

Polymers for Controlled Drug Delivery

Editor
Peter J. Tarcha, Ph.D.
Abbott Laboratories
North Chicago, Illinois



CRC Press
Boca Raton Ann Arbor Boston

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Polymers for controlled drug delivery/editor, Peter J. Tarcha.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references.

Includes index.

ISBN 0-8493-5652-0

1. Controlled release preparations. 2. Polymers. 3. Drugs--
-Vehicles. I. Tarcha, Peter J.

[DNLM: 1. Delayed-Action Preparation--administration & dosage.

2. Drug Administration Routes. 3. Drug Carriers. 4. Polymers--
administration & dosage. QV 785 P7836]

RS201.C64P67 1990

615'.19--dc20

DLC

for Library of Congress

90-2608

CIP

This book represents information obtained from authentic and highly regarded sources. Reprinted material is quoted with permission, and sources are indicated. A wide variety of references are listed. Every reasonable effort has been made to give reliable data and information, but the author and the publisher cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials or for the consequences of their use.

All rights reserved. This book, or any parts thereof, may not be reproduced in any form without written consent from the publisher.

Direct all inquiries to CRC Press, Inc., 2000 Corporate Blvd., N.W., Boca Raton, Florida 33431.

© 1991 by CRC Press, Inc.

International Standard Book Number 0-8493-5652-0

Library of Congress Card Number 90-2608

Printed in the United States

POLYMERS FOR ENTERIC COATING APPLICATIONS

George A. Agyilirah and Gilbert S. Banker

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Definition and History	40
II.	Purpose of Enteric Coating	40
III.	Gastrointestinal Physiology Relative to Enteric Coating Functioning and Design Rationale	41
	A. pH.....	41
	B. Gastric Emptying.....	41
	C. Enzyme Activity	43
IV.	Requirements of an Ideal Enteric Coating	43
V.	Theory of Enteric Polymer Performance	43
VI.	Enteric Coating Materials	45
	A. Shellac	45
	1. Solubility	45
	2. Use of Shellac as an Enteric Coating Material	45
	B. Cellulose Acetate Phthalate (CAP)	46
	1. Solubility of CAP.....	46
	2. Properties of CAP as an Enteric Coating Material	47
	3. Preparation of CAP Coating Solution	48
	C. Polyvinyl Acetate Phthalate (PVAP)	50
	1. Solubility	50
	2. Properties of PVAP as an Enteric Coating Material.....	50
	3. Coating Preparation	51
	D. Hydroxypropyl Methylcellulose Phthalate (HPMCP)	53
	1. Solubility	53
	2. Properties of HPMCP as an Enteric Coating Material.....	54
	3. Coating Preparation	55
	E. Hydroxypropyl Methylcellulose Acetate Succinate [(HPMCAS)AQOAT®]	56
	1. Solubility	57
	F. Methacrylic Acid Copolymers (Eudragit).....	58
	1. Solubility	58
	2. Application	59
VII.	Evaluation of Enteric Coatings.....	61
	A. <i>In Vitro</i> Methods	61
	B. <i>In Vivo</i> Methods.....	62
VIII.	Recent Advances and Future of Enteric Coatings	63
	References.....	64

I. DEFINITION AND HISTORY

An enteric coating is one that resists disintegration or dissolution in gastric media, but disintegrates or dissolves in intestinal fluids.

The notion that some coatings could delay the release of substances until they had emptied from the stomach was first noted in 1867, when it was reported that collodion-protected pills did not dissolve in the stomach.¹ Unna is credited as being the first to use gastric insolubility as a basis for medication when he introduced keratin coated pills in 1884.²⁻⁴ Ceppi is reported to have introduced salol as an enteric coating a few years later.^{4,5}

The realization that certain medicaments needed to be protected against the gastric environment occurred after elucidation of the chemistry and mechanics of the process of digestion by Prout, Beaumont, and Pavlov.^{6,7} In 1889 Bourquelot listed four groups of medicaments that required gastric protection.⁶⁻⁸ The groups included drugs attacked by gastric contents, drugs influencing gastric performance, and drugs that irritate the stomach.

