UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics U.S.A. Inc., LG Electronics Mobilecomm U.S.A. Inc., LG Electronics Mobile Research U.S.A. LLC, and LG Electronics Alabama, Inc. Petitioner

V.

Fundamental Innovation Systems International LLC, Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-00460 U.S. Patent No. 8,624,550

PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR JOINDER UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 315(c), 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22, and 42.122(b)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED			1
II.	STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS			2
III.	STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR REQUESTED RELIEF			2
	A.	Leg	al Standards	2
	B.	LGI	E's Motion for Joinder is Timely	3
	C.	Join	der is Appropriate	4
		1.	No New Grounds of Unpatentability in the Petition	5
		2.	No Impact on the Schedule for the Existing IPR Proceeding	5
		3.	Briefing and Discovery Will be Simplified	6
		4.	No Prejudice to Patent Owner	8
IV.	CONCLUSION			8



I. STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED

LG Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics U.S.A. Inc., LG Electronics Mobilecomm U.S.A. Inc., LG Electronics Mobile Research U.S.A. LLC, and LG Electronics Alabama, Inc. (collectively "LGE" or "Petitioners") respectfully submit this Motion for Joinder together with a Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,624,550 ("LGE's Petition"). Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b), LGE requests *inter partes* review and joinder with ZTE (USA) Inc., Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.,. v. Fundamental Innovation Systems International LLC, IPR2018-00110 ("the ZTE/Samsung IPR"), which was filed on October 26, 2017. LGE's Petition is substantively identical to the petition in the ZTE/Samsung IPR—challenging the same claims of the '550 Patent on the same grounds while relying on the same prior art, arguments, and evidence. This Motion for Joinder and accompanying Petition are timely, being filed before a decision instituting trial in the ZTE/Samsung IPR. Counsel for LGE has conferred with petitioner's counsel in the ZTE/Samsung IPR, and this Motion for Joinder is not opposed.

Joinder is appropriate here because (i) LGE's Petition is substantively identical to the petition in the ZTE/Samsung IPR and (ii) LGE agrees to an "understudy role" and relies upon the same expert declaration as relied upon in the



ZTE/Samsung IPR, simplifying briefing and discovery. Accordingly, joinder will provide for a just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of related proceedings.

Accordingly, LGE respectfully requests that the Board grant this Motion for Joinder.

II. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS

- 1. The owner of the '550 Patent, Fundamental Innovation Systems

 International LLC ("FISI"), sued LGE alleging infringement of the '550 Patent in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas.
 - 2. LGE was served with FISI's complaint on January 18, 2017.
- 3. On October 26, 2017, ZTE (USA) Inc., Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc., (collectively "ZTE"), which had also been served with a complaint alleging infringement of the '550 Patent, timely filed a Petition for *inter partes* review challenging claims 1-18 of the '550 Patent. *See ZTE (USA) Inc., et al. v. Fundamental Innovation Systems International LLC*, IPR2018-00110, Paper 1 (PTAB Oct. 26, 2017).
- 4. LGE's Petition and this motion are being filed before a decision on institution of the ZTE/Samsung IPR.

III. STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR REQUESTED RELIEF

A. Legal Standards

The Board has authority under 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) to join a party who files a



proper *inter partes* review petition to a previously filed *inter partes* review proceeding. This authority is discretionary. 35 U.S.C. § 315(c); 37 C.F.R. § 42.122. The moving party has the burden of proof and should: (1) set forth the reasons why joinder is appropriate; (2) identify any new grounds of unpatentability asserted in the petition; (3) explain what impact (if any) joinder would have on the trial schedule for the existing review; and (4) address specifically how briefing and discovery may be simplified. *Dell Inc. v. Network-1 Sec. Solutions, Inc*, IPR2013-00385, Paper 17 at 3-4 (PTAB July 29, 2013).

The legislative history of the AIA suggests that the joinder may be granted as a matter of right where the later petitioner files an identical petition with identical grounds of unpatentability. *See* 157 CONG. REC. S1376 (daily ed. Mar. 8, 2011) (statement of Sen. Kyl) ("The Office anticipates that joinder will be allowed *as of right*-if an *inter partes* review is instituted on the basis of a petition, for example, a party that files an identical petition will be joined to that proceeding, and thus allowed to file its own briefs and make its own arguments.") (emphasis added).

B. <u>LGE's Motion for Joinder is Timely</u>

A Motion for Joinder is timely if the moving party files within one month of institution of the *inter partes* review for which joinder is requested. 37 C.F.R.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

