#### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Patrick Thompson · Frank Balis · Baruti M. Serabe Stacey Berg · Peter Adamson · Renee Klenke Alberta Aiken · Roger Packer · Daryl J. Murry Regina Jakacki · Susan M. Blaney

## Pharmacokinetics of phenylacetate administered as a 30-min infusion in children with refractory cancer

Published online: 15 July 2003 © Springer-Verlag 2003

**Abstract** *Purpose*: Phenylacetate (PAA), a deaminated metabolite of phenylalanine, suppresses tumor growth and induces differentiation in preclinical tumor models. We performed a pharmacokinetic study, as part of a phase I trial, of PAA in children with refractory cancer. *Methods*: PAA was administered as a 30-min i.v. infusion at a dose of 1.8 or 2.5 g/m<sup>2</sup>. Serial plasma samples

This work was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health (M01-RR00188), and the General Clinical Research Center, Baylor College of Medicine.

P. Thompson Department of Pediatrics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA

F. Balis · P. Adamson · A. Aiken Pediatric Oncology Branch, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA

B. M. Serabe · S. Berg · R. Klenke · D. J. Murry · S. M. Blaney Texas Children's Cancer Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA

R. Packer Department of Hematology-Oncology, Children's National Medical Center, Washington, DC, USA

R. Jakacki Division of Hematology-Oncology, Riley Hospital for Children, Indianapolis, IN, USA

S. M. Blaney (⊠) Texas Children's Cancer Center, 6621 Fannin, MC 3-3320, Houston, TX 77030, USA E-mail: sblaney@txccc.org Tel.: +1-832-8221482 Fax: +1-832-8254299

Present address: B. M. Serabe Medcenter One Health Systems, Bismarck, ND, USA

Present address: P. Adamson Children's Hospital Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Present address: D. J. Murry Purdue University, Indianapolis, IN, USA

DOCKE

Present address: R. Jakacki Children's Hospital Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA were collected for up to 24 h after the end of the infusion in 27 children. The concentrations of PAA and its inactive metabolite, phenylacetylglutamine (PAG), were measured using a reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography assay with ultraviolet detection. Results: PAA and PAG concentrations were best described by a two-compartment model (one compartment for each compound) with capacity-limited conversion of PAA to PAG. The half-life of PAA was  $55 \pm 18$  min at the 1.8 g/m<sup>2</sup> dose and  $77 \pm 22$  min at the 2.5 g/m<sup>2</sup> dose. The half-life of PAG was  $112 \pm 53$  min at the 1.8 g/m<sup>2</sup> dose and  $135 \pm 75$  min at the 2.5 g/m<sup>2</sup> dose. The clear-ance of PAA was  $66 \pm 33$  ml/min per m<sup>2</sup> at the 1.8 g/m<sup>2</sup> dose and  $60 \pm 24$  ml/min per m<sup>2</sup> at the 2.5 g/m<sup>2</sup> dose. The Michaelis-Menten constants describing the conversion of PAA to PAG in the model ( $V_m$  and  $K_m$ ) were (means  $\pm$ SD)  $18.4 \pm 13.8 \text{ mg/m}^2$  per min and  $152 \pm 155 \text{ µg/ml}$ , respectively. The volumes of distribution for PAA and PAG (V<sub>d-PAA</sub> and V<sub>d-PAG</sub>) were  $7.9\pm3.4$  l/m<sup>2</sup> and  $34.4\pm16.1$  l/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. The first-order elimination rate constant for PAG ( $k_{e-PAG}$ ) was  $0.0091 \pm$ 0.0039 min<sup>-1</sup>. Conclusions: The capacity-limited conversion of PAA to PAG has important implications for the dosing of PAA, and the pharmacokinetic model described here may be useful for individualizing the infusion rate of the drug in future clinical trials.

