

Filed on behalf of Patent Owner Gilead Pharmasset LLC by:

David L. Cavanaugh (Reg. No. 36,476)  
Emily R. Whelan (Reg. No. 50,391)  
Samantak Ghosh (Reg. No. L1032)  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING  
HALE AND DORR LLP  
60 State Street  
Boston, MA 02109

Dorothy P. Whelan (Reg. No. 33,814)  
Michael J. Kane (Reg. No. 39,722)  
W. Chad Shear (Reg. No. 47,938)  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3200  
Minneapolis, MN 55402

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

INITIATIVE FOR MEDICINES, ACCESS & KNOWLEDGE (I-MAK), INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

GILEAD PHARMASSET LLC,

Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2018-00390

Patent 8,889,159 B2

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND.....	3
A. Sovaldi® Was a “Game-Changing” Treatment for HCV .....	3
B. Solid Forms of Pharmaceutical Compounds.....	5
C. Crystalline Forms of Sofosbuvir .....	6
D. Formulations of Sofosbuvir.....	6
III. THE '159 PATENT.....	7
A. Overview of the '159 Patent.....	7
B. Prosecution History .....	9
IV. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	10
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	11
VI. PETITIONER’S ASSERTED REFERENCES .....	11
A. Ross '645.....	11
B. Ross '257.....	12
VII. THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE NO GROUND HAS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS.....	12
A. Ground 1: Claims 1-37 Are Not Anticipated by Ross '645.....	12
1. Ross '645 Fails to Disclose All the Limitations of the '159 Patent Claims.....	13
2. Petitioner Fails to Assert Anticipation with Particularity .....	23
B. Ground 2: Claims 1-37 Are Not Obvious Over Ross '645 .....	25

1.	Petitioner Fails to Articulate its Obviousness Theory with Particularity .....	26
2.	The Challenged Claims Are Not Obvious Over Ross '645, Alone or in Combination with the Alleged "Background Knowledge" .....	28
C.	Ground 3: Claims 1-37 Are Not Anticipated by Ross '257 .....	44
1.	Ross '257 Does Not Disclose the Claimed Crystalline Form of Sofosbuvir .....	44
2.	Petitioner Fails to Assert Anticipation with Particularity .....	51
D.	Ground 4: Claims 1-37 Are Not Obvious Over Ross '257 .....	52
1.	Petitioner Fails to Articulate its Obviousness Theory with Particularity .....	52
2.	The Challenged Claims Are Not Obvious Over Ross '257, Alone or in Combination with the Alleged "Background Knowledge" .....	53
VIII.	FOUNDATIONS 3 AND 4 SHOULD BE DENIED UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 325(d).....	60
IX.	CONCLUSION.....	62

..

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
<b>Federal Cases</b>	
<i>Allergan, Inc. v. Apotex Inc.</i> , 754 F.3d 952 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	41
<i>Atofina v. Great Lakes Chemical Corp.</i> , 441 F.3d 991 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Ben Venue Laboratories, Inc.</i> , 246 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	19, 48
<i>CallCopy, Inc. v. Verint Americas, Inc.</i> , No. IPR2013-00486, 2013 WL 8595753 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 5, 2014) .....	2, 24, 26
<i>Complex Innovations, LLC v. Amgen Inc.</i> , No. IPR2016-00085, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 13, 2016) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Complex Innovations, LLC v. Astrazeneca AB</i> , No. IPR2017-00631, 2017 WL 3142064 (P.T.A.B. July 24, 2017) .....	16, 20, 47, 49
<i>Duro-Last, Inc. v. Custom Seal, Inc.</i> , 321 F.3d 1098 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....	26
<i>E.I. du Pont de Nemous &amp; Co. v. Furanix Technologies B.V.</i> , No. IPR2015-01838, 2017 Pat. App. LEXIS 12978 (P.T.A.B. March 3, 2017).....	31
<i>Ex Parte Fukuzumi</i> , Appeal No. 2009-012321, 2010 WL 3410867 (B.P.A.I. Aug. 27, 2010).....	32
<i>Ex Parte Liu</i> , Appeal No. 2013-004753, 2014 WL 6998377 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 10, 2014).....	32, 33, 56
<i>Graco Children's Products Inc. v. Kolcraft Enterprises, Inc.</i> , No. IPR2016-00810, 2016 WL 6650119 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 28, 2016) .....	26
<i>Hopkins Manufacturing Corp. v. Cequent Performance Products, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00609, 2015 WL 4934184 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 14, 2015) .....	28, 29, 41
<i>Hospira, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc.</i> , No. IPR2017-00739, 2017 Pat. App. LEXIS 10044 (P.T.A.B. July 27, 2017) .....	63

...

<i>In re Armodafinil Patent Litigation</i> , 939 F. Supp. 2d 456 (D. Del. 2013) .....	30, 56
<i>In re Depomed</i> , No. 13-cv-4507, 2016 WL 7163647 (D.N.J. Sept. 30, 2016) .....	47, 55
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools International, Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	31, 33, 42
<i>In re Nuvasive, Inc.</i> , 842 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	36
<i>In re Translogic Technology, Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....	12
<i>Insite Vision Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 783 F.3d 853 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	31, 35
<i>Kennametal, Inc. v. Ingersoll Cutting Tool Co.</i> , 780 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	15, 20, 46
<i>King Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v Eon Labs, Inc.</i> , 616 F.3d 1267 (Fed Cir. 2010) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Kingbright Electronic Co. v. Cree Inc.</i> , No. IPR2015-00750, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 10, 2015) .....	29
<i>Lupin Ltd. v. Janssen Sciences Ireland UC</i> , No. IPR2015-01030, Paper 17 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 16, 2015) .....	47
<i>Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH</i> , No. IPR2016-01566, 2017 WL 506739 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 3, 2017) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Neil Ziegman, N.P.Z., Inc. v. Stephens</i> , No. IPR2015-01860, 2016 Pat. App. LEXIS 1127 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 24, 2016) .....	63
<i>Neptune Generics, LLC v. Nektar Therapeutics</i> , No. IPR2016-00049, 2016 WL 2866259 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 27, 2016) .....	31
<i>Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	2, 15
<i>Nextec Applications, Inc. v. Brookwood Cos.</i> , 703 F. Supp. 2d 390 (S.D.N.Y. 2010) .....	26

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.