

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE, INC.

Petitioner

v.

UNILOC LUXEMBOURG, S.A.

Patent Owner

---

IPR2018-00361

PATENT 6,216,158

---

**PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION**

**PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.107(a)**

## Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	THE '158 PATENT .....	1
III.	RELATED PROCEEDINGS.....	2
IV.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	3
V.	THE REDUNDANT CHALLENGE IN GROUND 2 IS PROCEDUARLLY AND SUBSTANTIVELY DEFICIENT .....	3
VI.	PETITIONER DOES NOT PROVE A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF UNPATENTABILITY FOR ANY CHALLENGED CLAIM.....	5
A.	Claim Construction.....	6
1.	“palm sized computer” .....	6
2.	“means for accessing a description of a service” .....	7
3.	“means for downloading the program code” .....	8
4.	“means for executing at least a portion of the program code” .....	9
5.	“means for sending control commands to the service in response to the means for executing” .....	10
B.	Ground 1 Should be Denied .....	12
1.	The Petition Fails to Show a POSITA Would Have Combined <i>Jini-QS</i> , And <i>Arnold</i> , and <i>McCandless</i> .....	12
2.	<i>Jini-QS</i> Does Not Disclose “accessing a description of the service from a directory of services, the description of the service including at least a reference to program code for	

	controlling the service” (Claim 1).....	21
3.	<i>Jini-QS</i> Does Not Disclose “accessing a directory of services, a service in the directory of services corresponding to the program, the description of the service including at least a reference to program code for controlling the service” (Claim 8).....	23
4.	<i>Jini-QS</i> Does Not Disclose “downloading the program code to the palm sized computer” (Claim 1).....	23
5.	<i>McCandless</i> Does Not Disclose “wherein the service controls an application that cannot be executed on the palm sized computer” (Claim 1).....	25
6.	<i>McCandless</i> Does Not Disclose “A method of controlling a program on a network device from a palm sized computer, the computer is not capable of executing the program by itself...” (Claim 8).....	26
7.	Ground 1 Should Be Denied Because All Other Challenged Claims Of Ground 1 Depend From Claim 1 or Claim 8.....	27
C.	Ground 2 Should be Denied.....	27
1.	The Petition Fails to Show a POSITA Would Have Combined <i>Riggins</i> And <i>Devarakonda</i> .....	27
2.	<i>Riggins</i> Does Not Disclose “accessing a description of the service from a directory of services, the description of the service including at least a reference to program code for controlling the service” (Claim 1).....	33
3.	<i>Riggins</i> Does Not Disclose “accessing a directory of services, a service in the directory of services corresponding to the program, the description of the service including at least a reference to program code for controlling the service” (Claim	

8).....35

4. No *Prima Facie* Obviousness for “wherein the service controls an application that cannot be executed on the palm sized computer” (Claim 1) .....36

5. No *Prima Facie* Obviousness For “A method of controlling a program on a network device from a palm sized computer, the computer is not capable of executing the program by itself...” (Claim 8) .....37

6. Ground 2 Should Be Denied Because All Other Challenged Claims Of Ground 2 Depend From Claim 1 or Claim 8 .....37

VII. THE SUPREME COURT IS CURRENTLY REVIEWING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF INTER PARTES REVIEW .....38

VIII. CONCLUSION .....38

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	Declaration of William C. Easttom

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.