**Keywords** Pharmacokinetic · Phenylacetate · Pediatric · Phenylacetylglutamine

#### Introduction

Phenylacetate (PAA), a deaminated metabolite of phenylalanine, is normally present in the mammalian circulation in micromolar concentrations [1]. In preclinical studies, exposure to millimolar concentrations of PAA can induce tumor cytostasis and differentiation in a variety of tumor cell lines, including malignant gliomas, hormone-refractory prostate carcinoma, malignant melanoma, neuroblastoma, lymphoblastic leukemia, and adenocarcinomas of the breast, colon and lung [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. Postulated mechanisms for PAA-induced cytostasis and tumor differentiation include: alterations in lipid metabolism, regulation of gene expression through DNA hypomethylation and transcriptional activation, inhibition of protein isoprenylation, and glutamine depletion [5]. These unique mechanisms of action, combined with preclinical evidence of antitumor activity, have led to the clinical development of PAA as a potential anticancer agent.

PAA has been administered in high doses to children with hyperammonemia due to inborn errors of urea synthesis [9, 10]. PAA is eliminated by conjugation with glutamine to yield phenylacetylglutamine (PAG), which is subsequently excreted in the urine [11, 12]. Mobilization of glutamine-associated nitrogen is believed to lead to the observed improvements in hyperammonemia. In children with urea cycle defects who received PAA doses of 2 mmol/kg (about 8 g/m<sup>2</sup>), PAA elimination was found to follow first-order kinetics with a  $t_{1/2}$  of 254 min [10]. In adults who received PAA as an anticancer agent, the pharmacokinetic behavior of PAA after administration of a 30-min bolus infusion was described by a one-compartment model, with capacity-limited elimination [13, 14].

In the present study, we investigated the pharmacokinetics of PAA in children with refractory cancers who received a 30-min infusion of PAA as part of a phase I clinical trial. We developed a pharmacokinetic model that describes the disposition of PAA and the formation and elimination of the metabolite, PAG.

#### **Patients and methods**

#### Patient eligibility

Children between 2 and 21 years of age with histologically confirmed cancer refractory to standard therapy or with surgically inoperable plexiform neurofibromas with the potential to cause significant morbidity were eligible for the study. Other eligibility criteria included: (a) adequate renal, hepatic, pulmonary and cardiovascular function; (b) recovery from the toxic effects of all prior therapy; (c) an ECOG performance status  $\leq 2$ ; (d) a life expectancy of at least 8 weeks; and (e) the presence of a permanently indwelling central venous access device. Patients were excluded if they: (a) were pregnant or lactating; (b) had a significant systemic illness; (c) had a pre-existing grade 2 neurocortical toxicity; or (d) had an amino aciduria or organic acidemia. Patients receiving dexamethasone were required to be on a stable or decreasing dose for at least 2 weeks prior to study entry. Informed consent was obtained from the patient or parent in accordance with individual institutional policies prior to entry onto this study. Toxicities were evaluated using version 1 of the NCI Common Toxicity Criteria [15]. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of patients enrolled in the study.

#### Dosage and drug administration

DOCKE.

PAA was supplied by the Investigational Drug Branch, National Cancer Institute (Bethesda, Md.) as a 50% (500 mg/ml) solution of sodium PAA in sterile water. The appropriate dose of the drug was

Table 1 Patient demographics

Total number of patients	27
Median Range <sup>a</sup>	10 1.4–20
Weight (kg) Mean ± SD Range	$36 \pm 24$ 9.9–93.5
Body surface area $(m^2)$ Mean $\pm$ SD Range	$\begin{array}{c} 1.17 \pm 0.47 \\ 0.48 2.3 \end{array}$

<sup>a</sup>Two patients <2 years of age enrolled by special exemption

diluted in 30 ml sterile water. PAG for HPLC standards was supplied by Elan Pharmaceutical and Research Company (Gainesville, Ga.).

