

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., LG ELECTRONICS, INC., HTC CORP.,
HTC AMERICA, INC., AND SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00387 (Patent 7,653,508 B1)
Case IPR2018-00389 (Patent 8,712,723 B1)
Case IPR2018-00424 (Patent 7,881,902 B1)
Case IPR2018-01028 (Patent 7,881,902 B1)

Record of Oral Hearing
Held on April 2, 2019

BEFORE: SALLY C. MEDLEY, MIRIAM L. QUINN, JOHN F.
HORVATH, and SEAN P. O'HANLON, *Administrative Patent Judges.*

Case IPR2018-00387 (Patent 7,653,508 B1)
Case IPR2018-00389 (Patent 8,712,723 B1)
Case IPR2018-00424 (Patent 7,881,902 B1)
Case IPR2018-01028 (Patent 7,881,902 B1)

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER APPLE INC.:

ANDREW S. EHMKE, ESQUIRE
CALMANN J. CLEMENTS, ESQUIRE
MICHAEL S. PARSONS, ESQUIRE
Haynes and Boone, LLP
2505 N Plano Road, Suite 4000
Richardson, Texas 75082-4101

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER LG ELECTRONICS INC.:

BRADFORD C. SCHULZ, Ph.D.
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,
Garrett & Dunner LLP
Two Freedom Square
11955 Freedom Drive
Reston, Virginia 20190-5676

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC.:

CHETAN BANSAL, ESQ.
Paul Hastings LLP
875 15th Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

Case IPR2018-00387 (Patent 7,653,508 B1)
Case IPR2018-00389 (Patent 8,712,723 B1)
Case IPR2018-00424 (Patent 7,881,902 B1)
Case IPR2018-01028 (Patent 7,881,902 B1)

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

RYAN LOVELESS, ESQUIRE
BRETT MANGRUM, ESQUIRE
JAMES ETHERIDGE, ESQUIRE
JEFFREY HUANG, ESQUIRE
Etheridge Law Group
2600 East Southlake Boulevard, Suite 120
Southlake, Texas 76092

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, April 2, 2019, commencing at 8:58 a.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Texas Regional Office, 207 S Houston Street, Dallas, Texas, 75202.

Case IPR2018-00387 (Patent 7,653,508 B1)

Case IPR2018-00389 (Patent 8,712,723 B1)

Case IPR2018-00424 (Patent 7,881,902 B1)

Case IPR2018-01028 (Patent 7,881,902 B1)

1

P R O C E E D I N G S

2

- - - - -

3

JUDGE QUINN: We're here for the case Apple Inc. versus UNILOC

4

2017 LLC. I'm going to call out the IPR numbers that are part of this

5

hearing: IPR2018-00387, IPR2018-00389, IPR2018-00424,

6

IPR2018-01028.

7

And these IPRs concern three different patents: U.S. Patent No.

8

7,653,508, U.S. Patent No. 8,712,723, and U.S. Patent No. 7,881,902.

9

This is a consolidated hearing. There are various

10

parties that are joined here in addition to Apple Inc. I'm

11

going to now request that each entity states their

12

appearance for the record, and if, during your introduction,

13

you can also identify which IPR you are a party to.

14

Let's start with petitioner, Apple.

15

MR. EHMKE: Thank you, Your Honor. Andy Ehmke on

16

behalf of Apple. With me is my colleague Mike Parsons, as

17

well as Calmann Clements. I believe the other parties are

18

here, as well. We are petitioners in all of the four

19

proceedings.

20

JUDGE QUINN: Okay. Thank you.

21

MR. SCHULZ: Bradford Schulz. Backup counsel for

22

LG. We are joined to the first three petitions for the '723,

23

the '508, and the '902 patents.

24

MR. BANSAL: Your Honor, Chetan Bansal on behalf of

25

Samsung Electronics. We're joined to IPR2018-00424, which is

Case IPR2018-00387 (Patent 7,653,508 B1)
Case IPR2018-00389 (Patent 8,712,723 B1)
Case IPR2018-00424 (Patent 7,881,902 B1)
Case IPR2018-01028 (Patent 7,881,902 B1)

1 the first proceeding in the '902 patent.

2 JUDGE QUINN: Thank you.

3 Now, patent owner, please state your appearance for
4 the record.

5 MR. MANGRUM: Good morning, Your Honor, and Your
6 Honors attending remotely. My name is Brett Mangrum. I'll be representing
7 the patent owner in all four matters and speaking on behalf of those patents.

8 JUDGE QUINN: Thank you.

9 All right. I want to introduce the judges that are present here today.
10 Judge Sally Medley and Sean O'Hanlon are on the left screen. They are
11 presiding from the Madison Building in Alexandria, Virginia. And to the
12 right on the screen is Judge John Horvath, who is obviously appearing
13 remotely.

14 Each side will have 60 minutes of total time to
15 present their arguments. Petitioner will begin first, and
16 after that, patent owner may respond.

17 Each side can request rebuttal time. And when you
18 first come up, you can tell me what that is. I will keep
19 track of it on my phone. And you won't get any warnings as
20 to when you're getting close, but you will hear the bell,
21 and you will know that your time is up.

22 We're not -- as usual, we're not allowing any
23 speaking objections to interrupt your opponent's side, but
24 if you have anything to note of your opponent's argument, you
25 may do so during your argument time.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.