1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	
3	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
4	
5	VIZIO, INC.,
6	Petitioner,
7	$ extsf{V}$.
8	NICHIA CORP.,
9	Patent Owner.
10	
11	Case No. IPR2018-00386, IPR2018-00437
12	
13	TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE CALL BEFORE
14	JUDGE NATHAN A. ENGELS, JUDGE SALLY C. MEDLEY, and
15	JUDGE WILLIAM V. SAINDON
16	Thursday, January 17, 2019
17	3:00 p.m.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	NICHIA EXHIBIT 2020
23	Job No.: 225431 Vizio, Inc. v. Nichia Corp. Case IPR2018-00386
24	Pages: 1 - 22
25	Reported By: Charlotte Lacey, RPR, CSR No. 14224



```
1
                 APPEARANCES
2
             (All appearances telephonic)
3
     ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER VIZIO, INC.:
4
          GABRIELLE E. HIGGINS, ESQUIRE
5
          CHRISTOPHER M. BONNY, ESQUIRE
6
          ROPES & GRAY LLP
7
          1900 University Avenue, 6th Floor
8
          East Palo Alto, California 94303
9
          (650) 617-4000
10
11
     ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER NICHIA CORPORATION:
12
          MICHAEL H. JONES, ESQUIRE
13
          MARK T. RAWLS, ESQUIRE
14
          MARTIN M. ZOLTICK, ESQUIRE
          ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, PC
15
16
          607 14th Street, Northwest, Suite 800
17
          Washington, D.C. 20005
          (202) 7883-6040
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE ENGELS: Good afternoon. This is Judge
3	Engels. And with me on the line are Judges Medley and
4	Judge Saindon. We're here this afternoon to discuss
5	IPR2018-00386 and IPR2018-00437.
6	Who's on the line for the parties?
7	MR. ZOLTICK: Yeah. Good afternoon, Your
8	Honor. It's Marty Zoltick on behalf of the patent owner
9	Nichia.
10	MS. HIGGINS: Hi. Good afternoon, Your Honor.
11	This is Gabrielle Higgins and Christopher Bonny on the
12	line on behalf of petitioner Vizio, Inc.
13	MR. ZOLTICK: And, Your Honor, there should
14	also be a court reporter on the line.
15	THE REPORTER: Yes. This is Charlotte Lacey
16	with Planet Depos.
17	MR. JONES: And, Your Honors, you also have
18	Michael Jones and Mark Rawls also for patent owner.
19	Thank you.
20	JUDGE ENGELS: Thank you. At the end of the
21	telephone conference today, if if the parties could
22	submit a transcript of the hearing as an exhibit, we
23	would appreciate that.
24	MR. ZOLTICK: Yes. That's fine.
25	JUDGE ENGELS: I understand we have a number



of things to discuss today, as -- as mentioned in your e-mails.

Let's begin with patent owner's request. I understand patent owner is requesting leave to file a sur-reply to patent owner's response. I understand from the e-mail that petitioner opposes the request.

The practice guidelines generally allow a sur-reapply as a matter of course. Is there a particular reason that this sur-reply -- this request for a sur-reply is opposed?

MS. HIGGINS: Your Honor, this is Gabrielle
Higgins for petitioner, and we fully acknowledge what's
in the August Trial Practice Guide. When we met and
conferred with patent owner, we asked patent owner if it
would be agreeable to the petitioner having a three-page
sur-reply. And our position is petitioner doesn't
oppose patent owner's request for sur-replies on the
condition that the board also authorizes
sur-sur-replies. And just like patent owner argues that
the sur-reply replaces observations on cross, as -- as
you know, Your Honor, the scheduling orders here, which
have been in place for over six months, already provide
petitioner with a response to observations on cross,
which we believe, in this transitional period, the board
has the discretion to replace with a sur-sur-reply.



2.1

Since the scheduling order already provides petitioner with a paper, taking away a briefing opportunity would be unfair, especially given that petitioner has the burden here, and we believe would effectively be sanctioning the petitioner.

And I would like to point the board to

GN Hearing versus Oticon. The same argument that I just

made was made in GN Hearing, and the board granted

petitioner's request for sur-sur-replies, which was also

in this transitional period. That is IPR2017-01927.

And the transcript from that teleconference where the

sur-sur-replies were granted is Exhibit 1018 at pages 8,

9.

We also wanted to point out that in another proceeding involving the parties, IPR2017-01608, paper 47, at page 3, the panel there granted petitioner's request for a sur-sur-reply.

And so our position is not that we oppose. We do acknowledge that the August Trial Practice Guide does, you know, talk about replacing the sur-reply -- excuse me -- the observations on cross with the sur-reply. But we believe since the scheduling orders also have a response to that observation on cross, that petitioner should get a sur-sur-reply. And -- and we limited that, Your Honor, to three pages in each



2.1

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

