Paper No._____

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC LUXEMBOURG, S.A., Patent Owner

> Case IPR2018-00289 Patent No. 8,872,646

PETITIONER'S MOTION TO STRIKE THE DECLARATION OF WILLIAM EASTTOM

PETITIONER'S UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST

September 19, 2018

APPL-1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,872,646.
APPL-1002	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 8,872,646.
APPL-1003	U.S. Patent No. 7,409,291 to Pasolini et al.
APPL-1004	Using the LIS3L02AQ Accelerometer, Ron Goldman, Sun
	Microsystems Inc. Dated February 23, 2007.
APPL-1005	U.S. Patent No. 7,204,123 to McMahan et al.
APPL-1006	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0161377 to Rakkola et al.
APPL-1007	Using Gravity to Estimate Accelerometer Orientation," David
	Mizell, Proceedings of the Seventh IEEE International
	Symposium on Wearable Computers (ISWC '03) 2003.
APPL-1008	Declaration of Chris Butler, Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68.
APPL-1009	Dictionary of Scientific and Technical Terms," McGraw-Hill.
APPL-1010	Declaration of Joe Paradiso, Ph.D, Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68.
APPL-1011	Curriculum Vitae of Joe Paradiso.
APPL-1012	Declaration of Ingrid Hsieh-Yee, Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68.
APPL-1013	Reserved.
APPL-1014	U.S. Patent No. 7,028,220 to Park <i>et al</i> .
APPL-1015	Email Correspondence between Petitioner's Counsel and the Board.

DOCKET

Pursuant to the Board's authorization made through an email on September 10, 2018 (APPL-1015), Petitioner files this unopposed motion to strike Exhibit 2001, the Easttom Declaration, in its entirety from the record of this proceeding.

I. <u>STATEMENT OF FACTS</u>

On March 12, 2018, Uniloc submitted the Declaration of William C. Easttom II (Exhibit 2001) in connection with its Patent Owner Preliminary Response (Paper 6). On August 13, 2018, Uniloc filed its Patent Owner Response (Paper 11). The Patent Owner Response does not cite Exhibit 2001.

On August 24, 2018, Petitioner requested that Patent Owner make Mr. Easttom available for deposition. Patent Owner, however, chose not make Mr. Easttom available for cross-examination. The parties conferred on September 5, 2018 to further discuss the deposition of Mr. Easttom. In light of the discussions held during that call, and subsequent email exchanges, it was agreed that Petitioner would file an Unopposed Motion to Strike. On September 7, 2018, Petitioner emailed the Board to request permission to file this motion. *See* APPL-1015. On September 10, 2018, the Board granted Petitioner's request by email. *See id*.

II. <u>DISCUSSION</u>

The rules of discovery explicitly allow Petitioner to cross-examine Mr. Easttom. *See* 37 C.F.R. 42.51(b)(1)(ii); 37 C.F.R. 42.53(b)(2). And, the Board has made clear: "[a]s a guiding principle of *routine discovery*, as defined by our Rules, if a party proffers a witness's testimony, that party *must* make that witness available for cross-examination by the other party." *HTC Corp. v. NFC Technology, LLC,* IPR2014-01198, Paper 41, at 3 (PTAB Nov. 6, 2015) (emphasis added on "must"). The Board may exercise its authority to strike a declaration when the declarant is not made available for cross-examination. *See id* at 4. Further, "little to no weight is given to testimony of declarants who are not subject to cross-examination, even if the testimony is not excluded as hearsay." *The Mangrove Partners Master Fund, LTD, et al., v. Virnetx Inc.*, IPR2015-01047, Paper 52 at 6-7 (PTAB Apr. 15, 2016); *see also Kolmes v. World Fibers Corp. 107 F.3d 1534, 1542* (Fed. Cir. 1997) (holding that evidence was properly disregarded since no opportunity to cross-examine declarant).

Accordingly, because (i) Mr. Easttom has not been made available for crossexamination, (ii) Patent Owner's Response does not cite to Exhibit 2001, the Easttom declaration, (iii) Mr. Easttom's testimony would be given little to no weight, and (iv) Patent Owner does not oppose this Motion to Strike, Petitioner respectfully requests that this motion be granted and that Exhibit 2001 expunged from the record.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Dated: September 19, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/Andrew S. Ehmke/ Andrew S. Ehmke Registration No. 50,271 Lead Counsel for Petitioner

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.