UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner
v.
UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2018-424 U.S. PATENT NO. 7,881,902

DECLARATION OF WILLIAM C. EASTTOM II (CHUCK EASTTOM)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	3
II.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	3
III.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	4
IV.	THE '902 PATENT	4
V.	ONE OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	5
VI.	GENERAL ISSUES	6
	A. Mitchinick	6
	B. Sheldon	8
	C. Combining Mitchinick and Sheldon	8
	D. Combining Tanenhaus with Mitchinick or Sheldon	
VII.	SPECIFIC CLAIM ELEMENTS	
	A. Claim 1 detecting motion by an inertial sensor included in a mobile device.	. 13
	B. Claim 1: determining, by the mobile device, whether the motion has a motion signature indicative of a user activity that the mobile device is configured to monitor	. 15
	C. Claim 5 "using a default step cadence window to identify a time frame with which to monitor for a next step; and"	
VIII.	CONCLUSIONS	. 17
IX.	APPENDIX A – EASTTOM CV	. 18
	A. Education	
	B. Publications	. 21



	1. Books 21	
	2. Papers, presentations, & articles	
C.	Patents	25
D.	Standards and Certification Creation	26
E.	Professional Awards and Memberships	26
F.	Speaking Engagements	27
G.	Litigation Support Experience	30
Н.	Professional Experience	37
l.	Continuing Professional Education	40
J.	References to my work	42
K.	Training	50
L.	Technical Skills	51



I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. I have been retained by Uniloc to provide my expert opinions regarding validity of U.S. Patent No. 7,881,902 ("902 Patent"). Specifically, I have been asked to provide expert opinions regarding Claims 1-3, 5-7, and 10-18.
- 2. I am being compensated for my time at my standard consulting rate of \$300 per hour. I am also being reimbursed for expenses that I incur during the course of this work. My compensation is not contingent upon the results of my study or the substance of my opinions.

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

3. I have 25+ years of experience in the computer science industry including extensive experience with computer security, computer programming, and computer networking. I have authored 26 computer science books, including textbooks used at universities around the world. I hold 42 different computer industry certifications, including many in networking subjects. I am experienced with multiple programming languages. I also have extensive experience in computer networking. I have extensive experience with mobile devices, including all aspects of mobile devices (hardware and software). I am a Distinguished Speaker for the Association of Computing Machinery (ACM), and a reviewer for the IEEE Security and Privacy journal, as well as a reviewer for the International Journal of Cyber Warfare and Terrorism (IJCWT). My CV is attached as appendix A.



III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION

- 4. Fort the purposes of an IPR, claim terms are given their broadest reasonable meaning.
- 5. The petitioner has adopted the definitions of *dominant axis* as "the axis most influenced by gravity."
- 6. The petitioner has adopted the definition of *cadence window* as "a window of time since a last step was counted that is looked at to detect a new step."
- 7. The petitioner has adopted the definition of a dominant axis logic to determine an orientation of a device with respect to gravity, to assign a dominant axis, and to update the dominant axis when the orientation of the device changes as "hardware, software, or both to determine an orientation of a device, to assign a dominant axis, and to update the dominant axis as the orientation of the device changes." The petitioner seems to ignore the fact that software, by itself, cannot determine a dominant axis. Hardware with software/firmware, can.
- 8. While the petitioner has made some claims in claim construction that ignore the actual functionality of the hardware and software involved, for the purposes of this proceeding I will use the petitioners adopted definitions in performing my analysis and forming my opinions.

IV. THE '902 PATENT

9. The '902 patent is titled "Human activity monitoring device." The '902 patent issued February 1, 2011, from U.S. Patent Application No. 12/694,135 filed January



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

