

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

United States Patent No.: 9,537,071	§	Attorney Docket No.:
Inventors: Hirofumi Ichikawa,	§	112868-0001-656
Masaki Hayashi, Shimpei Sasaoka,	§	
Tomohide Miki	§	Customer No.: 28120
Formerly Application No.: 14/928,550	§	
Issue Date: January 3, 2017	§	Petitioner: VIZIO, Inc.
PCT Filing Date: August 27, 2009	§	
Filing Date: October 30, 2015	§	
Former Group Art Unit: 2895	§	
Former Examiner: Caridad Everhart	§	

For: LIGHT EMITTING DEVICE, RESIN PACKAGE, RESIN-MOLDED BODY, AND METHODS FOR MANUFACTURING LIGHT EMITTING DEVICE, RESIN PACKAGE AND RESIN-MOLDED BODY

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Post Office Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 9,537,071**

**NICHIA EXHIBIT 2005**  
*Vizio, Inc. v. Nichia Corporation*  
Case IPR2018-00386

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>I. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. MANDATORY NOTICES (§ 42.8).....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>III. STANDING (§ 42.104(A)) .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>IV. GROUNDS (§§ 42.22 AND 42.104(B)).....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>V. THE '071 PATENT.....</b>	<b>7</b>
A. Overview of '071.....	7
B. '071 Prosecution History.....	11
<b>VI. DETAILED REASONS FOR RELIEF .....</b>	<b>12</b>
A. Claim Construction .....	12
B. Level of Ordinary Skill .....	13
C. Summary of Cited Prior Art.....	13
1. U.S. Patent Publication No. 2008/0012036 (“Loh”) .....	13
2. U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0211991 (“Mori”).....	17
3. U.S. Patent Publication No. 2008/0073662 (“Wang”) .....	19
4. U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0280017 (“Oshio”) .....	20
D. Claims 1, 4, 8-9, 11-12, 15-18, and 25 are unpatentable under §§ 102 and 103 over Loh (Grounds 1 and 2) .....	21
1. Invalidity of Claim 1 Over Loh .....	21
2. Invalidity of Claim 4 Over Loh: “The light emitting device according to claim 1, wherein at least a portion of the light emitting element is exposed from the resin part” .....	34
3. Invalidity of Claim 8 Over Loh: “The light emitting device according to claim 1, wherein the resin part contains a light reflecting material” .....	35
4. Invalidity of Claim 9 Over Loh: “The light emitting device according to claim 8, wherein the light reflecting material is titanium dioxide”.....	35
5. Invalidity of Claim 11 Over Loh: “The light emitting device according to claim 1, wherein the resin part is made using a thermosetting resin” .....	36
6. Invalidity of Claim 12 Over Loh: “The light emitting device according to claim 1, wherein the metal part has a step portion, a concave portion, and/or a convex portion” .....	36

7.	Invalidity of Claim 15 Over Loh .....	37
8.	Invalidity of Claim 16 Over Loh .....	39
9.	Invalidity of Claim 17 Over Loh: “The light emitting device according to claim 16, wherein the resin part is made using a thermosetting resin” .....	42
10.	Invalidity of Claim 18 Over Loh: “The light emitting device according to claim 16, wherein the metal part has a step portion, a concave portion, and/or a convex portion” .....	43
11.	Invalidity of Claim 25 Over Loh: “The light emitting device according to claim 16, wherein the resin part contains a light reflecting material” .....	43
E.	Claims 2 and 19 are obvious under § 103 over Loh and Mori (Ground 3).....	43
1.	Invalidity of Claim 2 Over Loh and Mori: “The light emitting device according to claim 1, wherein the light emitting device further comprises a sealing member that contains two or more kinds of phosphors .....	43
2.	Invalidity of Claim 19 Over Loh and Mori: “The light emitting device according to claim 16, wherein the light emitting device further comprises a sealing member that contains two or more kinds of phosphors” .....	45
3.	Motivation to Combine Loh and Mori.....	45
F.	Claims 5-7 and 21-23 are obvious under § 103 over Loh and Wang (Ground 4), and, alternatively, Loh, Wang, and Oshio (Ground 5)....	47
1.	Invalidity of Claim 5 Over Loh and Wang, and, alternatively, Loh, Wang, and Oshio: “The light emitting device according to claim 1, wherein the metal part includes a base portion and a metal layer disposed on each of an upper surface and a lower surface of the base portion, the metal layers being made of a material that is different from that of the base portion” .....	47
2.	Invalidity of Claim 6 Over Loh and Wang, and, alternatively, Loh, Wang, and Oshio: “The light emitting device according to claim 5, wherein the metal layer is disposed at all surfaces of the metal part except a portion of an outer lateral surface of the metal part” .....	50
3.	Invalidity of Claim 7 Over Loh and Wang, and, alternatively, Loh, Wang and Oshio: “The light emitting device according to claim 5, wherein: the resin part is disposed over a first portion of the metal layer at the upper surface of the metal part, and a	