In order to characterize PAA pharmacokinetics, a 30-min i.v. infusion was administered 1 day prior to the start of a 28-day continuous infusion. The initial i.v. bolus dose was  $1.8 \text{ g/m}^2$  (n=13), which was approximately 80% of the bolus dose administered in phase I clinical trials in adults. The dose was subsequently increased to 2.5 g/m<sup>2</sup> (n=14) due to the rapid plasma elimination of PAA and lack of toxicity at the lower dose. The continuous i.v. dose received by patients varied from 7 to 12 g/m<sup>2</sup> per day. The results of the phase I clinical trial will be reported separately.

#### Pharmacokinetic studies

Blood samples were obtained prior to the start of the infusion, at 15 min during the infusion, at the end of the infusion, and at 5, 15, 30 min, and 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 24 h following the completion of the infusion. Samples were drawn from a site separate from the infusion site. Blood samples were collected into heparinized tubes, placed on ice, and centrifuged to separate the plasma. Plasma was stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until the day of analysis.

#### Analytical method

Plasma PAA and PAG concentrations were measured using a previously reported reverse-phase high-pressure liquid chromatograph (HPLC) assay with minor modification [13]. Plasma (200  $\mu$ l) was transferred to a 1.7-ml Eppendorf tube (Brinkmann Instruments, Westbury, N.Y.). Protein was precipitated by adding 180  $\mu$ l 100% methanol (EM Science, Gibbstown, N.J.) and 20  $\mu$ l 40% zinc sulfate (Sigma Chemical Company, St. Louis, Mo.). The sample was vortexed, centrifuged (4500 g for 5 min) and the supernatant (150  $\mu$ l) transferred to an autosampler vial maintained at 10°C until HPLC injection. The injection volume was 20  $\mu$ l. Recovery was 85±7.7% for PAA and 90±16% for PAG.

The HPLC system consisted of a Waters model 600 automated gradient controller, a Waters programmable ultraviolet multiwavelength detector model 490E and a Waters model 717 autosampler (Waters Corporation, Milford, Mass.). The analytical column was a Waters C-18 Novapak,  $3.9 \times 300$  mm (Millipore Corporation, Milford, Mass.) maintained at 60°C with a column heater. Mobile phase A was 5% acetonitrile acidified with 0.005 *M* phosphoric acid and mobile phase B was acetonitrile acidified with 0.005 *M* phosphoric acid. A linear gradient from 100% A to 70% A/30% B over 20 min was used. The total flow rate was 1 ml/min. The column was then washed with 50% A/50% B for 10 min and allowed to equilibrate with 100% A for 10 min between injections. The total run time was 40 min. PAA and PAG were monitored at 208 nm. Retention times for PAA and PAG were 18 and 12.5 min, respectively.

Standard curves in donor plasma were prepared for each HPLC run. Standard curves were linear over the ranges 5 to 750  $\mu$ g/ml for PAA and 10 to 750  $\mu$ g/ml for PAG. The interday coefficient of variation was less than 12%.



Fig. 1 Capacity-limited model for the pharmacokinetic disposition of PAA in children with refractory cancer. There are separate compartments for PAA and PAG and capacity-limited conversion of PAA to PAG. Box 1 represents the PAA compartment and box 2 the PAG compartment.  $K_0$  represents the infusion of PAA.  $V_{max}$ and  $K_m$  are the Michaelis-Menten constants for capacity-limited kinetics and  $k_{e-PAG}$  is the first-order elimination rate constant for PAG.  $V_{d-PAA}$  and  $V_{d-PAG}$  represent the volumes of distribution for PAA and PAG



Fig. 2 First-order model for the pharmacokinetic disposition of PAA in children with refractory cancer. There are separate compartments for PAA and PAG and capacity-limited conversion of PAA to PAG. Box 1 represents the PAA compartment and box 2 the PAG compartment.  $K_0$  represents the infusion of PAA.  $k_{12}$  is the first-order rate constant for the conversion of PAA to PAG, and  $k_{e-PAG}$  is the first-order elimination rate constant for PAG.  $V_{d-PAA}$  and  $V_{d-PAG}$  represent the volumes of distribution for PAA and PAG

