

DOCKET NO.: 2211726-00152US1

Filed on behalf of Unified Patents Inc.

By: David L. Cavanaugh, Reg. No. 36,476
Michael Van Handel, Reg. No. 68,292
Ellyar Y. Barazesh, Reg. No. 74,096
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20006
Tel: (202) 663-6000
Email: David.Cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com

Roshan Mansinghani, Reg. No. 62,429
Jonathan Stroud, Reg. No. 72,518
Unified Patents Inc.
1875 Connecticut Ave. NW, Floor 10
Washington, D.C., 20009
Tel: (214) 945-0200
Email: jonathan@unifiedpatents.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS INC.
Petitioner

v.

UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A. & UNILOC USA

IPR2018-00199
Patent 7,092,671

DECLARATION OF HERBERT COHEN

U.S. PATENT NO. 7,092,671 – CLAIMS 1-16

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND	4
A.	Wireless Communication	4
B.	Device Control	5
C.	Handheld Device Applications	6
III.	OVERVIEW OF THE '671 PATENT	6
A.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	9
B.	Understanding of the Law	10
IV.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	13
V.	INVALIDITY OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS	13
A.	Ground I: Claims 1–6 and 9–14 are obvious in view of Yun, Langlois, and Dykes.....	13
1.	Overview of Yun	13
2.	Claim 1 is obvious in view of Yun, Langlois, and Dykes	16
3.	Claim 2 is obvious in view of Yun, Langlois, and Dykes	31
4.	Claim 3 is obvious in view of Yun, Langlois, and Dykes	32
5.	Claim 4 is obvious in view of Yun, Langlois, and Dykes	33
6.	Claims 5 and 6 are obvious in view of Yun, Langlois, and Dykes	35
7.	Claim 9 is obvious in view of Yun, Langlois, and Dykes	39
8.	Claim 10 is obvious in view of Yun, Langlois, and Dykes	44
9.	Claim 11 is obvious in view of Yun, Langlois, and Dykes	45
10.	Claim 12 is obvious in view of Yun, Langlois, and Dykes	46
11.	Claims 13 and 14 are obvious in view of Yun, Langlois, and Dykes	47
B.	Ground II: Claims 7, 8, 15, and 16 are obvious in view of Yun, Langlois, Dykes, and Husemann	49
1.	Claims 7, 8, 15, and 16 are obvious in view of Yun, Langlois, Dykes, and Husemann.....	49

C. Ground III: Claims 1–7 and 9–15 are obvious over Harris, Langlois, and Dykes.....	52
1. Overview of Harris	52
2. Claim 1 is obvious in view of Harris, Langlois, and Dykes.....	55
3. Claim 2 is obvious in view of Harris, Langlois, and Dykes.....	70
4. Claim 3 is obvious in view of Harris, Langlois, and Dykes.....	71
5. Claim 4 is obvious in view of Harris, Langlois, and Dykes.....	72
6. Claims 5 and 6 are obvious in view of Harris, Langlois, and Dykes	73
7. Claim 7 is obvious in view of Harris, Langlois, and Dykes.....	76
8. Claim 9 is obvious in view of Harris, Langlois, and Dykes.....	78
9. Claim 10 is obvious in view of Harris, Langlois, and Dykes.....	81
10. Claim 11 is obvious in view of Harris, Langlois, and Dykes.....	82
11. Claim 12 is obvious in view of Harris, Langlois, and Dykes.....	83
12. Claims 13 and 14 are obvious in view of Harris, Langlois, and Dykes	84
13. Claim 15 is obvious in view of Harris, Langlois, and Dykes.....	85
D. Ground IV: Claims 8 and 16 are obvious in view of Harris, Langlois, Dykes, and Husemann	85
1. Claims 8 and 16 are obvious in view of Harris, Langlois, Dykes, and Husemann.....	86
VI. AVAILABILITY FOR CROSS–EXAMINATION.....	88
VII. RIGHT TO SUPPLEMENT	88
VIII. JURAT	88

I, Herbert Cohen, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Herbert Cohen. I received a B.S. and a M.S. from Purdue University in Electrical Engineering in 1984 and 1986, respectively. A copy of my curriculum vitae, which includes a more detailed summary of my background, experience, patents, and publications, is attached as Appendix A.

2. I have been retained by Unified Patents Inc. (“Unified”) as an independent expert consultant in the field of computer networks and telephone systems. I am being compensated for the time I spend on this matter, but my compensation is not dependent on and in no way affects the substance of my statements in this declaration.

3. I am currently a professional patent analyst and consultant employed by TechPats, a patent consulting firm. At TechPats, I analyze patent portfolios to determine the quality of patents and perform patent infringement and/or validity investigations on many patents. Such investigations often involve testing, performing reverse engineering, reviewing specifications, standards, manuals, and other prior art. Prior to this, I worked in the field of telecommunications for more than 25 years, with companies such as AT&T Bell Laboratories, Lucent Technologies, Agere Systems, and LSI Corporation.

4. I have led DSP, software, and system test teams in the development of numerous commercially successful embedded communication products in the areas of telephony, wireless, POS, FAX, and VoIP.

5. Specifically, from 1985-2013, I developed software and managed development and testing efforts to deliver wired and wireless communication sub-systems to major equipment providers, including HP, Toshiba, USR, and Chinese POS vendors.

6. I have a deep understanding of wireline protocols and standards, embedded systems and firmware, wireless communications protocols and standards, point-of-sale (POS) functions, consumer transaction software and devices, signal processing, algorithms, and DSP programming. My primary focus today is in telecom, wireless, multimedia, and networking technologies.

7. My work has been published in *EE Times*, and I am a recipient of the AT&T Bell Laboratories “President’s Quality Award.” Additionally, in 2004, I was awarded the Agere Systems “Outstanding Innovation Award” for “Top Patent of the Year.” I am an inventor, and I have been awarded seven patents in the field of data and facsimile communications: U.S. Patent Nos. 8,717,873 (“Modem Adaptation Control for Facsimile over Internet Protocol”), 8,365,046 (“Method and Apparatus for Non-Uniform Redundancy Packet Error Correction”), 5,831,561 (“System and Method for Dynamically Optimizing a Symbol Table and Modem

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.