In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board

FLATWING PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC, Petitioner,

V.

ANACOR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 9,566,289 to Baker *et al*. Ser. No. 15/046,322, filed February 17, 2016 Issue Date: February 14, 2017

Title: BORON-CONTAINING SMALL MOLECULES

Inter Partes Review No. 2018-00169

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,566,289 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.100 et. seq.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTSi						
TABLE	E OF AUTHORITIES	iv				
EXHIB	<u>BIT LIST</u>	vi				
MAND	PATORY NOTICES	X				
1.	Real Parties-In-Interest, § 42.8(b)(1)	X				
2.	2. Related Matters, § 42.8(b)(2).					
3.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel, § 42.8(b)(3)					
4.	Service Information, § 42.8(b)(4)xi					
	(i) Electronic Mailing Address	xii				
	(ii) Postal Mailing Address	xii				
	(iii) Hand-Delivery Address	xii				
	(iv) Telephone number	xii				
	(v) Facsimile Number	xii				
INTRO	DDUCTION	1				
<u>GROU</u>	NDS FOR STANDING	1				
BACK	<u>GROUND</u>	2				
I.	Scope And Content Of The Prior Art	2				
	A. Boron-Containing Compounds In General	2				
	B. Prior Art Patents And Printed Publications	4				



			1.	Austin	5
			2.	Brehove	7
			3.	Freeman	12
			4.	Samour	16
	II.	Lev	el of	Ordinary Skill in the Art	18
	III.	The	e '289	Patent Prosecution History.	19
<u>IDI</u>	ENTI	FIC	ATI(ON OF THE CHALLENGE	24
	I.	The	e Clai	ms Challenged	24
	II.	Spe	ecific	Grounds And Art.	26
	III.	Cla	im Co	onstruction	27
	IV.	How the claims are unpatentable			
		<i>A</i> .	-	lanation Of Ground 1 For Unpatentability: Claims 1 & 2 of '289 Patent are Obvious Over Austin in View of Brehove	31
			1.	All Elements of Claims 1 & 2 are Obvious Over <i>Austin</i> in View of <i>Brehove</i>	31
			2.	A POSITA Would Have Had Reason to Combine <i>Austin</i> and <i>Brehove</i>	33
			3.	A POSITA Would Have Had a Reasonable Expectation of Success in Combining <i>Austin</i> and <i>Brehove</i>	36
		В.	11 o	lanation Of Ground 2 For Unpatentability: Claims 4–7 & 10- of the '289 Patent are Obvious Over Austin in View of Brehove Samour	?
			1.	All Elements of Claims 4–7 & 10–11 are Obvious Over <i>Austin</i> in View of <i>Brehove</i> and <i>Samour</i>	41
			2	A DOSITA Would Have Had Peason to Combine Austin	



	Brehove, and Samour and Would Have had a Reasonable Expectation of Success in Combining the Same44
С.	Explanation Of Ground 3 For Unpatentability: Claims 3, 8–9 & 12–15 of the '289 Patent are Obvious Over Austin in View of Brehove, Samour, and the Excipients Handbook
	1. All Elements of Claims 3, 8–9 & 12–15 of the '289 Patent are Obvious Over <i>Austin</i> in View of <i>Brehove</i> , <i>Samour</i> , and the <i>Excipients Handbook</i>
	2. A POSITA Would Have Had Reason to Combine <i>Austin</i> , <i>Brehove</i> , <i>Samour</i> , and the <i>Excipients Handbook</i> and Would Have had a Reasonable Expectation of Success in Combining the Same
D.	Explanation Of Ground 4 For Unpatentability: Claims 1 & 2 of the '289 Patent are Obvious Over Austin in View of Freeman51
	1. All Elements of Claims 1 & 2 are Obvious Over <i>Austin</i> in View of <i>Freeman</i>
	2. A POSITA Would Have Had Reason to Combine <i>Austin</i> and <i>Freeman</i>
	3. A POSITA Would Have Had a Reasonable Expectation of Success in Combining <i>Austin</i> and <i>Freeman</i>
E.	Explanation Of Ground 5 For Unpatentability: Claims 4–7 & 10–11 of the '289 Patent are Obvious Over Austin in View of Freeman and Samour
F.	Explanation Of Ground 6 For Unpatentability: Claims 3, 8–9 & 12–15 of the '289 Patent are Obvious Over Austin in View of Freeman, Samour, and the Excipients Handbook
G.	No Secondary Considerations Overcome This Strong Showing of Obviousness
CONCLUSI	<u>ON</u> 62



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	29, 61
Hoffmann-La Roche Inc. v. Apotex Inc., 748 F.3d 1326 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	30
In re Baxter Travenol Labs., 952 F.2d 388 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	62
<i>In re Bigio</i> , 381 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	30
<i>In re Clay</i> , 966 F.2d 656 (Fed. Cir. 1992)	30
<i>In re Gershon</i> , 372 F.2d 535 (CCPA 1967)	62
In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	30, 31
In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091 (Fed. Cir. 1986)	32
<i>In re Piasecki,</i> 745 F.2d 1468 (Fed. Cir. 1984)	61
Innovation Toys, LLC v. MGA Entm't, Inc., 637 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	30
Kao Corp. v. Unilever United States, Inc., 441 F.3d 963 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	61
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	passim
Newell Cos., Inc. v. Kenney Mfg. Co., 864 F.2d 757 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	61



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