#### Pharmacokinetic and statistical analysis

Pharmacokinetic sampling was carried out in 27 patients. Noncompartmental methods were used to calculate the area under the concentration versus time curve (AUC), the model-independent total body clearance (Cl<sub>TB</sub>), and model-independent steady-state volume of distribution (Vd<sub>SS</sub>) for PAA. AUC was also determined for PAG. For both compounds the AUC was derived by the linear trapezoidal method and extrapolated to infinity by adding the quotient of the final plasma concentration divided by the terminal rate constant. For PAA the Cl<sub>TB</sub> was determined by dividing the dose by the AUC, and the  $Vd_{SS}$  for PAA was calculated using the area under the moment curve. The terminal half-lives for PAA and PAG were calculated from the slope of the best-fit line through the last three or four data points.

The pharmacokinetic models depicted in Figs. 1 and 2 were fitted to the plasma concentration versus time data from the individual patient data sets using MLAB software (Civilized Software, Bethesda, Md.). Both models incorporated single compartments for PAA and PAG, with either first-order or capacity-limited conversion of PAA to PAG, and subsequent first-order elimination for PAG.

#### Model with capacity-limited conversion of PAA to PAG

The differential equations that describe the model are as follows:

$\frac{dX_1}{dt}$	=	Infusion $-\frac{V_{\max}X}{K_m^*+X}$	<u>L</u> I	$\frac{dX_2}{dt} = \frac{V_{\max}X_1}{K_m^* + X_1} - K_e X_2$		
$K_m$	=	$K_m^* \cdot V_1$	$C_1 = \frac{X_1}{V_1}$	$C_2 = \frac{X_2}{V_2}$		
Whe	re:					
$X_{I}$		Amount of PAA	1			
$X_2$	$X_2$ Amount of PAG					
$C_{I}$	$C_1$ Concentration of PAA					
$C_2$	C <sub>2</sub> Concentration of PAG					
$V_{I}$		Volume of distribution PAA				
$V_2$	V <sub>2</sub> Volume of distribution PAG					

- $K^*_m$ Half-saturation constant (Michaelis-Menten parameter) expressed on a mass basis
- Maximum velocity (Michaelis-Menten parameter) ex- $V_{max}$ pressed on a mass basis
- Half-saturation constant expressed on a concentration  $K_m$ basis
- $K_e$ First-order elimination rate constant for PAG

#### Model with first-order conversion of PAA to PAG

The differential equations that describe the model are as follows:

$$\frac{dX_1}{dt} = Infusion - k_{12}X_1 \qquad \frac{dX_2}{dt} = k_{12}X_1 - K_eX_2$$
$$C_1 = \frac{X_1}{V_1} \qquad C_2 = \frac{X_2}{V_2}$$

Where:

- $X_1$ Amount of PAA
- $X_2$ Amount of PAG
- Concentration of PAA  $C_1$
- Concentration of PAG
- $C_2$  $V_1$  $V_2$ Volume of distribution PAA
- Volume of distribution PAG
- First-order rate constant for conversion of PAA to PAG  $k_{12}$

 $k_e$ First-order elimination rate constant for PAG

The completed model fits were compared in two ways: (1) by evaluating the sum of residual squared error (weighted by the inverse concentration squared), and (2) by calculating the Akaike Information Criteria [16].

The capacity-limited and first-order kinetic models were further evaluated by comparing their ability to predict the steady-state concentrations observed in the continuous i.v. infusions. The model parameters derived for each patient from the bolus data were used to predict the steady-state levels of PAA and PAG for the continuous infusion.

Since most of the children enrolled in this study had primary CNS tumors, additional analysis was done retrospectively to attempt to determine whether dexamethasone or anticonvulsants, medications that this patient population commonly receives, interfered with the metabolism or clearance of PAA. To evaluate this issue pharmacokinetic parameters were compared between the group receiving medication and the group that was not. A twosided *t*-test was performed to determine significance.