As soon as it became clear that some types of drugs needed gastric protection, efforts were directed at finding substances that would do the job. Shroeter has listed a number of materials that have been tried or used as enteric coatings together with references to their investigations.⁹ Among the older materials used were formalized gelatin, keratin, salol, steric acid, and sandarac. Most of the earlier materials are no longer used because they did not perform satisfactorily as enteric coatings, for one reason or another. Keratin, for example, did not withstand gastric digestion.³ Formalized gelatin proved unreliable because polymerization of the gelatin on storage often resulted in failure of the coatings to release the drug contained in the coated product.^{3,7,10} Salol-coated tablets were also found to go through the intestine without dissolving.³ There were also instances when salol-coated tablets broke in the stomach.³

The search for better performing materials has continued through the years. We now have a variety of materials, including several new materials, available as enteric and delayed-release coatings, which are discussed in later sections of this chapter. The search still continues for new enteric polymers and polymer forms.

II. PURPOSE OF ENTERIC COATINGS

The function of enteric coatings is primarily protective. This may be either to protect the stomach from the effect of the drug, or to protect the drug from the effect of the gastric contents. There are a number of drugs which, if directly exposed to gastric mucosa, will result in gastric irritation, and in some cases, actual corrosion of the gastric wall. Such drugs are enteric coated to protect the individuals taking them from their harmful side effects. Aspirin is an important example of such a drug. Several reports of gastric bleeding following aspirin medication can be found in the literature.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Other drugs that fall in this category are strong electrolytes such as ammonium chloride and potassium chloride.

Enteric coatings also protect drugs from degradation. For example, erythromycin and digoxin are unstable in gastric media. Other reasons for enteric coatings are

1. to better deliver drugs that are absorbed from a region of the intestine, or that act in the intestine and require a high concentration of drug to be released there to be effective (some anthelmintics);
2. to provide a delayed component for repeat action dosage forms; and
3. to prevent interaction of certain drugs with pepsin and peptones that would lead to a hindrance of gastric digestion.

III. GASTROINTESTINAL PHYSIOLOGY RELATIVE TO ENTERIC COATING FUNCTIONING AND DESIGN RATIONALE

Enteric coatings rely on the differences in environment between the stomach and intestine for their performance. Understanding the requirements of enteric coatings demands consideration of gastrointestinal (GI) physiology and function.

The most important GI physiological factors affecting the functioning of enteric coatings are

1. The pH of the stomach and intestinal contents
2. Gastric emptying
3. Enzyme activity of the gastrointestinal tract

Based on these regional differences in environment between the stomach and the intestines, there are two mechanisms by which an enteric coating may be made to be resistant to dissolution or hydration in the stomach, yet release rapidly in the upper intestinal tract. These two mechanisms involve the pH change and the enzyme environment change between the stomach and the intestines.

A. pH

The pH of the stomach varies from about 1.0 to 3.5 depending on the presence or absence of food and reflux of intestinal contents into the stomach.¹⁵⁻¹⁹ The pH of the intestine may range from about 3.8—6.6²⁰ in the small intestine to about 7.5—8.0 in the large intestine.²¹ This range results from progressive dilution of acid chyme from the stomach by bicarbonate ions in the pancreatic secretion, which is delivered by the bile duct to the duodenum as well as from intestinal secretions.^{16,17}

Based on the pH of the stomach and small intestine, enteric coatings must be designed to resist dissolution at pH values below 4 to avoid disintegration in the stomach, but to begin dissolving at pH 5 and above, and be readily soluble at pH 7. A number of earlier enteric formulations failed to release their contents appropriately because their design was based on the mistaken assumption that the pH of the small intestinal contents was alkaline.

B. GASTRIC EMPTYING

Gastric emptying of coated tablets has been reported to be highly variable, and may take anywhere from 30 min or less to 7 h or more depending on the presence and type of food in the stomach, in addition to other factors.^{16,18-20}

Bukey and Brew¹⁸ reported an *average* gastric emptying time of about 6 h. There is general agreement, however, that because of the wide variability in emptying time, an arbitrary gastric emptying time of 1 or 2 h is not a reliable factor on which to base enteric performance. It might be reasonable to assume that any enteric polymer which can resist gastric contents for 6 h is likely to give satisfactory performance in terms of gastric protection in most patients under most circumstances. Although some coatings may be able to resist gastric acid for 1 h, as specified by the compendia, these tablets may not be able to remain intact if held in the stomach for substantially longer periods.

The fact that an enteric tablet has adequate protection against gastric acid does not guarantee that the tablet will be an effective dosage form/drug delivery system, *unless* the enteric coating quickly dissolves/disintegrates on leaving the stomach, when it contacts a new environment.

A general description of gastric motility and activity may be helpful in understanding the manner in which materials are handled by the stomach. The presence of food in the stomach, especially of fatty foods, reduces both the rate of emptying from the stomach and

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.