

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

FLATWING PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC and  
MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,  
Petitioners,

v.

ANACOR PHAMACEUTICALS, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case No. IPR2018-00168<sup>1</sup>  
U.S. Patent No. 9,549,938

---

**PATENT OWNER'S OPPOSITION TO  
PETITIONER'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE**

---

<sup>1</sup> Case No. IPR2018-01358 has been joined with this proceeding

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARGUMENT .....	2
I. The Challenged Exhibits Are Not “Uncompelled Direct Testimony” .....	2
II. FlatWing’s Hearsay Objections Are Without Merit.....	4
III. FlatWing’s “Improper Impeachment” Objections Are Baseless.....	6
IV. Anacor’s Exhibits Have Been Properly Authenticated .....	6
CONCLUSION .....	9

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<b>Cases:</b>	<b>Page(s):</b>
<i>Apotex Inc. v. Novartis AG</i> , IPR2017-00854, Paper 109, 2018 WL 3414289, (P.T.A.B. July 11, 2018).....	6
<i>Argentum Pharm. LLC v. Research Corp. Techs., Inc.</i> , IPR2016-00204, Paper 85, 2017 WL 1096590 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 22, 2017) .....	5
<i>AVX Corp. v. Greatbatch, Ltd.</i> , IPR2014-00697, Paper 57 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 21, 2015) .....	3
<i>CaptionCall, LLC v. Ultratec, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00540, Paper 80, 2015 WL 9599187 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 1, 2015).....	2, 3
<i>Coalition for Affordable Drugs X LLC v. Anacor Pharm., Inc.</i> , IPR2015-01776.....	8
<i>Kaz USA, Inc. v. Exergen Corp.</i> , IPR2016-01437, Paper 43, 2017 WL 6551238 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 22, 2017) .....	6
<i>LG Chem. Ltd. v. Celgard, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00692, Paper 76, 2015 WL 5896170 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 5, 2015).....	5
<i>MindGeek, s.a.r.l. v. Skky Inc.</i> , IPR2014-01236, Paper No. 45 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 29, 2016) .....	3
<i>Primera Tech., Inc. v. Automatic Mfg. Sys., Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00196, Paper 50 (P.T.A.B. July 17, 2014).....	3
<i>SDI Techs., Inc. v. Bose Corp.</i> , IPR2013-00465, Paper 40, 2014 WL 5841551 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 7, 2014).....	7, 8, 9
<i>SK Innovation Co. v. Celgard, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00679, Paper 58, 2015 WL 5722450 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 25, 2015) .....	5
<b>Rules:</b>	<b>Page(s):</b>
Fed. R. Evid. 702 .....	2, 3

Fed. R. Evid. 703 .....2, 5

Fed. R. Evid. 801 .....2

Fed. R. Evid. 802 .....2

Fed. R. Evid. 901 .....2

**Regulations:**

**Page(s):**

37 C.F.R. § 42.53 .....2, 3

37 C.F.R. § 42.65 .....3

The exhibits Anacor has filed this proceeding are the type of scientific articles and publications that the Board regularly admits into evidence. Nothing in these documents comes close to suggesting that they are anything other than what they purport to be: the publicly available and peer-reviewed writings of practitioners in the fields of transungual drug delivery and boron chemistry. Anacor's experts, Dr. Lane and Dr. Reider, have cited to these documents as evidence supporting their opinions, including what the person of ordinary skill in the art ("POSA") would have known at the time the invention was made. There is nothing untoward about the manner in which Anacor and its experts have relied on the documentary evidence in this case.

In response to Anacor's documentary evidence, FlatWing has raised a host of form boilerplate objections that are without basis in law or fact. FlatWing tellingly cites no authority to support its twisted application of the Federal Rules of Evidence ("FRE") and the rules of evidentiary procedure before the Board. This is not surprising because the case law is to the contrary. FlatWing further fails to engage meaningfully with the record, including the self-authenticating indicia present throughout Anacor's exhibits, as well the portions of the record that expressly lay foundation and establish the authenticity of Anacor's cited evidence. FlatWing's motion to exclude (Paper 27, "Mot.") should be denied.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.