1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	
3	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
4	
5	VIZIO, INC.,
6	Petitioner,
7	V.
8	NICHIA CORP.,
9	Patent Owner.
10	
11	Case No. IPR2018-00386, IPR2018-00437
12	
13	TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE CALL BEFORE
14	JUDGE NATHAN A. ENGELS, JUDGE SALLY C. MEDLEY, and
15	JUDGE WILLIAM V. SAINDON
16	Thursday, January 17, 2019
17	3:00 p.m.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	NICHIA EXHIBIT 2037 Vizio Inc. v. Nichia Corr
23	Job No.: 225431 Vizio, Inc. v. Nichia Corp. Case IPR2018-00437
24	Pages: 1 - 22
25	Reported By: Charlotte Lacey, RPR, CSR No. 14224

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Transcript of Conference Call with Panel of Judges Conducted on January 17, 2019

1	A P P E A R A N C E S
2	(All appearances telephonic)
3	ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER VIZIO, INC.:
4	GABRIELLE E. HIGGINS, ESQUIRE
5	CHRISTOPHER M. BONNY, ESQUIRE
6	ROPES & GRAY LLP
7	1900 University Avenue, 6th Floor
8	East Palo Alto, California 94303
9	(650) 617-4000
10	
11	ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER NICHIA CORPORATION:
12	MICHAEL H. JONES, ESQUIRE
13	MARK T. RAWLS, ESQUIRE
14	MARTIN M. ZOLTICK, ESQUIRE
15	ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, PC
16	607 14th Street, Northwest, Suite 800
17	Washington, D.C. 20005
18	(202) 7883-6040
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	ρι ανιέτ μερώς

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

ALARM

Transcript of Conference Call with Panel of Judges Conducted on January 17, 2019

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE ENGELS: Good afternoon. This is Judge
3	Engels. And with me on the line are Judges Medley and
4	Judge Saindon. We're here this afternoon to discuss
5	IPR2018-00386 and IPR2018-00437.
6	Who's on the line for the parties?
7	MR. ZOLTICK: Yeah. Good afternoon, Your
8	Honor. It's Marty Zoltick on behalf of the patent owner
9	Nichia.
10	MS. HIGGINS: Hi. Good afternoon, Your Honor.
11	This is Gabrielle Higgins and Christopher Bonny on the
12	line on behalf of petitioner Vizio, Inc.
13	MR. ZOLTICK: And, Your Honor, there should
14	also be a court reporter on the line.
15	THE REPORTER: Yes. This is Charlotte Lacey
16	with Planet Depos.
17	MR. JONES: And, Your Honors, you also have
18	Michael Jones and Mark Rawls also for patent owner.
19	Thank you.
20	JUDGE ENGELS: Thank you. At the end of the
21	telephone conference today, if if the parties could
22	submit a transcript of the hearing as an exhibit, we
23	would appreciate that.
24	MR. ZOLTICK: Yes. That's fine.
25	JUDGE ENGELS: I understand we have a number

DI ANET DEDOC

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

ALARM

Г

DOCKET

Α

1	of things to discuss today, as as mentioned in your
2	e-mails.
3	Let's begin with patent owner's request. I
4	understand patent owner is requesting leave to file a
5	sur-reply to patent owner's response. I understand from
6	the e-mail that petitioner opposes the request.
7	The practice guidelines generally allow a
8	sur-reapply as a matter of course. Is there a
9	particular reason that this sur-reply this request
10	for a sur-reply is opposed?
11	MS. HIGGINS: Your Honor, this is Gabrielle
12	Higgins for petitioner, and we fully acknowledge what's
13	in the August Trial Practice Guide. When we met and
14	conferred with patent owner, we asked patent owner if it
15	would be agreeable to the petitioner having a three-page
16	sur-reply. And our position is petitioner doesn't
17	oppose patent owner's request for sur-replies on the
18	condition that the board also authorizes
19	sur-sur-replies. And just like patent owner argues that
20	the sur-reply replaces observations on cross, as as
21	you know, Your Honor, the scheduling orders here, which
22	have been in place for over six months, already provide
23	petitioner with a response to observations on cross,
24	which we believe, in this transitional period, the board
25	has the discretion to replace with a sur-sur-reply.

ρι ανιέτ μερώς

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

4

Transcript of Conference Call with Panel of Judges Conducted on January 17, 2019

DOCKET

Α

1	Since the scheduling order already provides
2	petitioner with a paper, taking away a briefing
3	opportunity would be unfair, especially given that
4	petitioner has the burden here, and we believe would
5	effectively be sanctioning the petitioner.
6	And I would like to point the board to
7	GN Hearing versus Oticon. The same argument that I just
8	made was made in GN Hearing, and the board granted
9	petitioner's request for sur-sur-replies, which was also
10	in this transitional period. That is IPR2017-01927.
11	And the transcript from that teleconference where the
12	sur-sur-replies were granted is Exhibit 1018 at pages 8,
13	9.
14	We also wanted to point out that in another
15	proceeding involving the parties, IPR2017-01608,
16	paper 47, at page 3, the panel there granted
17	petitioner's request for a sur-sur-reply.
18	And so our position is not that we oppose. We
19	do acknowledge that the August Trial Practice Guide
20	does, you know, talk about replacing the sur-reply
21	excuse me the observations on cross with the
22	sur-reply. But we believe since the scheduling orders
23	also have a response to that observation on cross, that
24	petitioner should get a sur-sur-reply. And and we
25	limited that, Your Honor, to three pages in each

ρι ανιέτ μέρως

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

5

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.