

Attorney Docket No.: 3C 2910.WHD.US.P

2682

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

I hereby of bearing F of deposit	irst Class	this tra Postag	nsmittal of the below de- e and addressed to the (scribed document is be Commissioner for Pate	ing deposited with the United States Ints P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, V	ates Postal Service in an envelope /A 22313-1450, on the below date						
Date of Deposit:	12/15	/03	Name of Person Making the Deposit:	Julie Williams	Signature of the Person (Making the Deposit:	Philippolleams						
In re Ap	plicatio	n of:	E. Michael Lunsfo	rd, Steve Parker,	David Kammer and Davi	d Moore						
Serial N	No.: 09	/727,	727	Examiner: Mi	lord, Marceau							
Filed: 11/30/00				Art Unit: 2682	Art Unit: 2682							
STORE	RECEIVED											
P.O. Bo)		4		DEC 2 2 2003						
Alexan	aria, VA	1 223	313-1450	AMENDMEN	IT TRANSMITTAL	Technology Center 2600						
1.												
Tr	Transmitted herewith is a response to an office action for the above identified patent application. (
Extension of Term												
3.	The pr	proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.136 apply.										
(a)	[]	Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)-(d) for the total number of months checked below:)										
			Extension [] one month [] two month [] three month [] four month	s ths	Fee \$110.00 \$420.00 \$950.00 \$1,480.00							
					Fee \$							
If an ad	ditional	exter	nsion of time is rec	uired, please cor	sider this a petition there	efor.						
(b)	[X]	beir		for the possibility	\$420.00 \$950.00 \$1,480.00 Fee \$ d, please consider this a petition therefor. extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the							

Attorney Docket No.: 3C -2910.WHD.US.P

Fee Calculation

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

(for other than a small entity)										
Fee Items	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number of Claims Previously Paid For	Present Extra Claims	Fee Rate	Total					
Total Claims	16	- 20 =	0	x \$18.00	\$0.00					
Independent Claims	2	- 3 =	0	x \$86.00	\$0.00					
Multiple Dependent Claim Fee (one or more, first added by this amendment) \$290.00										
Total Fees										

PAYMENT OF FEES

DEC 2 2 2003

5. The full fee due in connection with this communication is provided as follows:

Technology Center 2600

- [X] The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No.: 23-0085.
 A <u>duplicate copy</u> of this authorization is enclosed.
- [] A check in the amount of §
- Charge any fees required or credit any overpayments associated with this filing to Deposit Account No.: 23-0085.

Please direct all correspondence concerning the above-identified application to the following address:

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP

Two North Market Street, Third Floor San Jose, California 95113 (408) 938-9060

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 12/15/03

Ronald M. Pomerenke Reg. No. 43,009 3COM-2910.WHD.US.P

<u>Patent</u>

#6 smc 1/s/oq

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Lunsford et al.

Serial No. 09/727,727

Filing Date: November 30, 2000

For: A Method and System for
Wirelessly Autodialing a
Telephone Number from a
Record Stored on a Personal
Information Device

Examiner: Milord, Marceau

Art Unit: 2682

RECEIVED

DEC 2 2 2003

Technology Center 2600

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed October 9, 2003, the following responses to the above captioned patent application are respectfully submitted. Reconsideration of the above captioned patent application is respectfully requested.

Serial No. 09/727 727

Art IInit 2689



REMARKS

The claims remaining in the present application are Claims 19-34.

35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 19-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Bell U.S. Patent No. 6,600,902 B1 (hereinafter, Bell) in view of Charlier, U.S. Patent No. 6,577,877 B1 (hereinafter, Charlier). The rejection is respectfully traversed for the following reasons.

Independent Claim 19 recites:

An automated telephone dialing system, comprising:

a telephone having a wireless port for short range wireless data transfer; and

a personal information device having a wireless port for communication with the wireless port of the telephone, the personal information device configured to control the telephone via a wireless communication such that the telephone dials a telephone number stored on the personal information device (emphasis added).

Claim 19 recites a system that comprises at least two devices: a telephone and a personal information device. Claim 19 recites that the personal information device is able to cause the telephone to dial a telephone number that is stored on the personal information device. Applicants respectfully assert that the neither Bell nor Charlier teach or suggest the limitations of Claim 19, alone or in combination.

Bell teaches a wireless system that allows information to be transferred from one device to another. However, Applicants have claimed more than transference of information between one device and another. Applicants

Serial No. 09/797 797

Art IInit 2682



have claimed that a first device is configured to cause a second device to dial a telephone number stored on the first device. Bell fails to teach or suggest the limitation Applicants have underscored above in Claim 19.

Figure 1 of Bell may illustrate a system comprising multiple wireless stations that can communicate with one another. However, Figure 1 of Bell fails to teach or suggest that a first device is configured to cause a second device to dial a telephone number stored on the first device, as claimed by Applicants.

Figure 2 of Bell illustrates a functional block diagram of a single one of the wireless devices (col. 4, lines 42-43). The single wireless device may have various transceivers 20, 21, 22 and a phone book 25A in RAM 25. However, Applicants do not understand Figure 2 or the associated text (col. 4, line 42 – col. 5 line 9) to teach or suggest a first device being configured to control a second device such that it causes the second device to dial a telephone number stored on the first device.

In contrast, Applicants understand Bell to teach that an application may be transferred from one device to another. Figure 2 of Bell depicts an application 27 in ROM 26. At col. 5, line 5 Bell teaches that the <u>application</u> 27 is distributed over the wireless stations 3-6. Bell further states that the application is a computer program implementing a multiple link data object conveying a method according to the invention (col. 5, lines 7-9).

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

