@kirkland.com	15	Asked Questions (No Bates)
16	TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P.C.	16	
17	todd.friedman@kirkland.com	17	Garney Exhibit 9, Provisional 137
18	ALEX HENRIQUES, ESQ.	18	Application for Patent, Bates
19	alexhenriques@kirkland.com	19	FISI-145-00055102 through 160
20		20	
21	ALSO PRESENT:	21	Garney Exhibit 10, PowerPoint 155
22	ROBERT GIBBS, Videographer, DTI Global	22	presentation, Bates
23		23	FISI-145-00055514 through 546
24		24	
25		25	
Page 3		Page 5	
1	----- I N D E X -----	1	---- EXHIBITS CONTINUED ----
2	WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE	2	Garney Exhibit 11, Handwritten 168
3	J. GARNEY MR. SHEASBY 8	3	drawing (No Bates)
4	----- EXHIBITS-----	4	
5	GARNEY PAGE	5	Garney Exhibit 12, United States 172
6	Garney Exhibit 1, Universal 24	6	Patent 7,239,111 (No Bates)
7	Serial Bus Specification,	7	
8	Revision 2.0, Bates	8	Garney Exhibit 13, Document 207
9	SAMSUNG_FISI00118913 through 9433	9	entitled "Exhibit B" (No Bates)
10		10	
11	Garney Exhibit 2, United States 62	11	Garney Exhibit 14, Printout of 321
12	Patent 6,936,936 (No Bates)	12	webpage, USB-IF Developers Area
13		13	(No Bates)
14	Garney Exhibit 3, On-The-Go 65	14	
15	Supplement to the USB	15	Garney Exhibit 15, Printout of 322
16	Specification, Revision 1.0,	16	webpage, USB 2.0 Specification
17	Bates FISI-145-00056242 through	17	(No Bates)
18	253	18	
19		19	Garney Exhibit 16, Printout of 326
20	Garney Exhibit 4, USB 2.0 71	20	webpage, USB 2.0 Specification
21	Specification Engineering Change	21	(No Bates)
22	Notice #1: Mini-B connector,	22	
23	dated 10/20/2000, Bates	23	
24	FISI-145-00056197 through 241	24	
25		25	

Page 6

1 ----- EXHIBITS CONTINUED -----
 2 Garney Exhibit 17, Article 333
 3 entitled "Use the USB Connector
 4 to Connect Your Galaxy S7 to Your
 5 Previous Device" (No Bates)
 6
 7 -----
 8
 9 INSTRUCTIONS NOT TO ANSWER
 10 Page Line
 11 7 10
 12 333 10
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

Page 7

1 November 20, 2017
 2 New York, New York
 3 ---
 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good
 5 morning, everyone. This is the video
 6 operator speaking, Robert Gibbs of DTI
 7 Global, 20750 Ventura Boulevard,
 8 Woodland Hills, California 90067.
 9 Today is November 20, 2017 and the
 10 time is 9:31 a.m.
 11 We are at the offices of
 12 Kirkland & Ellis LLP, 601 Lexington
 13 Avenue, New York City, New York, to
 14 take the video deposition of Mr. John
 15 Garney in the matter of Fundamental
 16 Innovations Systems International LLC
 17 versus Samsung Electronics Corp. Ltd.
 18 versus -- pardon me -- and Samsung
 19 Electronics America, Inc. in the
 20 United States District Court for the
 21 Eastern District of Texas, Marshall
 22 Division, Case Number
 23 217-cv-00145-JRG.
 24 Will counsel please introduce
 25 themselves for the record.

