UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR CO., LTD., SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., CREE, INC., AND EVERLIGHT ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., Petitioners,

v.

DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC., Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2018-00333<sup>1</sup> Patent 7,256,486 B2

### JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317(a)

DOCKF

LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cree, Inc., who filed a Petition in IPR2018-01205, and Everlight Electronics Co., Ltd., who filed a Petition in IPR2018-01225, have been joined as petitioners in this proceeding.

Authorization for this motion was given by the Board via email sent to the parties on April 24, 2019. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, Patent Owner Document Security Systems, Inc. ("Patent Owner") and Petitioner Everlight Electronics Co., Ltd. ("Petitioner Everlight") jointly request termination of the *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 7,256,486 ("the '486 Patent"), Case No. IPR2018-00333, as to the Petitioner Everlight.

#### I. Statement of Facts

The Patent Owner and Petitioner Everlight have settled their disputes, and have agreed to terminate this *inter partes* review with respect to the Petitioner Everlight. A settlement agreement has been made in writing between Patent Owner and Petitioner Everlight, and a true copy of the Settlement Agreement is being filed concurrently herewith electronically with access to "Board Only" as confidential Exhibit 2205. The Settlement Agreement addresses: (i) a civil action brought by Patent Owner against Everlight in the Central District of California (Case No. 2:17-cv-04273), and (ii) any pending petitions for Inter Partes Review. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, a Stipulation and Joint Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice Pursuant to Rule 41(a) was filed by the Patent Owner and Petitioner Everlight with respect to Case No. Case No. 2:17-cv-04273 on April 23, 2019 (Exhibit 2206), and an Order of Dismissal with Prejudice was issued on the same day (Exhibit 2207), which dismissed the civil action with prejudice. No other

**KEI RM** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. litigation or proceeding between the Patent Owner and Petitioner Everlight involving the subject patent is pending or contemplated for the foreseeable future.

Contemporaneously with this Joint Motion to Terminate, the Patent Owner and Petitioner Everlight are filing a Joint Motions to Terminate in IPR2018-00522 and IPR2018-00965 as to Petitioner Everlight.

The Patent Owner and Petitioner Everlight certify that there are no other collateral agreements or understandings made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of this *inter partes* review with respect to the Petitioner Everlight.

The petitioner Seoul Semiconductor Co. Ltd. and Seoul Semiconductor, Inc. have not stated their position, but petitioner Cree, Inc. has indicated that it does not oppose this Motion.

The Patent Owner and Petitioner Everlight desire that the Settlement Agreement be maintained as business confidential information under 35 U.S.C. 317(b), and a separate joint request to that effect is being filed pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) concurrently herewith. In that filing, the Patent Owner and Petitioner Everlight requested that the Settlement Agreement (confidential Exhibit 2205) (i) be treated as business confidential information, (ii) be maintained separate from the publicly available file of the involved patent, and (iii) be made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request served on Patent Owner and Petitioner Everlight, or to persons showing good cause on written request served on Patent Owner and Petitioner Everlight, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

A joint motion to terminate generally "must (1) include a brief explanation as to why termination is appropriate; (2) identify all parties in any related litigation involving the patents at issue; (3) identify any related proceedings currently before the Office, and (4) discuss specifically the current status of each such related litigation or proceeding with respect to each party to the litigation or proceeding." *Heartland Tanning, Inc. v. Sunless, Inc.*, IPR2014-00018, Paper No. 26, at \*2 (P.T.A.B. July 28, 2014). Requirement (1) is addressed in Section II below.

As for requirements (2) and (4), the table below identifies all parties in district court litigations that involve or involved the '486 Patent, and related litigations identified in Patent Owner's mandatory notices, and discusses the current status of these litigations with respect to each party to the litigation. *See Heartland Tanning, Inc.*, Paper No. 26, at \*2.

Case Caption	Patents	Current Status
	Asserted	
Document Security Systems, Inc.	6,949,771	Voluntary dismissal on June 7,
v. Seoul Semiconductor Co. Ltd.	7,256,486	2017
<i>et al.</i> , Case No. 2:17-cv-00308	7,524,087	
(E.D. Tex.)		
Document Security Systems, Inc.	6,949,771	Voluntary dismissal on June 8,
v. Cree, Inc., Case No. 2:17-cv-	7,256,486	2017

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKE.

Δ

Δ

00309 (E.D. Tex.)	7,279,355	
	7,524,087	
	7,919,787	
Document Security Systems, Inc.	6,949,771	Voluntary dismissal on June 8,
v. Everlight Electronics Co. Ltd.	7,256,486	2017
et al., Case No. 2:17-cv-00310	7,524,087	
(E.D. Tex.)	7,919,787	
Document Security Systems, Inc.	6,949,771	Joint Stipulation to Stay Case
v. Seoul Semiconductor Co. Ltd.	7,256,486	filed on July 26, 2018 / Order
et al., Case No. 8:17-cv-00981	7,524,087	on July 27 2018
(C.D. Cal.)		
Document Security Systems, Inc.	6,949,771	Joint Stipulation to Stay Case
v. Cree, Inc., Case No. 2:17-cv-	7,256,486	filed on July 26, 2018 / Order
04263 (C.D. Cal.)	7,524,087	on July 27 2018
	7,919,787	
Document Security Systems,	6,949,771	Order of Dismissal with
Inc. v. Everlight Electronics	7,256,486	Prejudice issued on April 23,
<i>Co. Ltd. et al.</i> , Case No. 2:17-	7,524,087	2019
cv-04273 (C.D. Cal.)	7,919,787	
Document Security Systems, Inc.	6,949,771	dismissed with prejudice by
v. OSRAM GmbH, et al., Case	7,256,486	Court Order on March 14,
No. 2:17-cv-05184 (C.D. Cal.)	7,524,087	2018
	7,652,297	
Document Security Systems, Inc.	6,949,771	Joint Stipulation to Stay Case
v. Lite-On, Inc., et al., Case No.	7,256,486	filed on July 26, 2018 / Order
2:17-cv-06050 (C.D. Cal.)	7,524,087	on July 27 2018
Document Security Systems, Inc.	6,949,771	Motion to Stay Case Granted
v. Nichia Corporation, et al.,	7,256,486	on July 24, 2018
Case No. 2:17-cv-08849 (C.D.	7,524,087	
Cal.)	7,652,297	
	7,919,787	

As for requirements (3) and (4), the status of each inter partes review proceeding for the '486 Patent, and related *inter partes* review proceedings identified in Patent Owner's mandatory notices, is provided below:

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.