

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RIOT GAMES, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

PALTALK HOLDINGS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2018-00131
U.S. Patent No. 6,226,686
Issued: May 1, 2001
Filed: September 28, 1999

Inventors: Jeffrey J. Rothschild, Daniel J. Samuel, and Marc P. Kwiatkowski

Title: SERVER-GROUP MESSAGING SYSTEM FOR INTERACTIVE
APPLICATIONS

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Exhibit List.....	iv
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Compliance with <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Requirements.....	1
A. Real Party In Interest (§ 42.8(b)(1)).....	1
B. Related Proceedings (§ 42.8(b)(2)).....	1
C. Lead and Backup Counsel (§ 42.8(b)(3)).....	2
D. Service Information (§ 42.8(b)(4)).....	2
E. Certification.....	2
F. Fees.....	3
G. Proof of Service.....	3
III. Identification of Claims Being Challenged (§ 42.104(B)).....	3
IV. The 686 Patent.....	4
A. Effective Filing Date.....	4
B. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	4
C. Overview of the 686 Patent.....	4
D. Claim Construction.....	5
V. The Prior Art.....	9
A. Aldred (Ex. 1009).....	9
i. Collaborative Working Environment.....	9
ii. Sharing Sets.....	11

- iii. Central Serialisation Point (“CSP”).....13
- B. RFC 1692 (Ex. 1010)15
 - i. Prior Art Status of RFC 1692.....15
 - ii. Transport Multiplexing Protocol.....16
- C. RFC 1459 (Ex. 1025)17
- VI. Precise Reasons for the Relief Requested18
 - A. Aldred in view of RFC 1692 and the Knowledge of an Ordinary Artisan Render Obvious Claims 1-4, 7-21, 28-30, 34, 35, 39, 40, 47-49, 53, 54, 56, 57, 64-66, and 70.....18
 - i. Claims 1, 3, 7, and 1818
 - a. Preambles (All).....18
 - b. Creating a Message Group (Claims 1 and 3)23
 - c. Receiving Join Messages (Claims 1 and 3).....24
 - d. Receiving Host Messages (All)25
 - e. Payload Portion and Portion for Identifying (All).....26
 - f. Aggregating (All).....30
 - g. Forming (Claims 1 and 18).....36
 - h. Transmitting (All).....36
 - i. Operating Consistently (All)37
 - ii. Claim 1238
 - a. Preamble38
 - b. Communicating.....43

c.	Remaining Limitations	46
iii.	Claims 2, 4, 8, 13, and 19	47
iv.	Claims 9 and 15.....	48
v.	Claims 10 and 16.....	49
vi.	Claims 11 and 17.....	50
vii.	Claim 14.....	52
viii.	Claims 20, 21, 39, 40, 56, and 57	54
ix.	Claims 28, 47, and 64	55
x.	Claims 29, 48, and 65	55
xi.	Claims 30, 49, and 66	58
xii.	Claims 34, 53, and 70	59
xiii.	Claims 35 and 54.....	61
B.	Aldred in view of RFC 1692 and RFC 1459 and the Knowledge of One of Ordinary Skill in the Art Renders Obvious Claims 31-33, 50-52, and 67-69.....	61
i.	Claims 31, 50, and 67	61
ii.	Claims 32, 51, and 68	67
iii.	Claims 33, 52, and 69	68
VII.	Conclusion	69
	Certificate Of Compliance	70
	Certificate Of Service.....	71

EXHIBIT LIST

No.	Exhibit Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 5,822,523
1002	U.S. Patent No. 6,226,686
1003	Prosecution File History (523 Patent)
1004	Prosecution File History (686 Patent)
1005	Reexamination File History (523 Patent)
1006	Reexamination File History (686 Patent)
1007	Declaration of Dr. Steve R. White
1008	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Steve R. White
1009	International Publication No. WO 94/11814 (Aldred)
1010	Transport Multiplexing Protocol (TMux), RFC 1692 (Aug. 1994)
1011	Internet Protocol, RFC 791 (Sept. 1981)
1012	U.S. Patent No. 5,466,200 (Ulrich)
1013	U.S. Patent No. 5,206,934 (Naef)
1014	U.S. Patent No. 5,307,413 (Denzer)
1015	IBM Dictionary of Computing (1994)
1016	Complaint, <i>PalTalk Holdings, Inc. v. Riot Games, Inc.</i> , C.A. No. 1:16-cv-01240-SLR (Dec. 16, 2016)
1017	John D. Day et al., <i>The OSI Reference Model</i> , 71-12 Proceedings of the IEEE 1334 (1983)
1018	Protocol Standard for a NetBIOS Service on a TCP/UDP Transport: Concepts and Methods, RFC 1001 (Mar. 1987)
1019	Martin W. Sachs et al., <i>LAN and I/O Convergence: A Survey of the Issues</i> , IEEE Computer (1994)
1020	Enrico Y. P. Hsu et al., <i>Management Gaming on a Computer Mediated Conferencing System: A Case of Collaborative Learning through Computer Conference</i> , IEEE (1991)
1021	The Internet Standards Process – Revision 2, RFC 1602 (Mar. 1994)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.