Paper No. 58

Entered: July 3, 2019

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

KVK-TECH, INC., Petitioner,

v.

SHIRE PLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00290 Patent 8,846,100 B2

Before RAMA G. ELLURU, SHERIDAN K. SNEDDEN, and DEVON ZASTROW NEWMAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ELLURU, Administrative Patent Judge.

FINAL WRITTEN DECISION 35 U.S.C. § 318(a); 37 C.F.R. § 42.73



### I. INTRODUCTION

This is a Final Written Decision in an *inter partes* review challenging the patentability of claims 1–31 of U.S. Patent No. 8,846,100 B2 (Ex. 1001, "the '100 patent"). We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6. The evidentiary standard is a preponderance of the evidence. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 316(e); 37 C.F.R. § 42.1(d). We issue this Final Written Decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73.

Having reviewed the arguments of the parties and the supporting evidence, we determine that Petitioner has not demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that the challenged claims are unpatentable.

### A. Procedural History

KVK-Tech, Inc. ("Petitioner") filed a corrected Petition requesting an *inter partes* review of claims 1–31 of the '100 patent. Paper 9 ("Pet."). Shire PLC ("Patent Owner") filed a Preliminary Response to the Petition. Paper 11<sup>1</sup> ("Prelim. Resp."). We instituted an *inter partes* review of all challenged claims on all grounds, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314. Paper 13 ("Inst. Dec."), 34.

Patent Owner filed a Response (Paper 21, "PO Resp."). Petitioner filed a Reply (Paper 33, "Reply"). With our permission (*see* Paper 38, authorizing additional briefing), Patent Owner filed a Sur-Reply (Paper 42, "PO Sur-Reply"), and Petitioner filed a Response to Patent Owner's Sur-Reply. (Paper 49, "Pet. Resp. to PO Sur-Reply").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Patent Owner also first filed a confidential version of Patent Owner's Preliminary Response. Paper 10. We will refer to the public version of the Preliminary Response.



Petitioner and Patent Owner both filed Motions to Exclude Evidence. Papers 46 and 43, respectively. Petitioner and Patent Owner both filed respective Oppositions to Motions to Exclude Evidence. Papers 48 and 47, respectively. Petitioner and Patent Owner both filed Replies in Support of their Motions to Exclude Evidence. Papers 51 and 50, respectively.

An oral hearing was held on April 4, 2019, and a transcript of the hearing is included in the record. Paper 55 ("Tr.").

## B. Related Proceedings

Petitioner states that another petitioner previously petitioned for *inter* partes review of claims 1–31 of the '100 patent (Case IPR2017-00665), but withdrew its Petition prior to the deadline for Patent Owner's Preliminary Response. Pet. 3; Paper 3, 1. Petitioner also identifies a concurrently filed petition for *inter partes* review of related U.S. Patent No. 9,173,857 (the "857 patent"), of which the '100 patent is a parent, based on similar grounds (Case IPR2018-00293). Pet. 3. Patent Owner asserts that the '100 patent is being asserted in *Shire Development LLC et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.*, 1:17-cv-01696-RGA (D. Del). Paper 3, 1.

### C. The '100 Patent

The '100 patent relates to a "long-acting amphetamine pharmaceutical composition, which includes an immediate release component, a delayed pulsed<sup>[2]</sup> release component and a sustained release component, to meet the therapeutic needs for [Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder ('ADHD')]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The prior art explains that "[f]or some types of drugs, it is preferred to release the drug in 'pulses,' wherein a single dosage form provides for an initial dose of drug followed by a release-free interval, after which a second dose of drug is released, followed by one or more additional release-free intervals and drug release 'pulses.'" Ex. 1018, 1:30–35.



patients with longer-day demands." Ex. 1001, 3:53–57. "The present invention fills the need for once-daily longer-day treatment of ADHD by providing an amphetamine pharmaceutical composition that is bioequivalent to an equal dosage of ADDERALL XR® followed by an IR amphetamine composition 8 hours later." *Id.* at 3:57–62.

Adderall is the immediate release ("IR") formulation of a mixture of four amphetamine salts indicated for the treatment of ADHD in children. *Id.* at 3:6–12. According to the '100 patent, one disadvantage of IR-only treatments for children is that two separate doses are required to be administered, one in the morning and one approximately 4-6 hours later. *Id.* at 3:13–20. "ADDERALL XR® ["Adderall XR"] met the need for a dosage form, which can be administered once, in place of the two oral doses which are needed using the conventional drug delivery formulations of the prior art." *Id.* at 3:20–23. Adderall XR is designed to provide a duration effect up to 12 hours. *Id.* at 3:31–34.

The '100 patent indicates that some patients, however, require additional treatment with a short-acting stimulant to extend the daily therapeutic effect. *Id.* at 3:34–37. "For patients taking long-acting stimulant formulations who require duration of clinical benefit beyond 10-12 hours, clinicians have augmented the morning long-acting formulation [of Adderall XR], typically at 8-10 hours post-dose, with a dose of the same immediate-release (IR) medication." *Id.* at 3:37–41. Thus, the '100 patent recognizes that "a need exists for a once-daily, long-acting oral composition that provides effective treatment of ADHD, without supplementation, for patients with longer day demands (e.g., 14-16 awake hours)." *Id.* at 3:46–49.



### D. Illustrative Claim

Petitioner challenges claims 1–31 of the '100 patent, of which claim 1 is the only independent claim. Claim 1 is representative and is reproduced below:

- 1. A pharmaceutical composition comprising:
- (a) an immediate release bead comprising at least one amphetamine salt;
- (b) a first delayed release bead comprising at least one amphetamine salt; and
- (c) a second delayed release bead comprising at least one amphetamine salt; wherein the first delayed release bead provides pulsed release of the at least one amphetamine salt and the second delayed release bead provides sustained release of the at least one amphetamine salt;

wherein the second delayed release bead comprises at least one amphetamine salt layered onto or incorporated into a core; a delayed release coating layered onto the amphetamine core;

and a sustained release coating layered onto the delayed release coating, wherein the sustained release coating is pH-independent;

and wherein the first delayed release bead and the second delayed release bead comprise an enteric coating.

Dependent claims 2–31 recite additional, or more restricted, limitations with respect to those in claim 1, including specifying the coating characteristics or core components (claims 2–4, 13–18, and 31), pharmaceutical parameters (claims 5–12), amphetamine salts and amounts in the composition (claims 19, 20, and 22–30). *Id.* at 32:39–



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