	second portion of the metal layer at the upper surface of the metal part is exposed from the resin part” .....	53
4.	Invalidity of Claim 21 Over Loh and Wang, and, alternatively, Loh, Wang, and Oshio: “The light emitting device according to claim 16, wherein the metal part includes a base portion and a metal layer disposed on each of an upper surface and a lower surface of the base portion, the metal layers being made of a material that is different from that of the base portion” .....	56
5.	Invalidity of Claim 22 Over Loh and Wang, and, alternatively, Loh, Wang, and Oshio: “The light emitting device according to claim 21, wherein the metal layer is disposed at all surfaces of the metal part except an outer lateral surface of the metal part” .....	56
6.	Invalidity of Claim 23 Over Loh and Wang, and, alternatively, Loh, Wang, and Oshio: “The light emitting device according to claim 21, wherein: the resin part is disposed over a first portion of the metal layer at the upper surface of the metal part, and a second portion of the metal layer on the upper surface of the metal part is exposed from the resin part” .....	57
7.	Motivation to Combine Loh and Wang .....	58
8.	Motivation to Combine Loh, Wang, and Oshio .....	62
G.	No Secondary Considerations of Nonobviousness .....	67
<b>VII. CONCLUSION .....</b>		<b>68</b>
<b>CLAIM LISTING APPENDIX</b>		

**LIST OF EXHIBITS**

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Description</b>
Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 9,537,071
Ex. 1002	U.S. Patent No. 9,537,071 File History
Ex. 1003	Declaration of Dr. Stanley R. Shanfield
Ex. 1004	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2008/0012036 (“Loh”)
Ex. 1005	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0211991 (“Mori”)
Ex. 1006	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2008/0073662 (“Wang”)
Ex. 1007	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0280017 (“Oshio”)
Ex. 1008	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2008/0261339 (“Koung”)
Ex. 1009	Japanese Patent Publication No. JP2006-093697 (“Park ’697”) with Certified English Translation
Ex. 1010	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2007/0126020 (“Lin”)
Ex. 1011	PCT Patent Publication No. WO2007/055486 (“Park ’486”)
Ex. 1012	<i>Nichia Corp. v. VIZIO, Inc.</i> , No. 2:16-cv-01453-JRG, D.I.152, Plaintiff Nichia Corporation’s P.R. 4-5(a) Opening Claim Construction Brief (E.D. Tex. Nov. 22, 2017)
Ex. 1013	<i>Nichia Corp. v. VIZIO, Inc.</i> , No. 2:16-cv-01453-JRG, D.I.186, Defendants’ Responsive Claim Construction Brief (E.D. Tex. Dec. 13, 2017)
Ex. 1014	<i>Nichia Corp. v. VIZIO, Inc.</i> , No. 2:16-cv-01453-JRG, D.I.211, Joint Claim Construction Chart P.R. 4-5(D) (E.D. Tex. Jan. 8, 2018)
Ex. 1015	Declaration of Mary Oros in Support of Petition for <i>Inter Partes Review</i> of U.S. Patent No. 9,537,071

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.