UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FLATWING PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC and MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., Petitioners,

V.

ANACOR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., Patent Owner.

Case Nos. IPR2018-00168, -00169, -00170, and -00171¹

U.S. Patent Nos. 9,549,938, 9,566,289, 9,566,290, and 9,572,823

DECLARATION OF NARASIMHA MURTHY, PH.D IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

¹ Case Nos. IPR2018-01358, -01359, -01360, and -01361 have been joined with these proceedings.



- 1. I, S. Narsimha Murthy, Ph.D., hereby declare that the following is true and correct. I previously provided a Declaration filed as Ex. 1005 in support of Paper #1, Petition for Inter Partes Review ("Petition"), and my testimony from that first Declaration remains the same. I am competent to make this Declaration based upon my personal knowledge and technical expertise, which I addressed in my first declaration.
- 2. A person of ordinary skill in the art ("POSA") would not have been surprised to learn that 5% by weight of tavaborole is an appropriate concentration in a topically applied composition for the treatment of onychomycosis. An active ingredient concentration of 5% by weight is well within the range of values that a person of ordinary skill in the art would have considered to be typical in view of the prior art.
- 3. *Austin* discloses ranges of tavaborole encompassing 5% as an effective biocide (Ex. 1007, *Austin* 9:5–9), as noted in my initial testimony, and as Dr. Lane agrees. ² The ranges *Austin* discloses specifically include a 5% solution as



² See previous declaration testimony in IPR2018-00168 (Ex. 1005, ¶ 191; Ex. 2014, ¶ 58, 68 ("within a certain weight percent range encompassing the 5% w/w")), in IPR2018-00169 (Ex. 1005, ¶ 278; Ex. 2014, ¶ 61, 71), in IPR2018-

the endpoint of a preferred range of an effective anti-fungal. (Ex. 1007, *Austin* 9:5–9.)

- 4. The fact that *Austin* discloses a "preferably" broader range that encompasses 5%, and "especially" an intermediate range that specifically and explicitly recites 5% as an endpoint, and "more especially" a narrower range would not have discouraged a POSA from trying a 5% solution or in any manner taught away from a 5% solution.
- 5. Instead, the overlapping ranges in *Austin* that encompass and even expressly recite a 5% solution as an effective biocide would have encouraged a POSA to include that percentage solution in routine dose ranging studies. In light of *Austin* in combination with other references as explained in my initial testimony and the *Petition*, it would have been obvious to a POSA at the time of the alleged invention to try a 5% solution in routine dose ranging studies.
- 6. Dr. Lane testifies that *Austin* "is not directed to topical (or even pharmaceutical) compositions," but *Austin* includes disclosures (including those set forth in $\P 7-9$ *infra*) that, in combination with the other references cited, would suggest a topical pharmaceutical formulation to a POSA.

00170 (Ex. 1005, \P 143; Ex. 2014, \P 62, 72), and in IPR2018-00171 (Ex. 1005, \P 138; Ex. 2014, \P 56, 66).



7. Austin discloses that while tavaborole "may be used in undiluted form" it is "preferably formulated in a composition included a carrier" which "is generally selected so that the biocide composition is compatible with the medium to be selected." (Ex. 1007, Austin at 8:11–12, 24–25.) A POSA would understand from reading Austin, in combination with other references cited including Brehove, that a topical pharmaceutical formulation is an example such a "carrier" applied to the selected "medium" of the nail.

8. *Austin* further discloses:

If the medium to be protected is an aqueous medium, the carrier is preferably water or a water-miscible organic solvent or mixture thereof. Examples of suitable water-miscible organic solvents are acetic acid, N,N-dimethylformamide, dimethylsulphoxide, N-methyl-2-pyrrolidine, alcohols such as ethanol or glycols such as ethylene glycol, propylene glycol and dipropylene glycol and lower C₁₋₄-alkyl carbitols such as methyl carbitol.

(Ex. 1007, *Austin* at 8:32–38.) Dr. Lane agrees that the nail is such an "aqueous environment" testifying that the "nail plate has been described as a hydrophilic gel membrane, or a hydrogel" and that "water content of the nail is an important factor in ensuring appropriate integrity and function of this tissue." Dr. Reider agrees,



³ See declaration testimony in IPR2018-00168 (Ex. 2014, \P 24 ("The water content of the nail is an important factor in ensuring appropriate integrity and

POSA at the time of the alleged invention would have read these passages from *Austin*, in combination with the other references cites, as suggesting application to the nail with a reasonable expectation of success in the ranges disclosed, or at a minimum as providing a reasonable expectation of success in including the 5% solution disclosed in a routine dose ranging study.

9. I am informed that the Board has previously determined that "Austin is reasonably pertinent to the particular problem the inventors sought to solve" and "logically would have commended itself to the problem facing the inventors" (Ex. 1014 at 13, 14); that a POSA "would have had a reason to combine Austin and Brehove to reach the claimed invention with a reasonable expectation of success". (Ex. 1014 at 18, 21, 23; *see also*, Ex. 1014 at 28 (same finding for *Freeman*)) and "would have had a reasonable expectation that administering

function of this tissue."), 48 ("the aqueous environment of the nail")), in IPR2018-00169 (Ex. 2014, \P 27, 51), in IPR2018-00170 (Ex. 2014, \P 28, 52), and in IPR2018-00171 (Ex. 2014, \P 22, 46).

⁴ *See* declaration testimony in IPR2018-00168 (Ex. 2013, ¶ 41), in IPR2018-00169 (Ex. 2013, ¶ 44), in IPR2018-00170 (Ex. 2013, ¶ 45), and in IPR2018-00171 (Ex. 2013, ¶ 39).



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

