# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC.

Petitioner

v.

UNILOC LUXEMBOURG, S.A.

Patent Owner

IPR2018-00424 PATENT 7,881,902

PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION

PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.107(a)

# **Table of Contents**

I.	INTRODUCTION1						
II.	THE '902 PATENT1						
III.	RELATED PROCEEDINGS						
IV.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART2						
V.	PETITIONER DOES NOT PROVE A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF UNPATENTABILITY FOR ANY CHALLENGED CLAIM						
	A. Claim Construction						
		1.	"dominant axis"5				
		2.	"cadence window"6				
	В.	Grou	Inds 1-3 Should Be Denied6				
		1.	The Petition Fails To Show <i>Mitchnick</i> 's Embodiments Are Combinable6				
			a) <i>Mitchnick</i> Does Not Teach An "External Device" Embodiment7				
			b) Regardless, Petitioner Fails To Provide The Required Analysis and Explanation Of How And Why <i>Mitchnick</i> Would Be Modified To Make The Hypothetical "External Device"				
		2.	No <i>Prima Facie</i> Obviousness For "detecting motion by an inertial sensor included in a mobile device"				
		3.	The Petition Should Be Denied as To Challenged Dependent Claims In Grounds 1-314				

DOCKET

			IPR2018-00424
			U.S. Patent 7,881,902
	C.	Grou	and 4 Should Be Denied14
		1.	No <i>Prima Facie</i> Obviousness For "using a default step cadence window to identify a time frame within which to monitor for a next step"
		2.	No <i>Prima Facie</i> Obviousness For "when the step count is at or above the step count threshold, determining a dynamic step cadence window"15
		3.	No <i>Prima Facie</i> Obviousness For "using the dynamic step cadence window to identify the time frame within which to monitor for the next step"17
		4.	The Petition Should Be Denied as To Challenged Dependent Claims In Ground 418
VI.	CON	ICLUS	SION

# **List of Exhibits**

Exhibit No.	Description	
2001	Declaration of William C. Easttom	
2002	United States Patent No. 5,593,431 to Sheldon ("Sheldon II")	

### I. INTRODUCTION

Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. (the "Uniloc" or "Patent Owner") submits this Preliminary Response to Petition IPR2018-00424 for *Inter Partes* Review ("Pet." or "Petition") of United States Patent No. 7,881,902 ("the '902 Patent" or "EX1001") filed by Apple, Inc. ("Petitioner"). The instant Petition is procedurally and substantively defective for at least the reasons set forth herein.

### II. THE '902 PATENT

The '902 patent is titled "Human activity monitoring device." The '902 patent issued February 1, 2011, from U.S. Patent Application No. 12/694,135 filed January 26, 2010, and is a continuation of U.S. Patent Application No. 11/644,455 filed December 22, 2006.

The inventors of the '902 patent observed that at the time, step counting devices that utilize an inertial sensor to measure motion to detect steps generally required the user to first position the device in a limited set of orientations. In some devices, the required orientations are dictated to the user by the device. In other devices, the beginning orientation is not critical, so long as this orientation can be maintained. EX1001, 1:23-30. Further, the inventors observed that devices at the time were often confused by motion noise experienced by the device throughout a user's daily routine. The noise would cause false steps to be measured and actual steps to be missed in conventional step counting devices. Conventional step counting devices also failed to accurately measure steps for individuals who walk at a slow pace. *Id.*, 1:31-38.

According to the invention of the '902 Patent, a device to monitor human

activity using an inertial sensor assigns a dominant axis after determining the orientation of an inertial sensor. The orientation of the inertial sensor is continuously determined, and the dominant axis is updated as the orientation of the inertial sensor changes. *Id.*, 2:10-17.

### III. RELATED PROCEEDINGS

The following proceedings are currently pending cases concerning U.S. Pat. No. 7,881,902 (EX1001).

Case Caption	Case Number	District	Case Filed
Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. Apple Inc.	2-17-cv-00522	TXED	June 30, 2017
Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc. et al	2-17-cv-00650	TXED	September 15, 2017
Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. LG Electronics USA, Inc. et al	4-17-cv-00832	TXND	October 13, 2017
Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. HTC America, Inc.	2-17-cv-01629	WAWD	November 1, 2017
Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. Huawei Device USA, Inc. et al	2-17-cv-00737	TXED	November 9, 2017
Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. Apple Inc.	4-18-cv-00364	CAND	January 17, 2018
Apple v. Uniloc Luxembourg SA	IPR2018-01028	PTAB	May 4, 2018

## IV. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART

The Petition alleges that "a person of ordinary skill in the art ("POSITA") would include someone who had, at the priority date of the '902 Patent (i) a Bachelor's degree in Electrical Engineering, Computer Engineering, and/or Computer Science, or equivalent training, and (ii) approximately two years of

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.