

Filed on behalf of: Nichia Corp.

Paper \_\_\_\_\_

By: Martin M. Zoltick, Lead Counsel  
Robert P. Parker, Back-up Counsel  
Derek F. Dahlgren, Back-up Counsel  
Michael H. Jones, Back-up Counsel  
Mark T. Rawls, Back-up Counsel  
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.  
607 14th Street, N.W., Suite 800  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: 202-783-6040  
Facsimile: 202-783-6031  
Emails: mzoltick@rfem.com  
rparker@rfem.com  
ddahlgren@rfem.com  
mjones@rfem.com  
mrawls@rfem.com

Date filed: December 18, 2018

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

VIZIO, INC.,  
Petitioner,  
v.  
NICHIA CORP.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2018-00386  
Patent 9,490,411

---

**PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE FILED  
WITH PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE  
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Patent Owner Nichia Corp. (“Patent Owner”) hereby files the following objections to evidence filed in support of Petitioner Vizio, Inc.’s (“Petitioner”) Reply to Patent Owner’s Response. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.62, Patent Owner’s objections below apply the Federal Rules of Evidence (“FRE”) and the Office Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756-73 (Aug. 14, 2012).

Patent Owner’s objections and the basis for each objection are below.

<b>Evidence Submitted by Petitioner</b>	<b>Patent Owner's Objections</b>
<p><b>Ex. 1017</b> Declaration of Dr. Stanley R. Shanfield in Support of Petitioner's Reply</p>	<p><b>Fed. R. Evid. 701/702/703 (Inadmissible as unreliable and improper opinion and expert testimony):</b> Declarant's testimony is not reliable, and any opinion and/or expert testimony of Declarant based thereon is not based on sufficient facts or data; has not applied reliable principles and methods; and/or has not reliably applied such principles and methods to the facts of the case. In addition, Declarant is not qualified as an expert, and lacks the knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education to testify as an expert in a manner that is helpful to the Board.</p> <p><b>Fed. R. Evid. 401/402/403 (Inadmissible as irrelevant, unfairly prejudicial, tending to confuse the issues, and a waste of time):</b> The exhibit is irrelevant under Fed. R. Evid. 401 and thus inadmissible under Fed. R. Evid. 402. Declarant is not qualified as an expert. To the extent this exhibit has any marginal relevance, it should be excluded under FRE 403 as unfairly prejudicial, tending to confuse the issues, and/or a waste of time.</p>

<b>Evidence Submitted by Petitioner</b>	<b>Patent Owner's Objections</b>
<p><b>Ex. 1026</b> Webster's Third New International Dictionary, MerriamWebster, Incorporated, 2002</p>	<p><b>Fed. R. Evid. 802 (Inadmissible as improper hearsay):</b> The exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.</p> <p><b>Fed. R. Evid. 401/402/403 (Inadmissible as irrelevant, unfairly prejudicial, tending to confuse the issues, and a waste of time):</b> The exhibit is irrelevant under Fed. R. Evid. 401 and thus inadmissible under Fed. R. Evid. 402. To the extent this exhibit has any marginal relevance, it should be excluded under FRE 403 as unfairly prejudicial, tending to confuse the issues, and/or a waste of time.</p>
<p><b>Ex. 1027</b> Shorter Oxford English Dictionary, Oxford University Press, Sixth Edition, 2007</p>	<p><b>Fed. R. Evid. 802 (Inadmissible as improper hearsay):</b> The exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.</p> <p><b>Fed. R. Evid. 401/402/403 (Inadmissible as irrelevant, unfairly prejudicial, tending to confuse the issues, and a waste of time):</b> The exhibit is irrelevant under Fed. R. Evid. 401 and thus inadmissible under Fed. R. Evid. 402. To the extent this exhibit has any marginal relevance, it should be excluded under FRE 403 as unfairly prejudicial, tending to confuse the issues, and/or a waste of time.</p>

<b>Evidence Submitted by Petitioner</b>	<b>Patent Owner's Objections</b>
<p><b>Ex. 1028</b> <i>Nichia Corp. v. VIZIO, Inc.</i>, No. 2:16-cv-246-JRG, (E.D. Tex.), Plaintiff Nichia Corporation's Submissions Pursuant to Local Patent Rules 3-1 and 3-2, dated October 20, 2016</p>	<p><b>Fed. R. Evid. 401/402/403 (Inadmissible as irrelevant, unfairly prejudicial, tending to confuse the issues, and a waste of time):</b> The exhibit is irrelevant under Fed. R. Evid. 401 and thus inadmissible under Fed. R. Evid. 402. To the extent this exhibit has any marginal relevance, it should be excluded under FRE 403 as unfairly prejudicial, tending to confuse the issues, and/or a waste of time.</p>
<p><b>Ex. 1039</b> IEEE Standard Glossary of Computer Hardware Terminology, IEEE Std 610.10-1994, October 12, 1995</p>	<p><b>Fed. R. Evid. 802 (Inadmissible as improper hearsay):</b> The exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.</p> <p><b>Fed. R. Evid. 401/402/403 (Inadmissible as irrelevant, unfairly prejudicial, tending to confuse the issues, and a waste of time):</b> The exhibit is irrelevant under Fed. R. Evid. 401 and thus inadmissible under Fed. R. Evid. 402. To the extent this exhibit has any marginal relevance, it should be excluded under FRE 403 as unfairly prejudicial, tending to confuse the issues, and/or a waste of time.</p>

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.