#### Results

Model-independent pharmacokinetic parameters

Model-independent pharmacokinetic parameters for PAA and PAG are provided in Table 2 for both bolus dose levels tested.

Model fit: comparison of capacity-limited kinetics and first-order kinetics

Representative concentration versus time curves of model-predicted and actual PAA and PAG concentrations following administration of either a 1.8  $g/m^2$  or a 2.5 g/m<sup>2</sup> 30-min i.v. infusion are shown in Fig. 3. The figure shows experimental data for two typical patients and model fits generated with both the capacity-limited and first-order pharmacokinetics. Overall the capacity**Table 2** Model-independentPAA and PAG pharmaco-kinetic parameters for bothdoses tested. Values aremeans  $\pm$  SD

	Parameter	Units	Dose (g/m <sup>2</sup> )		
			1.8	2.5	
PAA	Maximum concentration AUC Cl <sub>TB</sub> V <sub>d-ss</sub>	$\mu g/ml$ $\mu g \cdot min/ml$ $ml/min/m^2$ $l/m^2$ min	$253 \pm 51$ $32,200 \pm 12,500$ $66.4 \pm 33.4$ $5.3 \pm 1.1$ $55 \pm 10$	$359 \pm 121$ $49,800 \pm 23,800$ $59.9 \pm 24.0$ $6.6 \pm 2.9$ $77 \pm 22$	
PAG	Maximum concentration AUC t <sub>1/2</sub>	μg/ml μg·min/ml min	$55 \pm 18$ $55 \pm 18$ $18,800 \pm 12,000$ $112 \pm 53$	$77 \pm 22$ $67 \pm 29$ $24,000 \pm 12,700$ $135 \pm 75$	

Fig. 3a, b Serum concentrations of PAA ( $\bigcirc$ ) and PAG ( $\triangle$ ) are shown for representative patients following (a) a 1.8-g/m<sup>2</sup> i.v. bolus of PAA over 30 min, and (b) a 2.5-g/m<sup>2</sup> i.v. bolus over 30 min. The *solid lines* represent the model fit with capacity-limited kinetics, and the *dashed lines* represent the model fit with first-order kinetics



limited model fitted the patient data very well, with a mean  $r^2$  of  $0.939 \pm 0.082$ .

Comparison to the first-order model showed that capacity-limited kinetics provided a lower sum of residual squares in 22 of 27 patients and a lower AIC in 20 of 27 patients. Additionally, for three of the seven patients whose concentration versus time data were fitted best by a first-order model, it was difficult to fit the experimental data to the capacity-limited

kinetic model because there were fewer late data points (concentrations at 4 h post-infusion were below the lower limit of quantitation for the assay).

Model-dependent pharmacokinetic parameters

Tables 3 and 4 show the mean and median pharmacokinetic parameters determined from this study for the Table 3 Model-dependent PAA and PAG pharmacokinetic parameters (results based on patients enrolled at both doses and results normalized on body surface area and mass)

