

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR CO., LTD., AND
SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00333
Patent 7,256,486

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PETITIONERS FAIL TO ACCURATELY IDENTIFY THE SCOPE OF THE CLAIMS BEING CHALLENGED	7
A.	Disputed Claims	7
B.	Petitioners’ Proposed Claim Constructions Are Wrong	8
III.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE NOT UNPATENTABLE	12
A.	Ground 1 Fails	12
1.	Petitioners’ Ground 1 Challenge Against Claim 1 Fails Because Rohm Does Not Disclose or Suggest a Light Emitting Diode Having a Metallized Bottom Major Surface, and Kish Does Not Remedy Rohm’s Shortcomings	12
2.	Rohm Does Not Disclose the Claimed “Electrically Conductive Mounting Pad,” “First Electrically Conductive Connecting Pad,” and “First Electrically Conductive Interconnecting Element” Recited in Claim 1	17
3.	Petitioners’ Ground 1 Challenge Against Claim 2 Fails Because Rohm Does Not Disclose or Suggest a Light Emitting Diode Having a Metallized Top Major Surface, and Kish Does Not Remedy Rohm’s Shortcomings	21
4.	Rohm Does Not Disclose the Claimed “Electrically Conductive Bonding Pad,” “Second Electrically Conductive Connecting Pad,” and “Second Electrically Conductive Interconnecting Element” Recited in Claim 2	25
5.	Petitioners’ Ground 1 Challenge Against Dependent Claim 3 Also Fails for the Reasons Explained Above For Claims 1 and 2	28
B.	Ground 2 Fails	29

1.	Petitioners’ Ground 2 Challenge Against Claim 1 Fails Because Matsushita Does Not Disclose or Suggest a Light Emitting Diode Having a Metallized Bottom Major Surface, and Edmond ’589 Does Not Remedy Matsushita’s Shortcomings	29
2.	Matsushita Does Not Disclose the Claimed “Electrically Conductive Mounting Pad,” “First Electrically Conductive Connecting Pad,” and “First Electrically Conductive Interconnecting Element” Recited in Claim 1	31
3.	Petitioners’ Ground 2 Challenge Against Claim 2 Fails Because Matsushita Does Not Disclose or Suggest a Light Emitting Diode Having a Metallized Top Major Surface, and Edmond ’589 Does Not Remedy Matsushita’s Shortcomings.....	34
4.	Matsushita Does Not Disclose the Claimed “Electrically Conductive Bonding Pad,” “Second Electrically Conductive Connecting Pad,” and “Second Electrically Conductive Interconnecting Element” Recited in Claim 2	37
5.	Petitioners’ Ground 2 Challenge Against Dependent Claim 3 Also Fails for the Reasons Explained Above For Claims 1 and 2	39
IV.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE NOT UNPATENTABLE	40
V.	CONCLUSION.....	41

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. Tyco Healthcare Grp., LP</i> , 616 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	20, 26, 33, 38
<i>Gaus v. Conair Corp.</i> , 363 F.3d 1284 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	20
<i>In re Oelrich</i> , 666 F.2d 578 (C.C.P.A. 1981)	14
<i>In re Rijckaert</i> , 9 F.3d 1531 (Fed. Cir. 1993)	14
<i>Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003 (PTAB Oct. 25, 2012) (Paper 8)	22, 36
<i>MEHL/Biophile Int’l Corp. v. Milgraum</i> , 192 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	14
<i>Oil States Energy Services, LLC v. Greene’s Energy Group, LLC</i> , 138 S. Ct. 1365 (2018).....	40
<i>Personal Web Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 848 F.3d 987 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	16
<i>SAS Institute Inc. v. Iancu</i> , 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018).....	20, 28, 34, 39

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 154(a)(2).....	8
35 U.S.C. § 282(a)	41

Rules

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)-(4).....	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.120	1

Other Authorities

M.P.E.P. § 2112 (IV)14

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.