

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

**APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,**

v.

**UNILOC USA, INC. and
UNILOC LUXEMBOURG, S.A., Patent Owner**

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

OF

U.S. PATENT NO. 7,092,671

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES	1
	A. Real Party-in-Interest.....	1
	B. Related Matters.....	1
	C. Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information.....	1
III.	GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	2
IV.	THE '671 PATENT.....	2
	A. State of the Art before the '671 Patent.....	2
	1. Computer Autodialing Systems.....	2
	2. Contact Management and Address Book Programs.....	3
	B. Overview of the '671 Patent.....	3
	1. Alleged Problem	3
	2. Summary of Alleged Invention of the '671 Patent.....	4
	C. Prosecution History	5
V.	RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF	6
VI.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES.....	7
	A. Challenged Claims.....	7
	B. Statutory Ground for Challenges.....	7
	C. Claim Construction.....	7
	1. “ <i>wireless port</i> ” (all claims).....	8
	D. The Challenges Presented in This Petition Are Neither Cumulative Nor Redundant.....	9

E.	Page Citations and Quotations	10
VII.	Identification of How the Claims Are Unpatentable.....	10
A.	Challenge #1: Claims 1-6 and 9-14 are invalid under 35 U.S.C § 103 over Yun in view of Kikinis	10
1.	Yun.....	10
2.	Kikinis.....	13
3.	Reasons to Combine Yun and Kikinis.....	14
4.	Detailed Analysis.....	17
B.	Challenge #2: Claims 7 and 15 are invalid under 35 U.S.C § 103 over Yun in view of Kikinis in further view of Inoue.....	44
1.	Inoue	44
2.	Reasons to Combine Yun/Kikinis and Inoue	46
3.	Detailed Analysis.....	47
C.	Challenge #3: Claims 1-7 and 9-15 are invalid under 35 U.S.C § 103 over Harris in view of Kikinis	50
1.	Harris	50
2.	Kikinis.....	51
3.	Reasons to Combine Harris and Kikinis.....	51
4.	Detailed Analysis.....	54
VIII.	Conclusion.....	78

I. INTRODUCTION

The purported invention of U.S. Patent No. 7,092,671 (“the ’671 Patent,” APPL-1001) is “an automated telephone dialing system” which enables a computer to automatically dial a telephone number stored in its memory by interacting with a telephone through wireless communications. However, as shown in this petition, such systems were well known to persons of ordinary skill in the art long before the priority date of the ’671 Patent, and the evidence herein demonstrates that claims 1-7 and 9-15 are unpatentable under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. § 103.

II. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

The real party-in-interest is Apple Inc. (“Petitioner”).

B. Related Matters

As of the filing date of this petition, the ’671 Patent has been asserted in *Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Apple Inc.*, 2:17-cv-00457 (E.D. Tex. 2017) and *Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc.*, 2-17-cv-00562 (E.D. Tex. 2017).

C. Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information

Lead Counsel
Andrew S. Ehmke
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
2323 Victory Ave. Suite 700
Dallas, TX 75219

Phone: (214) 651-5116
Fax: (214) 200-0853
andy.ehmke.ipr@haynesboone.com
USPTO Reg. No. 50,271

Back-up Counsel
Philip W. Woo
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
2323 Victory Ave. Suite 700

Phone: (650) 687-8818
Fax: (214) 200-0853
philip.woo.ipr@haynesboone.com
USPTO Reg. No. 39,880

Dallas, TX 75219

Please address all correspondence to lead and back-up counsel. Petitioner consents to electronic service via email.

III. GROUNDS FOR STANDING

Petitioner certifies that the '671 Patent is eligible for *inter partes* review and that Petitioner is not barred or estopped from requesting *inter partes* review challenging the patent claims on the grounds identified in this petition.

IV. THE '671 PATENT

The '671 Patent generally describes a system and method that enables a handheld device to “automatically dial a telephone number stored in its memory by interacting with a telephone.” APPL-1001, 2:37-40; 4:11-23. As will be demonstrated in this petition, such systems and methods were known long before the filing of the '671 Patent.

A. State of the Art before the '671 Patent

1. Computer Autodialing Systems

Using computers to automate dialing on telephones was well known before the '671 Patent. Declaration of Nenad Medvidović, Ph.D. Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68 (APPL-1003), ¶ 37. For example, U.S. Patent No. 6,084,949 to Yun (“Yun”) (APPL-1005), filed more than three years before the priority date of the '671 Patent, described “[a]n automatic dialing method of a telephone system.” APPL-

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.