

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
15/360,316	11/23/2016	Hirofumi ICHIKAWA	100415-0252	6319		
22428 7590 11/06/2017 EXAMINER						
3000 K STREE SUITE 600		EVERHART, CARIDAD				
WASHINGTON, DC 20007-5109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2895	_		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			11/06/2017	FI FCTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipdocketing@foley.com



Examiner Art Unit Art Unit Status Status Status No		Application No. 15/360,316	Applicant(s) ICHIKAWA ET AL.					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE § MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE § MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE § MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE § MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Fault to test with the set or extended packed this communication. - Fault to test with the set or extended packed of the communication of the communication of the packed set of the communication	·	CARIDAD EVERHART	2895	Status No				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE @ MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A COMMUNICATION Communicati								
Responsive to communication(s) filled on A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1.130(b) was/were filed on A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1.130(b) was/were filed on An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on ; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4)	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1.130(b) was/were filed on	Status							
2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims* 5 Claim(s) 31-58 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 1f any claims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may be eligible to benefit from the Patent Prosecution Highway program at a participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see PPH/feedback@usplo.gov. Application Papers 10 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11 The drawing(s) filed on	·- <u>-</u>							
An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims* 5) Claim(s) 31-58 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 31-38.40-53.57 and 58 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) 31-38.40-53.57 and 58 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) 31-38.40-53.57 and 58 is/are rejected to. 9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. *If any claims have been determined allowable, you may be eligible to benefit from the Patent Prosecution Highway program at a participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see http://www.usato.gov/patents/init_events/aph/index_isp or send an inquiry to PPH/leedback@usoto.gov. Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on 11/23/2016 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Certified copies: a) All b) Some** o) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *							
## the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. A Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims*	<i>'</i> =							
4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims* 5) Claim(s) 31-58 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Disposition of Claims* 5]		•		o the medite is				
Disposition of Claims* 5)	. —	•		o the merits is				
5) ☐ Claim(s) 31-58 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 31-38.40-53.57 and 58 is/are rejected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 39 and 54-56 is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. *If any claims have been determined allowable, you may be eligible to benefit from the Patent Prosecution Highway program at a participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or send an inquiry to PPHfeedback@uspto.gov. Application Papers 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11/23/2016 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Certified copies: a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some** c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. * If any claims have been determined allowable, you may be eligible to benefit from the Patent Prosecution Highway program at a participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index_isp_or send an inquiry to PPHfeedback@uspto.gov. Application Papers 10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) □ The drawing(s) filed on 11/23/2016 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Certified copies: a) □ All b) □ Some** c) □ None of the: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	· <u> </u>							
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 31-38.40-53.57 and 58 is/are rejected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 39 and 54-56 is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. * If any claims have been determined allowable, you may be eligible to benefit from the Patent Prosecution Highway program at a participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or send an inquiry to PPHfeedback@uspto.gov. Application Papers 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11/23/2016 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Certified copies: a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some** c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
T) Claim(s) 31-38.40-53.57 and 58 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) 39 and 54-56 is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) 39 and 54-56 is/are objected to. 1 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. * If any claims have been determined allowable, you may be eligible to benefit from the Patent Prosecution Highway program at a participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or send an inquiry to PPHfeedback@uspto.gov. Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on 11/23/2016 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Asknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Certified copies: a) All b) Some** c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	<u> </u>							
8) Claim(s) 39 and 54-56 is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. * If any claims have been determined allowable, you may be eligible to benefit from the Patent Prosecution Highway program at a participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see http://www.usoto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index_isp_or send an inquiry to PPHfeedback@uspto.gov. Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on 11/23/2016 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Certified copies: a) All b) Some** c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 8) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	·							
*If any claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. *If any claims have been determined allowable, you may be eligible to benefit from the Patent Prosecution Highway program at a participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or send an inquiry to PPHfeedback@uspto.gov. *Application Papers 10)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
* If any claims have been determined allowable, you may be eligible to benefit from the Patent Prosecution Highway program at a participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see http://www.uspto.gov/patents/linit_events/pph/index.jsp or send an inquiry to PPHfeedback@uspto.gov. Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filled on 11/23/2016 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Certified copies: a) All b) Some** c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or send an inquiry to PPHfeedback@uspto.gov. Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on 11/23/2016 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Certified copies: a) All b) Some** c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
Application Papers 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11/23/2016 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Certified copies: a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some** c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)								
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11/23/2016 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Certified copies: a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some** c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or send an inquiry to PPHfeedback@uspto.gov.							
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11/23/2016 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Certified copies: a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some** c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Application Papers							
11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11/23/2016 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Certified copies: a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some** c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)	••	′ .						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Certified copies: a) All b) Some** c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Certified copies: a) All b) Some** c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See	37 CFR 1.121(d).				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Certified copies: a) All b) Some** c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
Certified copies: a)								
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	·							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)	 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	Attachment/c)							
Dan a Na (a) Ma il Data	• •	3) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
		DN-(-)/M-:LD						



Application/Control Number: 15/360,316 Page 2

Art Unit: 2895

The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent provisions.

Claim Objections

Claims 40 and 41 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 40 and 41 seem to be identical. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

In the event the determination of the status of the application as subject to AIA 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 (or as subject to pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103) is incorrect, any correction of the statutory basis for the rejection will not be considered a new ground of rejection if the prior art relied upon, and the rationale supporting the rejection, would be the same under either status.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

In the event the determination of the status of the application as subject to AIA 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 (or as subject to pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103) is incorrect, any correction of the statutory basis for the rejection will not be considered a new ground of



Application/Control Number: 15/360,316 Page 3

Art Unit: 2895

rejection if the prior art relied upon, and the rationale supporting the rejection, would be the same under either status.

The following is a quotation of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a).



Application/Control Number: 15/360,316

Art Unit: 2895

Claim(s) 31-34, 37-38, and 45 is/are rejected under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wang et al (US 6,627,482 B2).

Page 4

Wang et al discloses a package for a light emitting diode (col. 1, lines 7-13), the package including an insulating material such as a glue (col. 1, lines 23-27) which is formed in a mold (col. 1, lines 49-63), which is a disclosure that the material is a mold material, which implies that the material such as a glue is a polymeric or resin material, and metal leads 211, 221 (col. 2, lines 46-29), the light emitting diode is shown mounted in a "cup" or concave portion of the package (Fig. 12 and col. 3, lines 3-21), Fig. 15 shows that the leads are exposed on the outer surfaces of the package on first and second outer surfaces which are opposed to each other, the exposed surfaces of the leads being coplanar with the resin side surfaces, Wang et al shows in Fig. 17 that the leads can be exposed and flush with the insulating material of the walls of the device (col. 3, lines 50-55), and Wang et al also discloses in Fig. 12 that a notch formed between the leads 312, 322 has a portion which is wider than another portion of the notch, the narrow portion is visible when viewed from above, as seen in combining Fig. 7, in which 211, 221 are the leads and 20 is the insulating material (col. 2, lines 46-49) with Fig. 12, which has been discussed above, and the wider portion is seen in Fig. 12, as discussed above. Wang et al also discloses mounting a light emitting diode in the cup (col. 2, lines 49-55 and col. 3, lines 12-20) and sawing or cutting the structure to expose the portion of the resin molded body and the portions of the leads as disclosed by Wang et al and discussed above (col. 2, lines 45-55).



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

