### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

#### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_

CANON INC., CANON U.S.A., INC.,
CANON FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC., NIKON CORPORATION,
NIKON INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
and SANYO ELECTRIC CO., LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00410 Patent 6,895,449 B2

\_\_\_\_\_

Mailed: January 10, 2018

Before PATRICK E. BAKER, Trial Paralegal.

## NOTICE OF FILING DATE ACCORDED TO PETITION AND TIME FOR FILING PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

The petition for *inter partes* review filed in the above proceeding has been accorded the filing date of December 29, 2017.



Patent Owner may file a preliminary response to the petition no later than three months from the date of this notice. The preliminary response is limited to setting forth the reasons why the requested review should not be instituted. Patent Owner may also file an election to waive the preliminary response to expedite the proceeding. For more information, please consult the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756 (Aug. 14, 2012), which is available on the Board Web site at <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB">http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB</a>.

Patent Owner is advised of the requirement to submit mandatory notice information under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(2) within 21 days of service of the petition.

The parties are encouraged to use the heading on the first page of this Notice for all future filings in the proceeding.

The parties are advised that under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), recognition of counsel *pro hac vice* requires a showing of good cause. The parties are authorized to file motions for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Such motions shall be filed in accordance with the "Order -- Authorizing Motion *for Pro Hac Vice* Admission" in Case IPR2013-00639, Paper 7, a copy of which is available on the Board Web site under "Representative Orders, Decisions, and Notices."

The parties are reminded that unless otherwise permitted by 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b)(2), all filings in this proceeding must be made electronically in Patent Trial and Appeal Board End to End (PTAB E2E), accessible from the Board Web site at <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB">http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB</a>. To file documents, users must register with PTAB E2E. Information regarding how to register with and use PTAB E2E is available at the Board Web site.



IPR2018-00410 Patent 6,895,449 B2

If there are any questions pertaining to this notice, please contact Patrick E. Baker at 703-756-4781 or the Patent Trial and Appeal Board at 571-272-7822.

## PETITIONER:

David M. Maiorana
Matthew W. Johnson
David L. Witcoff
Marc S. Blackman
JONES DAY
dmaiorana@jonesday.com
mwjohnson@jonesday.com
dlwitcoff@jonesday.com
msblackman@jonesday.com

Carrie A. Beyer
Nikola Colic
Brian C. Rupp
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
Carrie.Beyer@dbr.com
Nick.Colic@dbr.com
Brian.Rupp@dbr.com

Mark Ungerman UNGERMAN IP PLLC mungerman@ungermanip.com



IPR2018-00410 Patent 6,895,449 B2

### PATENT OWNER:

SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS 3 Manhattanville Road, Suite 105 Purchase NY 10577

Anthony L. Meola SCHMEISER OLSEN & WATTS LLP 2500 Westchester Avenue, Suite 210 Purchase, New York 10577

Jason A. Murphy Victor J. Baranowski Arlen L. Olsen SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS LLP 22 Century Hill Drive, Suite 302 Latham, NY 12110

Gregory S.
Donahue Minghui Yang
DINOVO PRICE ELLWANGER & HARDY, LLP
7000 N. MoPac Expressway, Suite 350
Austin, Texas

John M. Desmarais Jonas R. McDavit Richard M. Cowell DESMARAIS LLP 230 Park Avenue New York, NY 10169

Christopher V. Goodpastor Andrew G. DiNovo Adam G. Price DiNOVO PRICE LLP 7000 N. MoPac Expressway, Suite 350 Austin, Texas 78731



## NOTICE CONCERNING ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)

The Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) strongly encourages parties who are considering settlement to consider alternative dispute resolution as a means of settling the issues that may be raised in an AIA trial proceeding. Many AIA trials are settled prior to a Final Written Decision. Those considering settlement may wish to consider alternative dispute resolution techniques early in a proceeding to produce a quicker, mutually agreeable resolution of a dispute or to at least narrow the scope of matters in dispute. Alternative dispute resolution has the potential to save parties time and money.

Many non-profit organizations, both inside and outside the intellectual property field, offer alternative dispute resolution services. Listed below are the names and addresses of several such organizations. The listings are provided for the convenience of parties involved in cases before the PTAB; the PTAB does not sponsor or endorse any particular organization's alternative dispute resolution services. In addition, consideration may be given to utilizing independent alternative dispute resolution firms. Such firms may be located through a standard keyword Internet search.

CPR INSTITUTE FOR DISPUTE RESOLUTION	AMERICAN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW ASSOCIATION (AIPLA)	AMERICAN ARBITRATIO N ASSOCIATIO N (AAA)	WORLD INTELLECTUA L PROPERTY ORGANIZATI ON (WIPO)	AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION (ABA)
Telephone: (212) 949-6490	Telephone: (703) 415-0780	Telephone: (212) 484-3266	Telephone: 41 22 338 9111	Telephone : (202) 662-1000
Fax: (212) 949-8859	Fax: (703) 415-0786	Fax: (212) 307-4387	Fax: 41 22 733 5428	N/A
575 Lexington Ave New York, NY 10022	241 18th Street, South, Suite 700 Arlington, VA 22202	140 West 51st Street New York, NY 10020	34, chemin des Colombettes CH-1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	1050 Connecticut Ave, NW Washington D.C. 20036
www.cpradr.org	www.aipla.org	www.adr.org	www.wipo.int	www.americanbar.org

If parties to an AIA trial proceeding consider using alternative dispute resolution, the PTAB would like to know whether the parties ultimately decided to engage in alternative dispute resolution and the reasons why or why not. If the parties actually engage in alternative dispute resolution, the PTAB would be interested to learn what mechanism (e.g., arbitration,



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

