

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FLATWING PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

ANACOR PHAMACEUTICALS, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2018-00171
Patent No. 9,572,823

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	PATENT OWNER’S RESPONSE.....	8
II.	BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION	8
	A. Boron-Containing Pharmaceutical Drugs	8
	B. Onychomycosis and Nail Penetration	14
	1. The Challenge of Transungual Drug Delivery	17
	2. Molecular Weight and Nail Permeability	23
III.	RESPONSE TO ASSERTED GROUNDS OF UNPATENTABILITY	26
	A. The Cited Art Teaches Away from 5% Tavaborole	28
	1. Samour’s Examples Teach Away from 5%	28
	2. The Broad Ranges of Austin, Brehove, and Freeman Would Not Have Led a POSA to 5%	35
	B. A POSA Would Not Have Arrived at the Claimed Invention Through Simple Substitution or Routine Experimentation	37
	C. A POSA in 2005 Would Have Used More Than 5% Tavaborole in a Topical Formulation to Treat Onychomycosis	40
	1. The Challenge of Achieving Transungual Penetration and Fick’s Law of Diffusion Would Have Led a POSA to Maximize the Concentration of Tavaborole	41
	2. A POSA Would Have Used More Than 5% Tavaborole to Compensate for Predicted High Keratin-Binding Affinity Based on Tavaborole’s Chemical Structure.....	42
IV.	CONCLUSION.....	46

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Anacor Pharm. Inc. v. Iancu, 889 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....6

DePuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc., 567 F.3d 1314
(Fed. Cir. 2009).....34, 36

In re Gurley, 27 F.3d 551 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....34, 36

Millennium Pharm., Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc. 862 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir.
2017)passim

Panduit Corp. v. Dennison Mfg. Co., 810 F.2d 1561 (Fed. Cir. 1987)35

Coalition for Affordable Drugs X LLC v. Anacor Pharm., Inc.,
IPR2015-01776, Paper No. 70 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 23, 2017).....5

Coalition for Affordable Drugs X LLC v. Anacor Pharm., Inc.,
IPR2015-01780, Paper No. 70 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 23, 2017).....5

Coalition for Affordable Drugs X LLC v. Anacor Pharm., Inc.,
IPR2015-01785, Paper No. 70 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 23, 2017).....5

At the 2005 priority date of U.S. Patent No. 9,572,823 (“the ’823 patent,” Ex. 1001), scientists attempting to develop topical treatments for onychomycosis, a fungal infection of the human nail, faced a daunting problem—the nail presented a barrier that was very difficult for drugs to cross. If a drug could not penetrate through the nail, referred to as “transungual delivery” of the drug, it could not treat the infection in the nail or its source in the nail bed and related tissue.

Both before and after the priority date, the scientific literature bemoaned problems with transungual delivery:

- “For the topical therapy to be successful, the drug is required to penetrate across the nail plate and distribute in the nail stratum at therapeutically effective amounts (>MIC). Unfortunately, there are at least two factors that could limit the accumulation and activity of drugs in the nail on topical application. First the physicochemical properties of the drug need to be favorable for absorption through [the] nail matrix. The nail matrix is reported to be relatively more permeable to polar compounds than nonpolar compounds. Second, binding of the drug to keratin reduces the availability of the free drug. Antifungal drugs are reported to possess high-binding affinity to keratin . . . This, most likely, is one of the reasons for prolonged durations of treatment of nail disorders. Moreover, the bound form of the drug does not contrib-

ute to the concentration gradient due to the lack of thermodynamic activity. This decreases the amount of drug penetrating into the deeper nail layers.”¹

- “[C]haracteristics of the nail make it a formidable barrier to drug permeation and the challenge to improve topical delivery of drugs into and through the nail remains formidable as well.”²
- “Currently, topical formulations are available as nail lacquers, creams, ointments, gels, solutions and lotions. However, the efficiency of these formulations is limited due to their inability to deliver a therapeutically effective amount of drug into and across the impermeable nail plate. Therefore, this therapy is limited for the treatment of superficial and minor subungual onychomycosis.”³

¹ Murthy et al., *Iontophoretic Drug Delivery across Human Nail*, J. Pharm. Sci., vol. 96, pp. 305–11, at 305–06 (2007) (Ex. 2008).

² Nair et al., *Alteration of the diffusional barrier property of the nail leads to greater terbinafine drug loading and permeation*, Int’l J. Pharm., vol. 375, pp. 22–27, at 22 (2009) (“Nair et al. 2009a,” Ex. 2004).

³ Nair et al., *A study on the effect of inorganic salts in transungual drug delivery of terbinafine*, J. Pharm. Pharmacol., vol. 61, pp. 431–37, at 431 (2009) (“Nair et al.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.