

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LEEDARSON LIGHTING CO., LTD.,
LEEDARSON AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioners,
v.

LIGHTING SCIENCE GROUP CORP.
Patent Owner

Case No. Unassigned
Patent No. 8,672,518

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,672,518
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1-.80, 42.100-.123**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. MANDATORY NOTICES AND CERTIFICATION	1
II. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF FOR EACH CLAIM CHALLENGED	3
III. THE '518 PATENT.....	3
A. The Technology.....	3
B. The '518 Patent	4
C. Prosecution History of the '518 Patent	8
IV. THE PRIOR ART.....	12
A. Soderman.....	12
B. Zhang.....	14
C. Wegner	15
D. Silescent S100 LP2.....	16
E. Barnett	17
F. Van Elmpt.....	18
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	19
VI. SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION.....	20
VII. GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1, 3, 6-8, 11, 12, AND 14 ARE RENDERED OBVIOUS BY SODERMAN IN LIGHT OF WEGNER.....	20
A. Claim 1	20
i. “a heat spreader; a heat sink disposed in thermal communication with the heat spreader”.....	20
ii. “a light source comprising a plurality of light emitting diodes (LEDs) disposed in thermal communication with the heat spreader”	23
iii. “a power supply electrically connected to the light source; an electrical supply line having a first end connected to the power supply, and a second end connected to a plug-in connector”	23

iv.	“an optic disposed in optical communication with the LEDs”	24
v.	“pre-wired jumper comprising a pair of insulated electrical wires having a first plug-in connector electrically connected at one end and an Edison base electrically connected at the other opposing end . . . configured to electrically engage with the plug-in connector of the electrical supply line”	25
B.	Claim 3	27
C.	Claim 6	29
D.	Claim 7	30
E.	Claim 8	32
F.	Claim 11	32
G.	Claim 12	32
H.	Claim 14	33
VIII.	GROUND 2: CLAIMS 4, 5, AND 13 ARE RENDERED OBVIOUS BY SODERMAN IN LIGHT OF WEGNER AND SILESCENT	34
A.	Claim 4	34
B.	Claim 5	35
C.	Claim 13	36
IX.	GROUND 3: CLAIM 10 IS RENDERED OBVIOUS BY SODERMAN IN LIGHT OF WEGNER, AND BARNETT AND/OR VAN ELMPT	37
X.	GROUND 4: CLAIMS 1, 3, 5-8, 11, 12, AND 14 ARE RENDERED OBVIOUS BY ZHANG IN LIGHT OF WEGNER	42
A.	Claim 1	42
i.	“a heat spreader; a heat sink disposed in thermal communication with the heat spreader”	42
ii.	“a light source comprising a plurality of light emitting diodes (LEDs) disposed in thermal communication with the heat spreader”	45

iii.	“a power supply electrically connected to the light source; an electrical supply line having a first end connected to the power supply, and a second end connected to a plug-in connector”	45
iv.	“an optic disposed in optical communication with the LEDs”	46
v.	“pre-wired jumper comprising a pair of insulated electrical wires having a first plug-in connector electrically connected at one end and an Edison base electrically connected at the other opposing end . . . configured to electrically engage with the plug-in connector of the electrical supply line”	47
B.	Claim 3	49
C.	Claim 5	50
D.	Claim 6	52
E.	Claim 7	52
F.	Claim 8	54
G.	Claim 11	54
H.	Claim 12	55
I.	Claim 14	56
XI.	GROUND 5: CLAIMS 4 AND 13 ARE RENDERED OBVIOUS BY ZHANG IN VIEW OF WEGNER AND SILESCENT	57
A.	Claim 4	57
B.	Claim 13	58
XII.	GROUND 6: CLAIM 10 IS RENDERED OBVIOUS BY ZHANG IN LIGHT OF WEGNER, AND BARNETT AND/OR VAN ELMPT	59
XIII.	THE NVIDIA FACTORS	64
XIV.	CONCLUSION.....	67

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Augustine Med., Inc. v. Gaymar Indus., Inc.</i> , 181 F.3d 1291 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	43
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....	19
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	37, 59
<i>MBO Labs., Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Co.</i> , 474 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	29
<i>Polaris Wireless, Inc. v. TruePosition, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00323, Paper 9 (PTAB Nov. 15, 2013).....	8
<i>SAP America, Inc. v. Pi-Net Int’l., Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00414, Paper 11 (PTAB Aug. 8, 2014).....	8
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	19
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319.....	3
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1-42.80 and 42.100-42.123	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	19

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.