Page 8

1 MR. SHEASBY: Jason Sheasby for
 2 Fundamental.
 3 MR. McCONNELL: James McConnell
 4 for Samsung and the witness. With me
 5 is Todd Friedman and Alex Henriques,
 6 and we are all with Kirkland & Ellis.
 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you,
 8 gentlemen.
 9 Will the court reporter, Frank
 10 Bas of DTI Global, please swear the
 11 witness.
 12
 13 J O H N I R V I N G G A R N E Y,
 14 called as a witness, having been first duly
 15 sworn, was examined and testified
 16 as follows:
 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You may
 18 proceed, Counsel.
 19 EXAMINATION BY
 20 MR. SHEASBY:
 21 Q. Good morning, sir. Can you
 22 state your full name for the record.
 23 A. John Irving Garney.
 24 Q. And Mr. Garney, you've been
 25 designated to opine as an expert witness for

Page 9

1 Samsung, is that correct?
 2 A. Yes.
 3 Q. And the subject matter of your
 4 testimony is the meaning of terms and context
 5 relating to USB, is that correct?
 6 A. Terms and context that were
 7 within the summary of opinions.
 8 Q. Did you prepare that summary of
 9 the opinions?
 10 A. I --
 11 MR. McCONNELL: Objection.
 12 I caution the witness not to
 13 reveal the substance of any
 14 communications or drafts with
 15 attorneys.
 16 BY MR. SHEASBY:
 17 Q. You can answer the
 18 question, sir.
 19 A. I reviewed the opinion summary
 20 to determine it reflected my opinions.
 21 Q. So you reviewed the summary of
 22 opinions?
 23 A. Yes.
 24 Q. Okay. When were you retained
 25 as an expert in this case?

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10</p> <p>1 A. I would have to check my 2 records, but several months ago. 3 Q. Did you have a role in working 4 with Kirkland on preparing and proposing 5 constructions for terms in the past that you 6 considered? 7 (Instruction not to answer.) 8 MR. McCONNELL: Objection; 9 calls for privilege, and in light of 10 the discovery order in this case I 11 instruct the witness not to answer. 12 BY MR. SHEASBY: 13 Q. You could answer the question. 14 MR. McCONNELL: Counsel, I have 15 instructed the witness not to answer 16 the question. 17 BY MR. SHEASBY: 18 Q. Did you provide your own claim 19 constructions of any terms in any of the 20 patents that you considered? 21 A. What do you mean by provide? 22 Q. I mean it in its normal, 23 ordinary sense, sir. 24 A. I determined that the 25 constructions were my opinions.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 12</p> <p>1 that were here, and they reflect my opinions. 2 Q. So you reviewed a set of 3 constructions by -- provided to you by 4 counsel, correct? 5 A. I didn't say that, no. 6 Q. You didn't say that? Can you 7 answer my question? Did you review a set of 8 constructions provided by your counsel? 9 A. I reviewed the constructions 10 represented in the summary of opinions -- the 11 summary of my opinion and determined that they 12 reflected my opinions. 13 Q. Okay. And just so the record's 14 clear, because I want this for the court, were 15 you given a set of constructions by counsel to 16 review; yes or no? 17 A. I reviewed the constructions 18 that are represented in my summary disclosure. 19 Q. What is USB? 20 A. USB is a standard term that 21 it's understood to be an abbreviation of 22 universal serial bus. 23 Q. And what is universal 24 serial bus? 25 A. Universal serial bus is a set</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 11</p> <p>1 Q. So the constructions were 2 provided to you and you determined that you 3 agreed with them? 4 A. Well, I determined that they 5 were my opinions. 6 Q. Sir, if you could answer my 7 question -- 8 A. I have answered your 9 question, sir. 10 Q. -- I would appreciate it. 11 You were provided 12 constructions, is that correct? 13 A. What I said is I reviewed the 14 opinions and determined that they reflected 15 my -- my view. 16 Q. Sir, can you answer my question 17 yes or no. 18 Were you provided constructions 19 by your attorneys? 20 A. I have to answer it the way 21 I've already answered it, sir. 22 Q. Sir, can you answer my question 23 yes or no, were you provided constructions by 24 your attorneys? 25 A. I reviewed the constructions</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 13</p> <p>1 of specifications at the time of the patent 2 that would have included USB 2 and USB 1 and 3 USB 1.1. 4 Q. And so to be clear, USB is 5 defined by a set of specifications, is that 6 correct? 7 A. Well, it's having to do with -- 8 depending upon how the USB is used in context, 9 it has to do with something related to the 10 specifications. 11 Q. So USB relates to 12 specifications, correct? 13 A. No. It has to do with some 14 part of the, what's defined in the 15 specifications. 16 Q. So what is USB then? 17 A. USB is an acronym for universal 18 serial bus. 19 Q. And what is universal 20 serial bus? 21 A. Universal serial bus is a -- 22 within the context of the patents, used as a 23 specification that would have been available 24 to one of ordinary skill; for example, at that 25 time would have been USB 2 or USB 1.1 or</p>

