

Filed on behalf of: Nichia Corp.

Paper \_\_\_\_\_

By: Martin M. Zoltick, Lead Counsel  
Robert Parker, Back-up Counsel  
Jenny L. Colgate, Back-up Counsel  
Derek F. Dahlgren, Back-up Counsel  
Michael H. Jones, Back-up Counsel  
Mark T. Rawls, Back-up Counsel  
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.  
607 14th Street, N.W., Suite 800  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: 202-783-6040  
Facsimile: 202-783-6031

Date filed: June 11, 2018

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

VIZIO INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

NICHIA CORP.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2017-01623  
Patent 8,530,250

---

**SECOND DECLARATION OF DR. E. FRED SCHUBERT**

**NICHIA EXHIBIT 2032**

*Vizio, Inc. v. Nichia Corp.*  
Case IPR2018-00437

Filed on behalf of: Nichia Corp.

Paper \_\_\_\_\_

By: Martin M. Zoltick, Lead Counsel  
Robert Parker, Back-up Counsel  
Jenny L. Colgate, Back-up Counsel  
Derek F. Dahlgren, Back-up Counsel  
Michael H. Jones, Back-up Counsel  
Mark T. Rawls, Back-up Counsel  
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.  
607 14th Street, N.W., Suite 800  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: 202-783-6040  
Facsimile: 202-783-6031

Date filed: June 11, 2018

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

VIZIO INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

NICHIA CORP.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2017-01623  
Patent 8,530,250

---

**SECOND DECLARATION OF DR. E. FRED SCHUBERT**

**NICHIA EXHIBIT 2724**  
*Vizio, Inc. v. Nichia Corp.*  
Case IPR2017-01623

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Qualifications.....	1
III.	Materials considered.....	7
IV.	Summary of opinions.....	7
V.	Technology background .....	8
A.	LED device technology overview .....	11
1.	LED device components: structure and function.....	11
B.	LED packaging: integrating multiple design challenges .....	16
1.	Electrical design challenges .....	17
2.	Optical design challenges .....	17
3.	Mechanical design challenges.....	18
4.	Thermal design challenges.....	19
5.	Chemical and photochemical design challenges .....	23
6.	Manufacturing challenges .....	24
7.	Competing considerations in LED packaging design.....	26
C.	Additional design challenges: size, cost, and manufacturing capacity .....	28
1.	Size.....	29
2.	Cost .....	30
3.	High-throughput manufacturing capacity .....	31
D.	Other differences .....	38
VI.	Definition of one of ordinary skill in the art.....	43
VII.	Claim construction.....	47
VIII.	Prior art references.....	47
A.	Koung .....	47
B.	Urasaki.....	49

C.	Oshio.....	50
D.	Suenaga.....	52
IX.	The claims of the '250 patent are not unpatentable.....	52
A.	Koung is not prior art to the '250 Patent.....	53
B.	Even if Koung is prior art, the claims of the '250 Patent are not unpatentable.....	53
1.	Claim 1 would not have been obvious over the combination of Koung and Urasaki.....	54
a.	One of skill in the art would not have replaced Koung's injection-compression molding of thermoplastic resin with transfer molding a thermosetting resin.....	56
b.	Dr. Shanfield's rationale for combining Koung and Urasaki is incorrect and incomplete .....	66
2.	Oshio does not remedy the deficiencies of Koung and Urasaki with respect to claim 1 .....	76
3.	Claim 17 would not have been obvious over Koung (Ground 3) .....	77
4.	Oshio does not remedy the deficiencies of Koung with respect to claim 17 (Ground 4).....	85
X.	Objective indicia support the nonobviousness of the challenged claims of the '250 patent .....	91
A.	Secondary considerations or objective indicia support a finding of nonobviousness .....	91
1.	Commercial success.....	92
2.	Other secondary considerations .....	94
B.	Nichia's products practice the claims of the '250 Patent.....	96
1.	Representative Nichia products .....	96
2.	Laboratory testing: IAL/TAEUS technical analysis reports....	97
3.	Testimonial evidence .....	99

C. Nichia’s 757 and 157 series products practice the independent claims  
of the ’250 Patent .....100

1. Analysis for 757 product series.....101

2. Analysis for 157 product series.....128

XI. Conclusion .....152

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.