

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

INTEL CORPORATION, and CAVIUM, INC.,

*Petitioners,*

v.

ALACRITECH INC.,

*Patent Owner*

---

Case IPR2018-00234<sup>1</sup>  
U.S. Patent 8,805,948

---

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE  
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.120**

---

<sup>1</sup> Cavium filed a Petition in Case IPR2018-00403 and has been joined as a petitioner in this proceeding.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY .....	1
III. OVERVIEW OF THE '948 PATENT .....	7
A. The '948 Patent Specification .....	7
B. The '948 Patent Claims .....	11
IV. PROSECUTION HISTORY OF THE '948 PATENT .....	11
V. OVERVIEW OF THE ASSERTED PRIOR ART.....	12
A. Thia, <i>A Reduced Operation Protocol Engine (ROPE) for a Multiple-layer Bypass Architecture</i> (“Thia”).....	12
B. Tanenbaum, <i>Computer Networks</i> , 3rd ed. (1996) (“Tanenbaum”).....	18
C. Stevens, <i>TCP/IP Illustrated Volume 2: The Implementation</i> (“Stevens”) (Ex. 1063).....	21
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	22
VII. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	23
VIII. THE CITED REFERENCES DO NOT RENDER THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OBVIOUS .....	23
A. The Combination Does Not Show or Suggest “checking, by the network interface, whether the packets have certain exception conditions, including checking whether the packets are IP fragmented” (claim 1) / “the network interface adapted to parse the headers of received packets . . . to check whether the packets have certain exception conditions, including whether the packets are IP fragmented” (claim 17) .....	24
B. The Combination Does Not Show or Suggest Packet Processing for the “Checking” Limitations. ....	31
C. The Combination Does Not Show or Suggest “if the first packet has any of the exception conditions, then protocol processing the first TCP header by the protocol processing stack; [and] if the second packet has any of	

the exception conditions, then protocol processing the second TCP header by the protocol processing stack” (claim 1) / “the network interface having logic that directs any of the received packets that have the exception conditions to the protocol stack for processing” (claim 17) ..... 38

D. The Combination Does Not Show or Suggest “if the packets do not have any of the exception conditions, then bypassing host protocol processing of the TCP headers and storing the first payload data and the second payload data together in a buffer of the host computer, such that the payload data is stored in the buffer in order and without any TCP header stored between the first payload data and the second payload data” (claim 1) / “the network interface having logic that . . . directs the received packets that do not have any of the exception conditions to have their headers removed and their payload data stored together in a buffer of the host computer, such that the payload data is stored in the buffer in order and without any TCP header stored between the payload data that came from different packets of the received packets” (claim 17) ..... 39

E. There is No Motivation To Combine Thia with Tanenbaum or Stevens ..... 42

1. There is No Motivation To Combine Thia with Tanenbaum..... 43

2. There is No Motivation To Combine Thia with Tanenbaum or Stevens..... 49

IX. THE STRONG EVIDENCE OF SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS WEIGHS AGAINST OBVIOUSNESS ..... 50

1. The Claimed Invention Addresses a Long-felt, Yet Unresolved Need in the Art for Accelerated Network Communications ..... 50

2. The Claimed Inventions Were Commercially Successful ..... 52

3. The Claimed Invention Received Praise in the Industry ..... 53

4.	Many Others Tried and Failed to Develop the Claimed Technology.....	54
5.	Experts Were Skeptical of the Claimed Invention and Taught Away From It.....	55
X.	THE BOARD SHOULD DENY THE PETITION BECAUSE IT IS TIME BARRED 35 U.S.C. § 315(B) AND RECENT PRECEDENT .....	57
A.	Procedural Background.....	60
B.	Burden of Proof.....	65
C.	Dell, CenturyLink, and Wistron Benefit From the IPR and Are Real Parties in Interest.....	65
D.	The Established Relationships Among Intel, Dell, CenturyLink, and Wistron Justify the Finding of Real Parties-in-Interest .....	67
E.	Finding Dell, CenturyLink, and Wistron Are Real Parties-in-Interest Is Consistent with Legislative Intent.....	70
F.	Patent Owner Is Not Able to Amend Claims Due to Petitioner’s Late Filing.....	72
G.	Intel’s and Cavium’s Independent Interest Is Not a Defense.....	72
XI.	CONCLUSION .....	74

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Applications in Internet Time, LLC v. RPX Corp.</i> , 897 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	59, 62, 64, 67, 70, 72, 73
<i>Beckman Instruments v. LKB Produkter AB</i> , 892 F.2d 1547 (Fed. Cir. 1989).....	13
<i>In re CSB-System International, Inc.</i> , 832 F.3d 1335.....	71
<i>Wi-Fi One, LLC v. Broadcom Corp.</i> , 878 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	57, 59
<i>Worlds Inc. v. Bungie, Inc.</i> , Case. Nos. 2017-1481, -- F.3d -- (Fed. Cir. Sept. 7, 2018).....	59
<b>Statutory Authorities</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(2).....	59
35 U.S.C. § 315(b).....	57, 59, 63, 64, 71-73
Fed. R. Civ. P. 24.....	60, 65, 66
<b>Rules and Regulations</b>	
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22, 42.23, and 42.120.....	73
37 CFR §§ 42.8(b)(1), 42.104(a).....	59
<b>Other Authorities</b>	
H.R. Rep. No. 112–98, at 48 (2011).....	69
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,759 (Aug. 14, 2012).....	59, 70

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.