

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NEVRO CORP.
Petitioner

v.

BOSTON SCIENTIFIC NEUROMODULATION CORP.
Patent Owner

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,891,085**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Statement of Unpatentability Grounds for Claims 1-19 of the '085 Patent....	4
III.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	6
IV.	Claim Construction.....	7
V.	Summary of the Unpatentability Argument for Independent Claim 1.....	9
A.	Overview of the '085 patent.....	10
B.	The prosecution history	15
C.	Independent claim 1 is unpatentable over Stolz, Ormsby, Black, and the knowledge of a POSA.	16
1.	The stimulation lead structure described by the '085 patent claims was well-known.....	16
2.	The claimed method of manufacturing the lead in the '085 patent would have been obvious by January 2005.	19
VI.	Ground 1: The combination of Stolz, Ormsby, Black and the knowledge of a POSA renders obvious claims 1-3, 6-12, and 14-17 of the '085 patent.....	24
A.	Independent claim 1	24
1.	“A method of manufacturing a stimulation lead having a proximal end and a distal end, comprising:”	24
2.	“providing a plurality of conductive contacts located at an end of a lead body of the stimulation lead;”	24
3.	“disposing a plurality of conductor wires in a plurality of conductor lumens formed in the lead body;”	26
4.	“connecting at least one of the plurality of conductor wires to each of the conductive contacts;”	27
5.	“placing spacers between pairs of adjacent conductive contacts,”	30
6.	“wherein portions of the conductor lumens are located beneath the plurality of conductive contacts and the spacers;”	31

*Petition for Inter Partes Review of
U.S. Patent No. 7,891,085*

7.	“inserting monofilament into at least one portion of at least one of the conductor lumens of the lead body that is not occupied by the conductor wires;”	32
8.	“reflowing at least one of the spacers or monofilament into at least one portion of at least one of the conductor lumens not occupied by the conductive wires by heating the spacers and monofilament to a temperature to cause thermal flow or melting of at least one of the spacers or monofilament.”	39
B.	Claim 2	45
1.	“The method of claim 1,”	45
2.	“wherein either the spacers or monofilament is polyurethane.”	46
C.	Claim 3	47
1.	“The method of claim 2,”	47
2.	“wherein the monofilament is a thermoplastic material.”	47
D.	Claim 6	47
1.	“The method of claim 1,”	47
2.	“wherein the spacers are oversized in diameter, relative to a predetermined final diameter of the lead.”	47
E.	Claim 7	49
1.	“The method of claim 1,”	49
2.	“wherein conductive contacts are in the form of rings.”	49
F.	Claim 8	50
1.	“The method of claim 1,”	50
2.	“wherein the conductive contacts are electrode contacts on the lead.”	50
G.	Claim 9	50
1.	“The method of claim 1,”	50
2.	“wherein the conductive contacts are connector contacts on the proximal end of the lead.”	50
H.	Claim 10	51

*Petition for Inter Partes Review of
U.S. Patent No. 7,891,085*

1.	“The method of claim 1,”	51
2.	“wherein the step of connecting a conductor wire to each of the electrode contacts is accomplished by welding each conductor wire to each respective contact.”	51
I.	Claim 11	53
1.	“The method of claim 1”	53
2.	“wherein the monofilament is a different material than the spacers.”	53
J.	Claim 12	54
1.	“The method of claim 1,”	54
2.	“wherein the monofilament is the same material as the spacers.”	54
K.	Claim 14	55
1.	“The method of claim 1,”	55
2.	“wherein the plurality of electrically conductive contacts are located on the proximal end of the stimulation lead.”	55
L.	Claim 15	56
1.	“The method of claim 1,”	56
2.	“wherein the plurality of electrically conductive contacts are located on the distal end of the stimulation lead.”	56
M.	Claim 16	56
1.	“The method of claim 1,”	56
2.	“wherein the plurality of electrically conductive contacts and the spacers form a substantially cylindrical body and wherein the conductor lumens are defined within the substantially cylindrical body.”	57
N.	Claim 17	58
1.	“The method of claim 1,”	58
2.	“wherein the monofilament is disposed in an orientation parallel to the conductor wires.”	58

VII. Ground 2: The combination of Stolz, Ormsby, Black, and the knowledge of a POSA, further in view of the Modern Plastics Encyclopedia, renders obvious claims 4, 5, and 13 of the '085 patent. .	59
A. Claim 4.....	63
1. “The method of claim 3,”.....	63
2. “wherein the heat applied is between about 140 to 250 degrees Celsius.”	63
B. Claim 5.....	64
1. “The method of claim 4,”	64
2. “wherein the heat is applied for between about 15 to 120 seconds.”	64
C. Claim 13.....	66
1. “The method of claim 5,”	66
2. “wherein the heat applied is about 160 degrees Celsius for about 40 seconds.”	67
VIII. Ground 3: The combination of Stolz, Ormsby, Black, and the knowledge of a POSA, further in view of Wessman, render obvious claim 18 of the '085 patent.	67
A. Claim 18.....	67
1. “The method of claim 1,”.....	67
2. “placing a heat shrink tubing around the spacers, conductive contacts, and monofilament and removing the heat shrink tubing after reflowing at least one of the spacers or monofilament.”	68
IX. Ground 4: The combination of Stolz, Ormsby, Black, the knowledge of a POSA, and Wessman, further in view of Saab, render obvious claim 19 of the '085 patent.	70
A. Claim 19.....	70
1. “The method of claim 18,”.....	70
2. “wherein the heat shrink tubing is made from a material selected from the group consisting of PTFE or polyester heat shrink material.”	70

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.