Filed: September 26, 2018

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORP., and CAVIUM, INC. Petitioners,

v.

ALACRITECH, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00234¹ U.S. Patent No. 8,805,948

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO DOCUMENTS SERVED WITH PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

¹ Cavium, Inc., who filed a Petition in Case IPR2018-00403, has been joined as a

petitioner in this proceeding.

DOCKE

Δ

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b), Petitioner Intel Corporation, hereby makes

the following objections to the admissibility of documents submitted with Patent

Owner's Response.

Α

Evidence	Objections
Exhibit 2026	 FRE 701/702/703: Intel objects to Ex. 2026 as being improper expert testimony because paragraphs 110-119, 121-127, 130-145, and 147-158 are not based on sufficient facts or data, are irrelevant, are not based on a reliable foundation, and constitute conclusory opinions without sufficient support. It includes include opinions that are not admissible under FRE 701, 702, or 703 or <i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharms., Inc.</i>, 509 U.S. 579 (1993). For example, paragraphs 147-158 are not based on sufficient facts or data because they provide no evidence of nexus to the invention.
Exhibit 2031	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because the Patent Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged long-felt, yet unresolved need in the art.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.
	FRE 801, 802 : This exhibit is an out of court statement offered for its truth, and because it does not fall within any exception to the rule against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.
	FRE 901: This exhibit is an unauthenticated document and is not self-authenticating under FRE 902.

Exhibit 2032	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because the Patent Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged long-felt, yet unresolved need in the art.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.
	FRE 801, 802 : This exhibit is an out of court statement offered for its truth, and because it does not fall within any exception to the rule against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.
	FRE 901: This exhibit is an unauthenticated document and is not self-authenticating under FRE 902.
Exhibit 2033	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because the Patent Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged long-felt, yet unresolved need in the art.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.
	FRE 801, 802 : This exhibit is an out of court statement offered for its truth, and because it does not fall within any exception to the rule against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.
	FRE 901: This exhibit is an unauthenticated document and is not self-authenticating under FRE 902.
Exhibit 2034	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because the Patent Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged long-felt, yet unresolved need in the art.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.
	FRE 801, 802 : This exhibit is an out of court statement offered for its truth, and because it does not fall within any exception to the rule against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.

	FRE 901: This exhibit is an unauthenticated document and is not self-authenticating under FRE 902.
Exhibit 2035	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because the Patent Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged commercial success.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.
	FRE 801, 802 : This exhibit is an out of court statement offered for its truth, and because it does not fall within any exception to the rule against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.
	FRE 901: This exhibit is an unauthenticated document and is not self-authenticating under FRE 902.
Exhibit 2036	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because the Patent Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged commercial success.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.
	FRE 801, 802 : This exhibit is an out of court statement offered for its truth, and because it does not fall within any exception to the rule against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.
	FRE 901: This exhibit is an unauthenticated document and is not self-authenticating under FRE 902.
Exhibit 2037	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because the Patent Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged commercial success.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.

	FRE 801, 802 : This exhibit is an out of court statement offered for its truth, and because it does not fall within any exception to the rule against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.
	FRE 901: This exhibit is an unauthenticated document and is not self-authenticating under FRE 902.
Exhibit 2038	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because the Patent Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the licenses in Exhibit 2038.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.
	FRE 801, 802 : This exhibit is an out of court statement offered for its truth, and because it does not fall within any exception to the rule against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay.
	FRE 901: This exhibit is an unauthenticated document and is not self-authenticating under FRE 902.
Exhibit 2039	FRE 401/402: This evidence is not relevant because the Patent Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged praise in the industry.
Exhibit 2039	Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the
Exhibit 2039	Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged praise in the industry. FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and
Exhibit 2039	 Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged praise in the industry. FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time. FRE 801, 802: This exhibit is an out of court statement offered for its truth, and because it does not fall within any exception to
Exhibit 2039 Exhibit 2040	 Owner has not shown any nexus between the invention and the alleged praise in the industry. FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time. FRE 801, 802: This exhibit is an out of court statement offered for its truth, and because it does not fall within any exception to the rule against hearsay, it is inadmissible hearsay. FRE 901: This exhibit is an unauthenticated document and is not

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.