Page 14

1 USB 1.0.

2 **Q. What's universal serial bus**

3 **today?**

4 A. As I'm sitting here right now?

5 **Q. Yes.**

6 A. It would have been understood

7 to refer to something within a specification

8 of the specs that existed as of today, which

9 have now expanded to include other

10 specifications.

11 **Q. When was USB introduced?**

12 A. What do you mean by introduced?

13 **Q. When was it first introduced?**

14 **When was the first specification introduced?**

15 A. When was the first

16 specification introduced? There were release

17 candidates of the specification made available

18 for the 1.0 spec. You could look at the

19 revision history in the spec to see exactly

20 when they were.

21 **Q. But sitting here today you**

22 **don't know?**

23 A. I haven't memorized that page

24 of the spec, no.

25 **Q. When did you begin to work**

Page 15

1 **on USB?**

2 A. Approximately 1994.

3 **Q. And what specification was in**

4 **place in 1994?**

5 A. There was no specification in

6 place in 1994.

7 **Q. So how could you be working on**

8 **USB if there was no specification?**

9 A. Because I was one of the

10 creators of the specification and I was

11 involved in creating the specification.

12 **Q. So USB existed before the**

13 **specification?**

14 A. USB couldn't have existed as a

15 specified bus before the specification that

16 specifies the bus existed.

17 **Q. When you were working on USB in**

18 **1994, what did USB mean?**

19 A. I think before I started

20 working on it, it didn't probably have a

21 meaning.

22 **Q. And when you started working on**

23 **it in 1994 what meaning did it have?**

24 A. I don't know that it would have

25 had a meaning.

Page 16

1 **Q. It didn't have a meaning until**

2 **the first specification was released?**

3 A. Well, it didn't have a meaning

4 until we first started working on it and

5 releasing early versions of it.

6 **Q. And when did you release your**

7 **earliest version of it?**

8 A. Again, I don't have it

9 memorized. It would be in the frontest piece

10 of the USB 1 spec --

11 (Reporter Clarification)

12 A. It would be on the frontest

13 piece. Front material.

14 **Q. What is USB?**

15 A. USB is an acronym for universal

16 serial bus.

17 **Q. And USB is a standard for**

18 **communication, is that correct?**

19 A. I am sorry. I couldn't hear

20 you?

21 **Q. USB is a standard for**

22 **communication, is that correct?**

23 A. No.

24 **Q. USB is not a standard for**

25 **communication?**

Page 17

1 A. Not solely. It's an incomplete

2 question.

3 **Q. The defining method of USB is**

4 **the method by which the device communicates**

5 **with the host, correct?**

6 A. I'm sorry. Are you referring

7 to something? I can't --

8 **Q. If you can just answer my**

9 **question, I would appreciate it.**

10 A. There's a number of words

11 there. I would like to make sure I hear them

12 clearly. I never heard that phrase before.

13 **Q. Sure. The defining method of**

14 **USB is the method by which the device**

15 **communicates with the host, correct?**

16 A. The defining method of -- I am

17 sorry. I need to see that written down.

18 There's too many words to hold in my head at

19 once. Can you refer me to where that is?

20 **Q. Sure. It's right there**

21 **(indicating).**

22 A. I'm having a hard time

23 technically. I mean, it seems like a valid

24 English sentence, but technically it's unclear

25 what that sentence is trying to say.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.