and PAG pharmacokinetic		Parameter	Units	Mean	Median	SD	CV (%)
parameters (results based on patients enrolled at both doses and results normalized on body surface area and mass)	Area basis	K <sub>m</sub> V <sub>max</sub> k <sub>e-PAG</sub> V <sub>d-PAA</sub>	mg/l $mg/m^2/min$ $min^{-1}$ $l/m^2$	152 18.4 0.0091 7.97	99.0 13.8 0.0087 6.74	155 13.8 0.0039 3.29	101.9 74.8 43.2 41.2
	Mass basis	Vd-PAG Km Vmax ke-PAG Vd-PAA Vd-PAG	l/m <sup>2</sup> mg/kg mg/kg/h h <sup>-1</sup> l/kg l/kg	35.0 33.0 34.9 0.54 0.27 1.15	31.9 25.3 24.1 0.52 0.23 1.06	16.0 23.3 22.8 0.24 0.13 0.56	45.6 70.5 65.2 43.2 48.4 48.7
Table 4 Model-dependent PAA   and PAG pharmacokinetic   parameters at each dose tested	Dose (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	Parameter	Units	Mean	Median	SD	CV (%)
	1.8	K <sub>m</sub> V <sub>max</sub> k <sub>e</sub> -pAG V <sub>d</sub> -pAA	mg/l $mg/m^2/min$ $nin^{-1}$ $l/m^2$ $l/m^2$	142 18.3 0.0087 6.84 35.3	89.7 13.5 0.0089 6.43 39.1	155 14.7 0.0041 1.25 9.2	109.2 80.3 47.1 18.3 26.1
	2.5	K <sub>m</sub> V <sub>max</sub> k <sub>e-PAG</sub> V <sub>d-PAA</sub> V <sub>d-PAG</sub>	mg/lmg/m2/minmin-1l/m2l/m2	161 18.6 0.0094 9.02 34.7	118 15.0 0.0084 6.97 28.3	144 12.8 0.0038 4.13 20.3	89.4 68.8 40.4 45.8 58.5

capacity-limited model. The results are expressed in two sets of units to facilitate comparison with other published data on PAA [13, 14]. Using the same units as previously published adult data, the modeldependent PAA pharmacokinetic parameters (K<sub>m</sub>, V<sub>max</sub> and  $V_{d\text{-}PAA})$  in children were  $152\pm155~\mu\text{g/ml},~35.0\pm$ 16 mg/kg per h, and  $0.27 \pm 0.13$  l/kg, respectively. These values are similar to previously reported parameters in adults:  $K_m 105 \pm 44.5 \ \mu g/ml$ ,  $V_{max} 24.1 \pm 5.2 \ mg/kg$  per h, and  $V_{d-PAA}$  19.2 ± 3.3 l [13]. Assuming a 70-kg adult, the PAA volume of distribution for adults is approximately  $0.27 \pm 0.047$  l/kg.

As shown in Table 3, the variabilities of  $V_{max}$  and  $K_m$ are large. However, the variability decreased if the seven data sets which were fitted better by the first-order model than the capacity-limited model were disregarded. Based on the subset of 20 patients in whom the capacitylimited model was superior,  $K_m$  was  $92.9\pm42.4~\mu\text{g/ml}$ and  $V_{max}$  26.9 ± 12.2 mg/kg per h. Other model-dependent parameters for this subset of patients did not significantly differ from the mean values for the complete study group.

Estimation of continuous infusion drug levels using bolus pharmacokinetic parameters

Both the capacity-limited and first-order kinetic models were used to predict steady-state levels for patients receiving continuous i.v. infusions. This was done by using the model parameters for each patient derived from the bolus data to predict the steady-state levels of PAA and PAG during the continuous infusion. Figures 4 and 5 show the predicted PAA levels for

DOCKF

both models plotted against the average measured steady-state levels for patients receiving continuous infusions of 7 and 9  $g/m^2$  per day, respectively. Each data point represents one patient and the 45° line represents perfect agreement between the model and the data.

#### Effect of concurrent medications

Since most of the children enrolled in this study had primary CNS tumors, we retrospectively attempted to determine whether dexamethasone or anticonvulsants, medications that this patient population commonly receives, interfered with the metabolism or clearance of PAA. There were no statistically significant relationships between concomitant administration of dexamethasone (n=12), phenytoin (n=4), or both (n=2) and the AUC for PAA or PAG. Likewise, there were no correlations between concomitant administration of these medications and CL<sub>TB</sub> for PAA. However, potential interactions may not have been detected because of the relatively small number of patients who were receiving concomitant anticonvulsants.

#### Discussion

#### Pharmacokinetics of PAA following bolus dose administration

Early studies of the plasma pharmacokinetics of PAA following bolus dose administration of 2 mmol/kg (about 270 mg/kg or 8 g/m<sup>2</sup>) performed in children with

# DOCKET



## Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

